1	RELATED RELIEF ("WILDFIRE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM MOTION")
2	FILED BY PG&E CORPORATION [1777];
3	CORRECTED MOTION OF DEBTORS
4	PURSAUNT TO 11 U.S.C. SECTION 1121(D) TO EXTEND EXCLUSIVE
5	PERIODS ("EXCLUSIVITY MOTION") FILED BY PG&E
6	CORPORATION [1797];
7	MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER PURSUANT TO 11
8	U.S.C. SECTION 365(D)(4) AND B.L.R. 6006-1 EXTENDING TIME
9	TO ASSUME OR REJECT UNEXPIRED LEASES OF NONRESIDENTIAL REAL
10	PROPERTY ("LEASE EXTENSION MOTION") FILED BY PG&E
11	CORPORATION [1805];
12	APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. SECTIONS 327(A) AND
13	328(A) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014(A) AND 2016 FOR
14	AUTHORITY TO RETAIN AND EMPLOY PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS
15	LLP AS MANAGEMENT, TAX, AND ADVISORY CONSULTANTS TO THE
16	DEBTORS NUNC PRO TUNC TO PETITION DATE FILED BY PG&E
17	CORPORATION [1791];
18	APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. SECTION 327(A) AND
19	FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014 (A) AND 2016 FOR AUTHORITY TO RETAIN
20	AND EMPLOY COMPASS LEXECON, LLC AS ECONOMIC CONSULTANTS
21	TO THE DEBTORS NUNC PRO TUNC TO THE PETITION DATE FILED BY
22	PG&E CORPORATION [1756];
23	APPLICATION OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
24	COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C.
25	SECTIONS 328(A) AND 1103 AND

1		FED. R. BANKR. P. 2104(A) FOR AUTHORIZATION TO RETAIN AND	
2		EMPLOY FTI CONSULTING, INC. AS FINANCIAL ADVISOR NUNC PRO	
3		TUNC TO FEBRUARY 12, 2019 [1212]	
4	TD AMOOD		
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
6			
7	APPEARANCES: For the Debtors:	STEPHEN KAROTKIN, ESQ.	
8		MATTHEW GOREN, ESQ. KEVIN BOSTEL, ESQ. Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	
9		767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153	
10		(212)310-8000	
11	For Ad Hoc Committee of Subrogation Claims:	MATTHEW A. FELDMAN, ESQ. (Telephonically)	
12	Sublogation Claims.	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP 787 Seventh Avenue	
13		New York, NY 10019 (212)728-8000	
14			
15	For Compass Lexecon, LLC:	ALBERT TOGUT, ESQ. Togut, Segal & Segal LLP One Penn Plaza	
16		Suite 3335	
17		New York, NY 10119 (212)594-5000	
18	For Official Creditors' Committee:	GREGORY BRAY, ESQ. Milbank LLP	
19	Commit ceee.	2029 Century Park East 33rd Floor	
20		Los Angeles, CA 90067 (424)386-4000	
21			
22		DENNIS F. DUNNE, ESQ. Milbank LLP 55 Hudson Yards	
23		New York, NY 10001 (212)530-5000	
24		(212) 330 3000	
25			

1		
2		PETER M. FRIEDMAN, ESQ. O'Melveny & Myers LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202)383-5300
3		
4	For Professor Bruce A. Markell:	SCOTT H. MCNUTT, ESQ. 324 Warren Road San Mateo, CA 94402
5		
6		(415)760-5601
7	For the Ad Hoc Committee of Senior Unsecured Creditors of PG&E:	ABID QURESHI, ESQ. Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP One Bryant Park Bank of America Tower
8		
9		New York, NY 10036 (212)872-1000
10	For PG&E Shareholders:	BRUCE S. BENNETT, ESQ.
11	For PG&E Snareholders:	Jones Day 555 South Flower Street Fiftieth Floor
12		
13		Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213)489-3939
14	For Official Committee of Tort Claimants:	CECILY A. DUMAS, ESQ. ROBERT A. JULIAN, ESQ.
15		Baker & Hostetler LLP 11601 Wilshire Boulevard
16		Suite 1400
17		Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310)820-8800
18	For SLF Fire Victim Claimants:	GERALD SINGLETON, ESQ.
19		Singleton Law Firm, APC 115 West Plaza Street Solana Beach, CA 92075
20		(760) 697-1330
21	For the Office of the United States Trustee:	MARTA E. VILLACORTA, ESQ. U.S. Department of Justice
22		450 Golden Gate Avenue Suite 05-0153 San Francisco, CA 94102
23		
24		(415) 252-2062
25		· ·

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, MAY 1, 2019, 9:31 AM -000 (Call to order of the Court.)

THE CLERK: All rise. Court is now in session. The Honorable Dennis Montali presiding.

THE COURT: Morning. Did you see this? We have standing, sitting. Most people sitting. The people who are standing, you're welcome to stand, but you're also welcome to go to the overflow courtroom. We have some housekeeping matters this morning, and before we come to the motion that will probably get more attention, you're free to come back in. But if you want to stand, that's okay, too, but don't stand in front of other people that are trying to look. That's my only request.

- 15 THE CLERK: Matter of PG&E Corporation.
- 16 THE COURT: Morning, Mr. Karotkin.
- MR. KAROTKIN: Morning, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Do you mind if we take care of the
- 19 housekeeping matters before -- I mean, the uncontested matters?
- 20 Or -- is that right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Case: 19-30088

- 21 MR. KAROTKIN: Correct, sir. Yes, thank you, sir.
- 22 THE COURT: I can go through the --
- MR. KAROTKIN: Stephen Karotkin -- sorry.
- 24 THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead.
- MR. KAROTKIN: Weil -- for the record, Stephen

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 Karotkin, Weil, Gotshal & Manges for the debtors. 2 THE COURT: So I think I can just dispose of several 3 of them --4 MR. KAROTKIN: Sure. 5 THE COURT: -- right down my list unless you want to 6 do it a different way. 7 MR. KAROTKIN: Nope. Nope. 8 THE COURT: I mean, not exactly on the calendar is the 9 stipulation between the unsecured creditors and the tort 10 committee on -- with Epiq. That's fine. I'm happy with that. 11 I appreciate the way it was worked out. 12 MR. FELDMAN: Your Honor, I apologize for interrupting 13 so early. This is Matthew Feldman from Willkie Farr & 14 Gallagher on behalf of the ad hoc committee of subrogation 15 claims. 16 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 17 MR. FELDMAN: Your Honor, we have no objection to that 18 stipulation, but we would ask that if there's going to be an 19 order approving it separate from the stipulation, that it be settled, including with us. There are some factual assertions 20

made in some of the pleadings that we wouldn't necessarily share. We didn't want to burden the record with an objection, but we would not want any findings of fact to be contained in any final order on that.

21

22

23

24

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric fact. Your term "settled" is kind of a New York term, but we'll -- that's fine. You should -- you'll get a chance to look at the proposed order submitted by counsel. So that -- MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That takes care of that one. On the following matters, we'll dispose of them without any discussion.

There's a motion for extending the time for notices of removal of related proceedings. There was an objection by one creditor. I'm not going to take time with that objection. I'm going to overrule it. It's not really going to the merits. So that motion will be granted. And Mr. Karotkin, you can go ahead and see to it that we get the order on that.

MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, sir. Thank you.

THE COURT: Similarly, on the motion for extension of leases, a similar objection by the one creditor group, the Singleton Law Firm. Again, it doesn't -- their objection is noted, but it's not going to the merits. And there's not purpose to be served by taking time on it, so I'll overrule that objection. Again, I'm not overruling it in the sense that I'm insensitive to it. I'm overruling because it's simply not relevant to that motion.

On the professionals, there appear to be no objections to the Lazard Freres application, and I'm satisfied with that. I'll approve that one.

On the FTI and Compass Lexecon, I appreciate the United States Trustee and the tort committees coming to a resolution of that, and that's a good result. And I will agree that I have no objection if the debtor chooses to withdraw the Compass Lexecon application so that — if the employment of that organization is consistent with the debtors' wishes but without a formal order under Section 327.

But I have a request for both Compass Lexecon and FTI, and I would appreciate -- and I read carefully the thoughtful statements by counsel for both parties and the expressions of response to the questions I raised and others. I would like an officer or a responsible person of each of those two entities just to file a simple statement that, on behalf of his or her respective organizations, they adopt the arguments of their counsel and comply with them or agree to -- I'm not going to make it formal like agree to be bound, just they acknowledge it and they will act consistently with that. That shouldn't be a problem.

- MR. KAROTKIN: Counsel has nodded their heads.
- THE COURT: Fine.

- MR. KAROTKIN: I'll note that on the record.
- THE COURT: What? Heads or head?
- MR. DUNNE: Heads. For FTI --
- MR. TOGUT: I'll say formally. Al Togut, Togut, Segal
- 25 & Segal for Compass. That's fine with us.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Fine. And I'm sure that's -- if
2 FTI has an objection, they can be heard.

3 MR. DUNNE: It's fine with FTI as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I didn't think so. On the motion for fee examiner, is Prof. Markell either here -- I don't see him -- or in the courtroom? Oh, his counsel.

7 MR. MCNUTT: Morning, Judge Montali. Scott McNutt 8 appearing on behalf of Prof. Bruce A. Markell.

THE COURT: So is he on the phone today?

MR. MCNUTT: He's not on the phone today. He's at the

11 ALI and is --

4

5

6

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I don't care where he is. He's not here.

I have a couple questions for him, though.

MR. MCNUTT: Okay.

THE COURT: And I would -- I mean, I'm not resisting the motion, and I will grant.

I wanted him to explain, not only for my benefit, for nonlawyers or others who are monitoring this proceeding, to explain in layman's terms, in a few words, what he is actually going to be doing. And Mr. McNutt, I have to then add, and why does he need counsel? So I will take it as a brief written statement from him. And again, I don't intend to deny the request. I don't intend to say that you can't act as his counsel. But I'd like an explanation of why a fee examiner needs counsel. And more importantly -- and really, I don't --

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 I know Prof. Markell. He is a scholar. He probably could 2 knock out a fifty-page law review if I asked him to. I'd like 3 about three paragraphs of what he envisions and how he 4 envisions carrying out his duties. And as soon as I see that, 5 I intend to sign the order. Okay? MR. MCNUTT: Very good, Your Honor. May I intrude a 6 7 couple of questions? 8 THE COURT: Sure. 9 MR. MCNUTT: Or observations. He will do that, and I 10 believe it will be to your satisfaction. As soon as this 11 motion was filed, Prof. Markell started working. First, he 12 hired an analytics firm. 13 THE COURT: Well, I know that. He made that -- I 14 mean, that's explained in his papers. 15 MR. MCNUTT: I'm just explaining. I don't want 16 anything from the Court. I just want to say what we've done 17 and what we intend to do in the next few days. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. MCNUTT: He's hired a data analytics firm. 20 I can tweak with the U.S. Trustee the order so that the debtor 21 can immediately pay the start-up costs Prof. Markell requires 22 to get moving. He spent considerable time drafting the 23 protocol, which is the core controlling document --24 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 25 MR. MCNUTT: -- of his employment. The U.S. Trustee

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 has looked at that, has made some comments. They've been 2 incorporated. It's Prof. Markell's hope that this can be a 3 cooperative and constructive process, and he hopes he can have 4 a meeting where he distributes the protocol and discusses it 5 with counsel, whose fees will be reviewed. He hopes he can do 6 that in the next few days. 7 There will then follow a motion to amend the interim 8 procedures order to incorporate the protocol so that there's 9 one --10 THE COURT: Do you mean to amend our case procedures 11 order? 12 MR. MCNUTT: It'd be --13 THE COURT: Or a separate order? 14 MR. MCNUTT: The procedures order regarding payment of 15 interim compensation --16 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Fine. All right. 17 MR. MCNUTT: -- so that there's one self-executing 18 document. 19 THE COURT: You don't need to file a formal motion. 20 If the U.S. Trustee signs off on modification of that, that's 21 fine. I mean, again, the U.S. Trustee is here, your 22 counterparty here. So if the --2.3 MR. MCNUTT: Well, I -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: If the U.S. Trustee is satisfied with 25 whatever changes you and Prof. Markell wish to make and the

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 debtors and anybody else wants to be heard on, and the U.S. 2 Trustee approves it, that's fine with me. 3 MR. MCNUTT: And we --4 THE COURT: I'm just saying we don't have to wait with 5 a calendar item. It can just be done an ex parte basis. 6 MR. MCNUTT: And then we can submit an amended order. 7 THE COURT: Yeah. Yes. 8 MR. MCNUTT: Okay, Your Honor. 9 MR. KAROTKIN: Your Honor, we, of course, would like 10 to have a look at that. 11 THE COURT: Right. Absolutely. Yeah. The debtor --12 obviously, the debtor but the committees, the two 13 committees should be consulted on anything like that. 14 that's not to say that there aren't other professionals, but we 15 can't take time to have them all. The principal players should 16 just sign off on any revision to that order. 17 MR. MCNUTT: I'm feeling the Court's pressure to move 18 on. 19 THE COURT: No. 20 MR. MCNUTT: I want to state more clearly, Prof. 21

MR. MCNUTT: I want to state more clearly, Prof.

Markell wants this to be a cooperative process. He intends to circulate this protocol to all the principal law firms and the committees, solicit their input, and maybe even hold a meeting or two to get their input before it becomes a final document.

That's his objective.

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. But I mean, I hope it will be done
by telephone conference or something and not huge expense. We
don't want professionals flying across the country to have a

don't want professionals rightly deross the country to have

4 meeting to talk about how to be efficient in being

5 professionals. Right?

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCNUTT: Prof. Markell is acutely aware of that and wants to do it in the most time-efficient and inexpensive way possible.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? Thank you.

MR. MCNUTT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I look forward to seeing a brief statement from him and the order authorizing employment, and then we'll deal with the other matter later.

MR. MCNUTT: Very good, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. The next on my list is the PricewaterhouseCooper's -- I'm not -- motion. I'm not aware of any objections to that. The only thing that I need corrected in that is to make sure that the order is consistent with the other orders for other professionals that does not include -- I mean, it needs to exclude negligence from the indemnity provision, the same way it's been done in all the other orders.

MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I presume that's not a problem. If it is a problem, I guess I won't be signing the order. And then the last one --

1 MR. KAROTKIN: It's certainly not a problem for me.

THE COURT: I didn't think so. And the last thing --

3 and we've already dropped this from calendar, but in case

4 there's anyone on the phone or in court who expected to be

5 heard on the motion to remand the adversary proceeding in the

6 JH Kelly matter v. AECOM, if there's no -- we've taken that off

7 | calendar, so we're done.

8 And that leaves the two remaining ones. And Mr.

9 Karotkin, I'll leave it to you to decide and let me know how

10 | you want to proceed. We have exclusivity and the wildfire

11 | assistance program. Which way do you want to go?

MR. KAROTKIN: We would start with the exclusivity

13 motion, sir.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's just ask -- I mean, I'm

15 familiar with it, and I presume some of you are aware of what

16 I've done with the exclusivity motion in the prior case; if

17 | you're not, you should be. But Mr. Karotkin, you don't

disagree, do you, that if I -- whether I grant an extension of

19 four months or seventy-five days or six months, there can

20 always be a motion to shorten that time and the debtor has a

21 | right to be heard? But I can ultimately shorten it back down

22 | again if there's a reason to. You don't disagree with that, do

23 you?

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

MR. KAROTKIN: That is exactly what the statute says.

25 THE COURT: Well, that's what I think. But I -- but

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 also, I think that way back when, when this provision went into 2 law, there was some confusion at some courts about whether you 3 could shorten it, lengthen it, lengthen again. Of course, 4 you'll recall that the law changed and, in the 2001 PG&E case, 5 there wasn't the 180 --6 MR. KAROTKIN: Right. 7 THE COURT: -- I mean the year-and-a-half deadline 8 that's on there now. So --9 MR. KAROTKIN: In fact, Your Honor, the order -- the 10 proposed order that we submitted expressly provides for what 11 you just said. 12 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't always get to the proposed 13 orders to prepare for these motions, because sometimes they 14 change so quickly. But I appreciate that you're acknowledging 15 what I believe to be the law. 16 And so the question is, therefore, really to hear from 17 the objectors as to why it's so important to them to either 18 reduce the time or to eliminate the time. I understand the debtors' position and you don't need to explain it. If you 19 20 want to make some comments or whatever, I'll certainly be happy 21 to hear from you. And I --22 MR. KAROTKIN: Well --23 THE COURT: -- I did read your response to the --24 MR. KAROTKIN: And --25 THE COURT: -- objections.

1 MR. KAROTKIN: I -- I'll save my time for response, 2 then, Your Honor. I think that you really did put your finger 3 on it. And I think that, as we said in our pleadings, there 4 are two fundamental gating items here; one is the 5 legislation -- the legislative and regulatory relief that is 6 critical to any plan process, as the governor acknowledges 7 quite explicitly in his pleading, as well as dealing with the 8 wildfire claims and the aggregate liability that has to be 9 addressed in a plan. Two fundamental gating items to the plan 10 negotiation formulation and filing process.

You, Your Honor, yourself has noted -- have (sic) noted, I think every time we've been in this courthouse, the size and complexity of these cases. We think that the requested extension recognizes the reality of these cases. We think it's appropriate. Again, as you noted, people are free to come in at any time and file a motion to shorten that time.

We believe that that is a realistic time frame. In fact, if you look at what the governor said, legislation, if at all, is not going to be considered until sometime this summer. And I would say, if you were to look at what happened with 901, that was very, very late -- SB-901, very, very late in the legislative --

THE COURT: Right. Right.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. KAROTKIN: -- process, well into September, the governor suggesting an extension of seventy-five days, which

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric doesn't really even get us there, to where we need to be to really in earnest engage in the plan negotiation and formulation process.

And with respect to the claims, as you yourself know, the campfire was very recent. The claims information has not been developed with respect to not only the campfire but largely with respect to the September -- I'm sorry, the fall 2017 fires as well. Yes, there is a database that the plaintiff lawyers have told us they prepared with respect to the 2017 fires. So far, they're not willing to give us access to that.

We have filed a motion to establish a bar date for September 19th and to get additional claims information with a proposed form that we are asking the Court to consider. The tort committee and the plaintiff lawyers are resisting that as well.

I will say, Your Honor, that we do have a meeting scheduled with them after this court hearing today, to speak with them about the bar date and the information necessary to move forward and to get these cases to a point where a feasible plan can be confirmed and proposed. And I'm hopeful we can make progress with them. But to date, there is a total lack of information sufficient to even move forward with them on the negotiation process.

Now, I will note that we have moved forward in the

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric mediation process with the public entities and with the subrogation claimants, whose claims information is much, much more advanced. The subrogations know exactly how much -- the subrogation claimants know exactly how much they have paid, so we can have more substantive discussions with them. And the public entities have a much greater fix on the potential liability that they believe the debtor's liable for. That's not the case with the plaintiffs, and they have acknowledged that to us.

We want to work with them to try to get that information on a consensual basis. And again, as I said, we're meeting with them this afternoon to try to make progress in that regard; if not, we will be back before you. There is a hearing on the bar date, on June 11th.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. KAROTKIN: But again, the earliest a bar date will be established in these cases is in September. And as we said in our pleadings and as I emphasize again today, we're trying to be realistic here about an appropriate extension.

Shortening the bar date is not going to cause the legislature or the CPUC to do anything; I can assure you of that.

Shortening the bar -- I'm sorry, not -- shortening the exclusive period is not going to cause them to act more quickly. And really all that's going to result in, Your Honor, is additional motion practice in this court to extend it.

So I think, again, what you said is directly on point.

If they think we're not making progress, if they think we're

not acting appropriately, if they think we're bad actors during

the Chapter 11, they can come back before you.

THE COURT: One of the things that I found frustrating a long time ago as a lawyer is, when judges complained to me, I haven't seen you lately, why isn't anything going on -- and I was very frustrated because lots of things go on, but not in front of the court. And you just made reference to subrogation claimants and public-entities claimants. That's all going on behind the scene. There's been nothing before me; right?

MR. KAROTKIN: Well, because that's being done on a --

THE COURT: And that's --

MR. KAROTKIN: -- that's all subject to confidentiality.

THE COURT: And that's the way it should be.

MR. KAROTKIN: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm just confirming that you just made reference to two processes that are underway, that you know well, and many others, but I don't and the public perhaps doesn't.

Why don't you say one thing for me; I think I know the answer, but I'd like it for the benefit of your opponents here today, and the public. And that is this: what is the risk to your clients if I terminate exclusivity or break it at this

1 point?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. KAROTKIN: Well --

THE COURT: What -- in other words, I have the word "optics", but there are optics in this case. So what does it mean to you, as principal counsel, if all of a sudden exclusivity is terminated?

MR. KAROTKIN: Your Honor, one thing I think you've noted is that, since the inception of these cases -- and in fact, we have to give two weeks' notice before we --

THE COURT: I know.

MR. KAROTKIN: -- file these cases.

THE COURT: And FERC --

MR. KAROTKIN: We had the --

THE COURT: -- appreciated that; didn't it?

MR. KAROTKIN: That was a unique circumstance; let's

16 put it that way.

We have made enormous strides in stabilizing the business operations, getting the necessary financing, dealing with our business partners, getting back trade credit, and addressing those issues that are fundamental to ongoing operations. If you were to terminate exclusivity, that would be a fundamental crisis in confidence as to where these cases were going: have the debtors lost control, what's going to happen, what will happen with the DIP facility, will that cause a default, will there be a run on the bank because of that

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 uncertainty. 2 The debtors are entitled, under Section 1121, Your 3 Honor, to an opportunity to propose a plan; a reasonable 4 opportunity to do so. Terminating exclusivity will deprive 5 them of that opportunity. 6 THE COURT: Well, I mean --7 MR. KAROTKIN: But --8 THE COURT: -- again, I want to distinguish between 9 what will really happen and what will the perception be, 10 because --11 MR. KAROTKIN: And I --12 THE COURT: -- I can't --13 MR. KAROTKIN: Well, Your Honor, you know that you'll 14 be faced with a chaotic situation. The worst thing that can 15 happen in a case is competing plans. I don't know if you've 16 ever been involved with that. 17 THE COURT: Did you know what I did in the first case? 18 MR. KAROTKIN: That you -- after a while, yes, you 19 terminated and there were --20 THE COURT: And allowed a --21 MR. KAROTKIN: -- competing plans. 22 THE COURT: -- competing plan to be filed. 2.3 MR. KAROTKIN: After a while. 24 THE COURT: Four months. One extension. 25 MR. KAROTKIN: Okay, well, again, people are free to

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric come in at any time.

THE COURT: No, I understand.

MR. KAROTKIN: But I think the debtors are -- in a case like this, the debtors are entitled to, at the very least, an initial extension --

THE COURT: No, Mr. Karotkin --

MR. KAROTKIN: -- particularly --

THE COURT: -- excuse me; I'm not trying to say I'm just going to do what I did before. What I'm telling you is really I'm agreeing with you. I was persuaded in the prior case, only when a creditor with standing, with an outline of a real plan, not some crazy pie-in-the-sky concept -- and I extended -- or broke the exclusivity for that creditor. And we had a hearing when that creditor showed me why it thought its plan could get past -- out of the -- out of the starting gate. And that's what happened.

And so my question to you is, then, if we did it now, wouldn't you be faced with that -- a crisis of competence and perhaps the need to fend off plans that don't have a hope of ever getting past the -- getting to first base?

MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, well, I thank you for that because I think that it's absolutely clear that nobody can file a feasible plan, nobody, until -- what the governor himself acknowledges is that the legislative reform and the regulatory reform is enacted so that people dealing with this company know

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric the risk profile they're dealing with --1 2 THE COURT: Well, I know what you agree --3 MR. KAROTKIN: -- so that --4 THE COURT: But isn't it true, if the legislature 5 takes a term and goes off in a different direction, you just 6 have to deal with it that way? 7 MR. KAROTKIN: Again, and then --8 THE COURT: That's their --9 MR. KAROTKIN: -- hopefully we will --10 THE COURT: That's the legislature's decision. 11 MR. KAROTKIN: Exactly. But hopefully the governor's 12 commitment to finding a solution to the problem that'll assure 13 this debtor's -- not only this debtor's long-term viability but 14 the other utilities' --15 THE COURT: Other debtors'. Um-hum. 16 MR. KAROTKIN: I think that, until we have more 17 clarity on that, nobody can propose a plan that's feasible 18 here. 19 As the governor said in the task force, it's an 20 untenable situation we're faced with -- those were his words: 21

As the governor said in the task force, it's an untenable situation we're faced with -- those were his words: an untenable situation -- that PG&E and the other utilities are faced with, that has to be addressed if the utilities in this state will be able to operate effectively and attract the capital they need not only for operations but to address the wildfire risk going forward.

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay. We have oppositions from the TCC,

from the governor's office, from official creditors' committee,

from, I guess, the City of San Francisco. And Joanne (ph.),

why don't I go down with the people who are sort of in the

debtor's camp. Is anyone from the -- counsel for the governor

wish to be heard? And if you don't want to be heard, that's

MR. FRIEDMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Peter Friedman from O'Melveny & Myers --

okay, but -- here. Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Friedman.

MR. FRIEDMAN: -- on behalf of Governor Newsom's office. Your Honor, my pro hac is pending but has not been granted.

THE COURT: We're not worried about it.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the governor has a broad array of policy concerns that are bigger than PG&E. But as Mr. Karotkin addressed, PG&E's bankruptcy obviously implicates those concerns; I think the Court knows what they are: fair treatment of victims; assuring safe and reliable service; addressing climate change; meeting renewable goals. And I think everybody in the case has acknowledged that in some way the government's approach to these macro issues are going to define the options for PG&E. But I think it's important to be really clear that a public-policy solution does not involve bailouts or letting PG&E stakeholders -- and its

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric stakeholders walk away from the damage that's already been done. Equity holders and other financial parties are going to need to bear the consequences of their investment decisions and the actions of the utility.

Mr. Karotkin also mentioned the governor is working on -- with the state legislature to address a different framework for how California deals with wildfire liability, going forward. It started that on day one. Think the hope is to propose legislative solutions over the summer.

And I think with that background, Your Honor, I turn -- just want to turn specifically to the motion. And I think our concern -- we understand the issue of not terminating exclusivity in its entirety today. We don't think that's warranted. But we do think that the best approach is not to force -- whether it be the governor or a creditor with a plan, or some other party, to come to court to force, I think, the potentially more disruptive issue of an immediate fight over termination of exclusivity, but rather to have the debtors continue to have to be accountable, which is why we've asked for --

THE COURT: Well, why -- again, I don't mean to challenge you on this, but where does it follow that the debtors aren't accountable just because of the exclusivity issue?

MR. FRIEDMAN: So --

THE COURT: And you, better than I, and lots of the players here know what's going on behind the scenes or outside of the courtroom.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, we do think --

THE COURT: Where's the lack of accountability?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, we do think part of -- part of it is the issue you talked about: public optics.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. FRIEDMAN: And we think the debtors need to show to the public more of what's going on, what's being done, progress towards quantifying wildfire liability, which is as much a gating issue, if not more, of a -- as a legislative solution to go-forward issues. And we need to understand the nature of the economic problem. And I think, whether it be seventy-five days or ninety days, the more the Court knows and the more the public knows on a specific timetable -- and parties-in-interest know on a specific timetable, the more the Court can assess whether it's ripe to limit the debtors' exclusivity or cut off the debtors' exclusivity.

We're asking for a status check as opposed to, as I said, the disruptive nature necessarily of seriatum motions to terminate exclusivity.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. FRIEDMAN: That may be appropriate, but we think
the more appropriate manner right now is to say, okay, Debtors,

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric tell us where you are in seventy-five days.

THE COURT: You're aware, I take it, what I've done -- what I did in the prior case; right?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I made it -- I made sure that there was a possible viable alternative, not somebody that just decides to take a run at it. And this case doesn't need frivolous motions filed by people that haven't a clue on how to make it happen.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Agreed, Your Honor, and that's --

THE COURT: Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. KAROTKIN: -- again, why we're focused on the status-check aspect of it rather than the "terminate now" --

THE COURT: But when --

MR. FRIEDMAN: -- or even --

THE COURT: Again, when things like the state legislature is a player, there's a status report called (sic) their actions, one way or the other; right? If the state government chooses to change -- let's pick one example. If they choose to overrule the inverse-condemnation principle, that's a major development. If they choose not to, that's also a major development; isn't it? And those are big mileposts in the road, aren't they?

MR. FRIEDMAN: Absolutely, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Right.

MR. FRIEDMAN: And we hope that -- obviously, there're

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric many different variables, but we hope by a seventy-five- or ninety-day-extension period rather than six months, we can sync up the debtors' progress. And again, if it turns out that in ninety days the facts warrant in our view an extension of exclusivity, we might take a different position.

THE COURT: So -- I mean, again, I'm picking you because you came up first.

MR. FRIEDMAN: I don't mind, Your Honor.

is that it comes down to, if I grant the governor's request or the official creditors' committee's request, we might have a motion in seventy-five days, or so, to extend again, versus a motion to shorten, if you have a real plan. In other words, suppose there is a significant activity at the legislative level or some other level. Pick the major players: PUC; legislature; i.e., governor's decision. Those are major events. And if Mr. Karotkin's sitting here six months from now and I note that those major changes have been made -- have (sic) done three months ago, I might say, what's going on, why aren't you doing something?

But why is it better to have a fight to extend it, when there's -- when it's the same unknowns, versus an opportunity to shorten it if there is inaction or, alternatively, if the governor, the PUC, the creditors' committee have a viable option?

- 1 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor --
- 2 THE COURT: Seems to me it's a question of where do we
- 3 have this next fight.
- 4 MR. FRIEDMAN: It is. And Your Honor, I think -- I
- 5 | acknowledge this is all, sort of, a very fluid situation as to
- 6 what the Court believes is the right path. The governor and
- 7 the administration believe that it's actually less disruptive
- 8 to have people walking in, necessarily, with an immediate
- 9 motion to terminate, and frankly, optically and stability-wise,
- 10 | better to just say, all right, in the ordinary course, Debtors,
- 11 you're going to come back to me in sixty or seventy-five days
- or eighty days and say, here's what I've done to warrant --
- 13 THE COURT: The --
- MR. FRIEDMAN: -- more time or less.
- THE COURT: The bankruptcy lawyers in this room know
- 16 | the complexity --
- 17 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: -- and the complications and the time
- 19 | frame for a simple Chapter 11 plan. It's inconceivable to me,
- 20 | if tomorrow the governor and the legislature and the CPUC all
- 21 solved all these other problems --
- (Courtroom alarm sounds.)
- THE COURT: That's just a 10 o'clock thing, I believe.
- 24 Right?
- THE CLERK: Yeah; I believe so.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 THE COURT: It's usually on Tuesdays. For some reason 2 it thinks today's Tuesday. All right, don't panic, everyone. 3 -- that even if Mr. Karotkin wakes up Friday morning 4 and all these problems are solved, it's going to be a long time 5 before he gets the plan into the file. It's just --6 MR. FRIEDMAN: Absolutely, Your Honor. And frankly --7 THE COURT: -- so complicated. MR. FRIEDMAN: -- under those circumstances, it 8 9 actually might warrant a longer extension of exclusivity. 10 Right? If everybody comes together and things fall into place 11 and Mr. Karotkin and the many other able people in this 12 courtroom get to that juncture, again, the governor's 13 standpoint might be quite different. But where we are now --14 and not having that, and the perceived lack of progress that 15 the governor sees, as we've articulated in our papers, we think 16 that at this point that kind of extension isn't warranted. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. FRIEDMAN: If Your Honor has anything else, I'm 19 happy to --20 THE COURT: No, I don't. Thank you --21 MR. FRIEDMAN: -- answer it, but I appreciate --22 THE COURT: -- Mr. Friedman. 23 MR. FRIEDMAN: -- your time. The governor appreciates 24 being heard.

THE COURT: No, I appreciate your being here, too.

Let's go to the official creditors' committee and then we'll go through the -- and by the way, when I listed the parties who have taken positions on this, I overlooked the concerned shareholders. So if their counsel's here today, I'll certainly hear from him too.

Good morning --

MR. BRAY: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Bray.

MR. BRAY: Gregory Bray, Milbank LLP, counsel for the official committee.

11 THE COURT: So we're going from 75 days to 120 in your 12 papers; right?

MR. BRAY: Yes, Your Honor. Let me just begin.

You've asked why does it matter if I go termination versus extension. And the lawyer's answer is it's a burden-of-proof issue. Right now the burden of proof is on the debtor to establish cause to extend exclusivity. If we had to come back to court, the burden is on us to establish why it should be terminated.

THE COURT: Yeah, but the Exhibit A to your motion will be your proposed plan, because, if you don't have one, I'm probably not going to waste my time with it.

MR. BRAY: And I agree with you. And I'm not saying we would file a plan -- we're not asking the Court to terminate exclusivity; we're very clear --

- 1 THE COURT: Right.
- 2 MR. BRAY: -- about that.
- 3 THE COURT: No, I know you're not

MR. BRAY: And we're intimately involved with and
aware of the many things the debtor is involved with behind the
scenes and in front of the scenes.

7 THE COURT: Right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Case: 19-30088

MR. BRAY: And we're not critical, for lack of a better word, of the debtors' efforts. Nonetheless, the committee urges the Court to shorten the time to four months, the reason being the end of September the legislature adjourns early to mid-September. The governor, as I understand it, has asked the legislature to act in July before the summer vacation or recess, however you want to call it.

So our thinking is that by the end of September the debtors should be well aware of what legislative action was taken, wasn't taken, and the debtors should be able to at least articulate some ideas -- a "plan" is too strong a word -- about how to move this case forward.

- THE COURT: Term sheet?
- MR. BRAY: A term sheet --
- THE COURT: Well, that's --
- MR. BRAY: -- would be ideal.
- 24 THE COURT: -- that's what I made the CPUC do eighteen
- years ago, and they did it.

MR. BRAY: And this gets -- you're maybe anticipating my point, which is, we view the extension through the end of September as a checkpoint. And the Court could well decide at that point it should extend exclusivity further. In fact, the committee could say, we believe that that's the right thing, as well. But there may be conditions attached. An unfettered, unconditional six-month extension of exclusivity, in the committee's view, is just simply too long for a case of this type.

I'm going to use the word that the governor used. The committee does believe there should be a higher level of accountability and a desire to push this case forward. That's not, again, being critical of the debtors today. Nonetheless, the four months, to us, is the appropriate time for the Court to assess where the debtor is, what progress has been made. And at that time when we have a better understanding of the facts, what's happened, what hasn't happened, and what's reasonable, the Court can then decide if a further extension is warranted or not.

And that's really the genesis of our argument here; it's not -- we're not arguing to the Court today you should terminate --

THE COURT: No, I know you're not.

MR. BRAY: -- exclusivity.

THE COURT: I mean, others are. I've got my box

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric I've got votes for two-and-a-half months, four months, zero months. MR. BRAY: Right.

3

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

4 THE COURT: Several zero months.

5 MR. BRAY: Right. And we --

6 THE COURT: And the --

7 MR. BRAY: -- we tried to be --

8 THE COURT: -- shareholder --

MR. FRIEDMAN: -- thoughtful about the time frame we chose, bearing in mind the legislative process, when that would conclude, where this process is, where the bar date is. And to us it just seemed that the beginning of the fall is the -- I know I'm being repetitive here -- is the appropriate time to take measure of the progress of this debtor and decide if a further extension is warranted and what conditions, if any, should be imposed with respect to the debtors' continuation of exclusivity. That's all we want to see; nothing more.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you, Mr. Bray.

MR. BRAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Does the ad hoc committee want to be heard?

21 I mean, I -- yeah; good morning.

MR. QURESHI: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Abid Qureshi, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, on behalf of the ad hoc committee of senior unsecured noteholders.

25 Your Honor, we filed a statement. We do not oppose PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric the relief that the --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. QURESHI: -- debtors are seeking --

4 THE COURT: Right; I know that.

MR. QURESHI: -- with respect to exclusivity. Your Honor, our understanding of the statute here accords completely with the Court. We are concerned by the lack of progress that has been made toward a plan in -- outside of this Court's purview, outside of the courtroom. We are concerned about that. We remain concerned about that. And Your Honor, if those circumstances don't change soon, if progress is not made towards a confirmable plan of reorganization here, then it is our expectation that in that circumstance a motion either to shorten whatever remains of the exclusivity period that might be granted today, or a motion to terminate it right away, might in those circumstances be appropriate.

So we don't oppose the extension being sought now, but we will be watching vigilantly for progress to be made --

THE COURT: So if your ad hoc committee is concerned about a lack of progress, there still is no way for me to know. I mean, again, as I stated earlier, the fact that I haven't seen something on file doesn't mean there's a lack of progress.

MR. QURESHI: That is correct, Your Honor. And I think that, once there is a path towards a viable and confirmable plan and we don't see the debtor making progress

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric toward their own plan, that would be a circumstance in which our group or perhaps one of the official committees might be back before the Court with a motion to terminate or a motion to shorten. And I completely understand Your Honor's point, which is, Your Honor can't know about that until those circumstances are made clear to the Court.

We are here to say that, as of today, Your Honor, we are concerned with the lack of progress towards a plan.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. QURESHI: But given the complexities of the case, we think that an extension is warranted but reserve the right to come back to shorten and/or terminate if progress isn't made soon.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. QURESHI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the equity committee; do we have appearance by counsel of the equity committee today? Mr. Bennett.

MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning. Sorry, I didn't identify your group when I went through the list, but I did read your papers yesterday.

MR. BENNETT: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Bruce Bennett, Jones Day, on behalf of a number of large PG&E shareholders.

1 THE COURT: Right.

MR. BENNETT: I'm going to skip over a number of
things I was prepared to say. And first of all, I support Your
Honor's approach to the motion. I also was sitting there
thinking about objective information, from the record in this
case, to suggest what a reasonable extension might be --

(Cell phone ringing.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BENNETT: -- as opposed to feelings about --

THE COURT: We need phones off, please.

MR. BENNETT: -- as opposed to feelings about what might be appropriate or what progress is being made, when, frankly, there wasn't a lot of evidence produced on that point.

And I stumbled upon two things, in the record in this case, that people can't argue about, and one of them is actually the absence of a record, and the second is actually a record that was filed. So we have a tort committee, Your Honor. The tort committee on your chart has proposed that exclusivity be terminated immediately.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BENNETT: And they also cite, frankly quite unbelievably, the idea that there's been a lot of progress on quantifying wildfire claims. But when I looked through the record in the case, what I couldn't find was a 2019 statement by that committee.

Now, I assume that everyone in this case is doing

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 their very best to comply with all of the rules of the court, 2 and the rules require that the 2019 had to be filed by now and 3 that the 2019, if it was at all possible to file, would 4 disclose the amounts of claims being asserted by the members of 5 the committee. But for whatever reason, even though we're four 6 months into the case, or soon to be four months into the case, 7 and even though they say that the debtors should be prepared to 8 file a plan within a week, they still haven't managed to gather 9 up their own claims information for their own members. I hope 10 they do, and I hope they do quickly, but it would seem hard to 11 arque that the debtors have enough information, in our 12 position, to file a plan, when they haven't managed --13 THE COURT: Aren't those --14 MR. BENNETT: -- yet --15 THE COURT: -- apples and oranges? I mean, I 16 didn't --17 MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, it's about --18 THE COURT: I wasn't --19 MR. BENNETT: It -- it's --20 THE COURT: I wasn't aware of that --21 MR. BENNETT: It's --22 THE COURT: -- complaint before, but I don't know --23 MR. BENNETT: It's the -- it's an objective reflection 24 of the absence of one crucial piece of information, which is, 25 what claims really are. That's what it is.

1 THE COURT: Wait one second, please.

THE CLERK: We lost the video connection. We need to place the call. We have -- to the overflow.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Just short break

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Just short break. Yeah, we have -we lost the video to next door. That'd just take -- she just
has to re-place the phone line (sic).

We lost the audio to next door, too, then, right?

Yeah. Okay.

Okay, Mr. Bennett, you're back on camera with me.

MR. BENNETT: I think where I left off, Your Honor, is I said that I'm not seeking a sanction or complaining about the absence of a 2019 statement. I'm pointing out that it's an objective indication of the state of available information, of course based on the assumption, which I do think should be regarded as true, that everyone's trying hard to comply with their obligations and, if they had the information, they would have filed it by now.

Secondly, Your Honor, you noted that the Singleton firm has an objection and they too would seek to terminate exclusivity immediately. Well, they did file a 2019 statement, but it was a list of names with no numbers. And they reported, in their 2019 statement -- and this is a few weeks ago -- that of the thousands of clients that they represent, exactly thirty had liquidated their claims.

So I am for rapid progress as much or more than

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 anybody else in this courtroom, but we have to understand where 2 we are and where we need to go. And I also, frankly -- it 3 would have been great if this hearing could have been held at 4 the same time as the bar-date hearing, because --5 THE COURT: Well, yeah, of course. But --6 MR. BENNETT: -- because it would give us some 7 information about what people think is a reasonable period to 8 get claims done. So consistently with the comments Mr. 9 Karotkin made, we have a legislative process where -- Mr. 10 Friedman was right. The governor did ask for action by July 11 15th. I am fervently hoping that that's exactly what happens, 12 but we all know, as Mr. Karotkin pointed out, what did happen 13 with Senate Bill 901. It took until the very last day of the 14 session for that to get out. And that would be September, 15 which, of course, would be after the governor's proposed date. 16 We're looking at a bar date that'll probably be, if 17 the debtor's motion is successful, in the September time frame. 18 And --THE COURT: Well, the bar date -- I mean, it --19 20 whether I grant the debtor six months or cut zero, either way, 21 the bar date's a separate inquiry. 22 MR. BENNETT: It's a separate inquiry. 23 THE COURT: So --24 MR. BENNETT: It's another item on the critical path, 25 Your Honor.

THE COURT: No, that's right. Of course, it is.

2 MR. BENNETT: So there -- so my point being is,

3 there's a lot of items on the critical path that we know today,

4 absolutely, objectively are pretty far out there, whether we

5 like it or not.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I guess I don't share with you that an exclusivity deadline, in a case of this complexity, is a critical path item like it would be in a construction project. You have to clear a path. You're going to put the foundation before you start building the floors. Here, it's almost inconceivable that there's going to be a plan other than what the debtor and/or what someone's going to put together from all these other forces that have to line up.

MR. BENNETT: And I agree. And I think --

15 THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. BENNETT: -- that, Your Honor, starting with the six-month period, allowing parties to shorten it if circumstances change in the interim, accommodates what we know about the objective events that we have today. It's consistent with what the record is showing is the state of information, and it's consistent with what we are seeing progress likely to be in critical areas. So I think -- I -- this is in support of your approach to be -- to -- objective information in support of your approach for dealing with the motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Bennett.

MR. BENNETT: We would urge that it be granted as

- 2 proposed by the debtors.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. All I have left from the
- 4 opponents are those that want to shorten. So Ms. Dumas, are
- 5 you speaking today or Mr. Julian?
- 6 MS. DUMAS: Yes, sir.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Would you take up -- start with on
- 8 behalf of the tort committee, please. And you're not -- what
- 9 Mr. Bennett said about the 2019 statement, you cannot respond
- 10 to that. It's not an action item for me today. If you want to
- 11 respond, you can, but it's not relevant to today's motion.
- 12 So --
- MS. DUMAS: Well, thank you, sir. I did not intend to
- 14 respond to Mr. Bennett's suggestion --
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. DUMAS: -- that we file a 2019 in my remarks.
- 17 Cecil Dumas, BakerHostetler, on behalf of the official
- 18 | committee of tort claimants.
- 19 I'm here this morning with Karen Lockhart (ph.), the
- 20 committee chair --
- 21 THE COURT: Um-hum. Right.
- MS. DUMAS: -- as well as several of my colleagues.
- 23 And I wanted to also inform the Court that a number of
- 24 individuals who were affected by the fires elected to come to
- 25 | court. They don't want to hear about exclusivity; they want to

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 hear about an urgent needs fund. But --2 THE COURT: I understand. MS. DUMAS: -- nonetheless, many people have travelled 3 4 from as far as Paradise, California, getting up at 5 o'clock in 5 the morning to be here to hear what goes on in bankruptcy court 6 and what Your Honor has to say. 7 THE COURT: Well, I'm glad they're here. I'm glad 8 they are --9 MS. DUMAS: I appreciate that, and --10 THE COURT: -- they chose to come. 11 MS. DUMAS: -- I know you are, Your Honor. 12 With respect to the exclusivity extension, the tort 13 committee is not misreading the law. We are not crazy. 14 understand the complexity of this case, but we need to make a 15 few significant points that are not being heard by the 16 financial interests in the case about the real people being 17 affected. So I want to just make a few introductory remarks, 18 if I may, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. DUMAS: This case, in our view, is not PG&E-2. 21 is unlike the case that you heard --22 THE COURT: I know. MS. DUMAS: -- in 2001. 23 24 THE COURT: I know that.

There is no -- there is no jack-up in the

MS. DUMAS:

25

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric price of power that PG&E has to acquire. This case is much more like the mass tort bankruptcy cases that we see from the examples of A.H. Robins and Manville and Dow Corning. That is --

THE COURT: It's almost more like the Puerto Rico hurricanes.

MS. DUMAS: The debtor has --

THE COURT: Right?

MS. DUMAS: The debtor has caused -- according to some independent investigators, has caused a number of wildfires that resulted in lives being lost, billions of dollars of damages, thousands of people being misplaced. And in that sense -- and that is the reason that this case was filed.

According to the debtor's own A case, it filed the case to have one place to address billions of dollars of tort claims arising in connection with the -- not only the 2017 North Bay fires, but really the -- the tipping point was the 2018 Camp Fire.

So it elected to come into bankruptcy to resolve those claims. It came into bankruptcy as a solvent debtor. It came into bankruptcy as a debtor whose operations were fine. It has positive EBITDA. It has a robust market cap, so it is here to resolve tort claims.

The only difference between Robbins, Manville, Dow Corning, any of the asbestos cases is the real reason that I'm standing before you, and that is that this debtor is still

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric
manufacturing the dangerous product. This Court has heard on
many occasions and has remarked itself of the risk of a
wildfire during the administration of the case. What this
Court hasn't heard, but may have read about, is the consequence
that will occur in October of this year of tens of thousands of
people losing the tail of their insurance benefits. Tens of
thousands of people affected in the North Bay fires in October
2017 will be paying both rent and mortgages in October. And
that is something that the California legislature, the
governor's office, and everyone else is acutely aware.

That will be a storm of fury of people who live in Northern California. Why do I say people who live in Northern California? Because we, Your Honor, are the only people who matter in this case. The tort claimants, the victims are the only people who are affected by this case. Why do I say that? Because every other lawyer who is standing before you is representing people who choose to be here as an investment opportunity. I have charts. It's very interesting to see how many people have traded in and out at all levels.

THE COURT: I know.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

MS. DUMAS: I know you understand that. It happens --

22 THE COURT: I can see it from the docket.

MS. DUMAS: -- in big cases.

24 THE COURT: I see it constantly.

MS. DUMAS: But this -- in this case, it is all the

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric
more remarkable. The debtor filed its case with approximately
two billion in trade debt. It paid almost a billion of that
through its critical vendor or first-day employee, et cetera.
So trade debt and trade creditors are now being paid current.
Administrative expenses, they're being paid current. So the
harm to trade is not financially material. You're looking at a
courtroom of people who are -- I'm not going to get to the
emotional part of it, but there is real harm occurring outside
of this courtroom.

Now, let's talk about everybody else. Everybody else who's in this room chooses to be here. The bonds in this case, at all levels of debt, in this case, whether you're talking about the DIP, the utility bonds, the segregation claims, people are accumulating position. The bonds are trading sometimes at above par. They're a little bit below par right now. But everybody who is in this case is trading into this case to be here. Why? Because this is a regulated utility. It may be an investor-owned utility, but the State of California is not going to allow PG&E to cease operations.

The CPUC is not going to allow the DIP lenders to foreclose on utility assets. Nothing is going to happen to the service being delivered to these customers and PG&E's ability to collect money from those rate payers if there's no bankruptcy case. There's a single reason for the bankruptcy case, and that is to resolve the tort claims.

So with respect to all of the fine lawyers in the courtroom, they represent distressed debt traders. They represent people who want to make money and have been making money hand over fist. And I've said this before. When I came to the Court before the DIP, I said, there is a disconnect here between people being held up on claims, some that arose in 2015 in the Butte Fire, and the people who are trying to get a point or two extra. There's almost no place to trade in the bonds anymore because so much money has been made. The last play in the case, when -- a major shareholder Baupost already holds half of the segregation claims. The only place left to trade in this case and make money is the tort claims, right? That's the last place for distressed traders to try to make -- to acquire the tort claims, and that's the last play.

These are all financial plays. I'm saying all this because you understand financial plays; I understand them. Everybody at counsel table understands them, but there are real people saying, my house was burned down in 2015. My house was burned down in 2017. I am still living in a tent from 2018. I apologize for raising my voice, Your Honor. So --

THE COURT: You don't have to apologize.

MS. DUMAS: So let's get to the cause standard. What the governor's office said and the debtor said and the equity holders said is that we've all got to wait to see what the California legislature does. But as Your Honor pointed out,

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric
the California legislature may decide not to do anything. It
may not do anything in the 2019 legislative session. It may
not do anything in 2020. So PG&E has been lobbying in
Sacramento, but its lobbying was before it filed for
bankruptcy, during bankruptcy, and it will continue outside of
bankruptcy.

The other two investor-owned utilities in the state --San Diego Gas & Electric and Southern California Edison -they're not in bankruptcy. They're lobbying for the same
changes to the law. We need relief, 901. We need change to
inverse condemnation. That is a strawman. That is a strawman
that we need a legislative change. A legislative change is not
necessary to confirm a plan that pays all of the utility's
creditors. Legislative change may be helpful -- may be helpful
to protect the market cap, may be helpful to protect the equity
security holders who bought in January at six dollars and want
to see it go up to sixty. But that's not necessary to confirm
a plan that will pay the tort claimants and the bond holders.

Now, Your Honor has signaled strongly, and we have taken it very seriously, that if a party can come forward with a plan -- a realistic, feasible plan that calls for the recapitalization of this debtor but maybe doesn't -- maybe equity is cancelled, maybe the bonds take a haircut. I don't know, but there is a plan.

THE COURT: Well, there isn't one yet.

- 1 MS. DUMAS: Well, there --
- THE COURT: I mean, I understand.
- 3 MS. DUMAS: Well --
- 4 THE COURT: As you know, there was one in the prior
- 5 case.
- 6 MS. DUMAS: And I agree with you, Your Honor. And the
- 7 tort claimants committee has not been sitting like potatoes.
- 8 We're running financial scenarios. We understand the
- 9 refinancing capabilities of this company with its EBITDA. We
- 10 can run the same multiple scenarios as anybody else, and we can
- 11 | watch the waterfall depending on those assumptions. And at
- 12 | some point, Your Honor may have to do an enterprise valuation
- in a contested confirmation that pays creditors. Remember, not
- 14 the creditors who are financial opportunists here, because
- 15 that's what everybody but the tort victims are. But the
- 16 | creditors who are really suffering have been waiting a long
- 17 | time for payment.
- 18 THE COURT: Look, I understand your point. But why --
- 19 | what will change that suffering if I break exclusivity today?
- In other words, I understand you haven't filed a plan, and I'm
- 21 | not --
- 22 MS. DUMAS: Well, we're not allowed --
- THE COURT: -- surprised --
- MS. DUMAS: -- to file a plan because --
- 25 THE COURT: No, no. But --

1 MS. DUMAS: -- we're within the --

2 THE COURT: -- but --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

3 MS. DUMAS: -- exclusive period.

not surprised anyone with my philosophy about plan exclusivity in other cases, not just the prior case but other Chapter 11 cases in the area. But one thing that I won't do is waste time on nonplans or tire kickers or troublemakers. So -- and I'm not accusing you or your committee of any of that. What I'm getting to is, if I break exclusivity today, what is likely to happen? Leaving aside what counsel to the governor talked about, optics and the obvious reasons --

MS. DUMAS: Yeah.

14 THE COURT: -- what's going to change --

MS. DUMAS: Yeah.

16 THE COURT: -- other than --

MS. DUMAS: So --

THE COURT: -- confusion?

MS. DUMAS: So --

THE COURT: In other words, how --

MS. DUMAS: It is --

THE COURT: Let's suppose that, as Mr. Karotkin

23 mentioned, if I break exclusivity, it might throw confidence

24 into doubt, it might change the market --

MS. DUMAS: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: -- maybe the stock market will go down,

2 but what will happen to help your constituents tomorrow and the

3 next day and the next day?

4 MS. DUMAS: Yes, sir. I --

5 THE COURT: I don't know. I --

6 MS. DUMAS: I --

7 THE COURT: -- have no solution.

MS. DUMAS: I have a few suggestions or a --

9 THE COURT: Okay.

8

24

MS. DUMAS: -- few observations --

11 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DUMAS: -- on that point. The first observation

is from a controlling case, the BAP decision in Henry Mayo --

14 THE COURT: The Mayo case.

MS. DUMAS: -- Newall Memorial Hospital --

MS. DUMAS: Um-hum.

MS. DUMAS: -- where Professor Epstein and others

18 cited by the court opined -- and the court adopted their --

19 Judge Klein, in a very nice opinion, adopted their suggestion.

20 There is truth in their observation -- this is 282 B.R. 444 in

21 our papers. There is truth in their observation backed by

22 examples from prominent cases that a likely consequence of the

denial of an extension of exclusivity is not that creditor

plans will be proposed and approved, but that the threat of

25 | plans will cause the debtor to come forward more quickly than

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric he might otherwise.

So statistics show, right? That's one possible consequence. And here's why I want to say that won't happen unless exclusivity is not extended.

Now, bear with me, because I'm going to take you back to Manville. In Manville -- I'll give you a cite because I didn't put it in my papers.

THE COURT: I don't need a cite.

MS. DUMAS: I apologize.

THE COURT: I know the history of Manville.

11 MS. DUMAS: Well, 66 B.R. --

12 THE COURT: Tell me what happened.

MS. DUMAS: -- 517. There were a number of opinions, but this one was a challenge to the shareholders replacing the board during the bankruptcy case. And in Manville, what happened -- another one of these mass tort cases -- is that the debtor and the tort committee in that case formulated and proposed a plan. And that plan did not provide for equity or enough for equity. So the major shareholders got together and replaced the board so that the debtor would withdraw the plan.

So that specific question be -- this is relevant.

That specific question before the court was, did that

constitute a clear abuse to replace the board during a

bankruptcy case for the purpose of getting more money for

equity? And the court, of course, found, no, it's a valid use

1 of --

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE COURT: It was --

MS. DUMAS: -- corporate powers to replace the board.

THE COURT: -- a corporate governor -- governance.

5 MS. DUMAS: Exactly. Exactly. There's not clear

abuse of the bankruptcy process.

Now, that's what happened in Manville. What happened here, as Jones Day pointed out in its papers, it was proactive. The major shareholders were proactive. They replaced the board -- hopefully, depending on the vote at the shareholders meeting next month, they replaced the board. There is now a board controlling PG&E that is acceptable to the holders of a controlling interest in the shares.

14 THE COURT: Well, that's true.

MS. DUMAS: Okay?

16 THE COURT: That's true.

MS. DUMAS: So what that means is that this debtor -we will never have that chance in Manville because this debtor
now will not get together with this tort committee to formulate
a plan that doesn't favor equity.

THE COURT: Well, that -- but that assumes that this

Court or any other court would not be responsive to a proper

motion to break it because of a viable plan option. In other

words, today, as I make -- if I have to make -- I have to make

it today. I will make a decision. I don't know that there is

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 an option viable. Tomorrow, there might be. And if 2 tomorrow -- and I say it metaphorically -- next week, next month. If next week or next month, the TCC or some other group 3 4 has a viable plan, I -- why couldn't I, on an expedited basis, 5 open the doors, break exclusivity then? What's the --6 MS. DUMAS: Your Honor, that could happen? We will 7 appreciate that opportunity. We understand that what we have 8 asked the Court to do is a massive stretch that --9 THE COURT: It's not --10 MS. DUMAS: -- could be --11 THE COURT: -- a massive stretch. 12 MS. DUMAS: -- perceived as --13 THE COURT: It --14 MS. DUMAS: -- destabilizing. 15 THE COURT: Ms. Dumas, it is not --16 MS. DUMAS: If the Court wishes to --17 THE COURT: It is not a massive stretch. I -- again, 18 I -- you're supposed to know your judge, right? This judge has 19 never been a fan of exclusivity, but he is a fan of practical 20 consequences. And the risk of a frivolous plan -- again, I'll 21 say what I keep saying. You are not somebody who I expect to 22 file a frivolous plan. But --23 MS. DUMAS: Thank you, Your Honor. I may --24 THE COURT: May. 25 MS. DUMAS: -- meet your expectations in that regard.

1 But --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

THE COURT: But in my -- in the prior case -- and I don't want the victims of the fires to think that this judge is going to decide this case the way he decided before. But the -- I don't remember if you were in the courtroom, but a number of people who were in the courtroom were stunned when I broke exclusivity that day because they didn't expect it. And they got themselves a competing plan that, guess what, led to, I think, a consensual outcome.

Now, maybe I'll do it again. But I don't have that option right now because no one has presented to me. And I -- and if your committee does or some other group does, I will have to --

MS. DUMAS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- be consistent with my philosophy, at least, as to why I shouldn't. So I'm down to the point of what's the harm of breaking the plan exclusivity today versus what's the harm of continuing it. And I'm still back into appearances more than substance. Again, appearances are important. They're important to the victims. They're important to the market. They're important to the political process and the public. But substantively, this is a bankruptcy court, where we have these rules that are what they are.

MS. DUMAS: Yes, sir. You are absolutely correct in,

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

I think, your assumption. And I will represent to the Court
the tort committee does not have a creditor plan that it wishes
to propose today.

4 THE COURT: I think --

5 MS. DUMAS: And --

THE COURT: -- I knew that, because I -- again, I know you and Mr. Julian well enough to know that if you had one, it would have been Exhibit A to your opposition.

MS. DUMAS: That's correct, Your Honor. That -- yeah, that's correct, Your Honor. And we --

THE COURT: And it's a formidable task even if you are right, that there's a way to reorganize this company and let the traders out there have their consequences. But there isn't an option yet.

Anyway, let -- I'm going to let you finish, and let me let the other counsel -- because we do want to get to the wildfire motion this morning, if possible. So --

MS. DUMAS: Well, Your Honor, I'd like to talk about 1121(d) for the rest of the day if --

THE COURT: We'll take that after the hearing. You and I can have another discussion.

MS. DUMAS: Your Honor, that's the conclusion of my remarks. We very much appreciate the Court's going out of its way to explain its philosophy, to reexplain its philosophy on exclusivity, the value of a concrete competing plan. We take

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 the Court's advisement very seriously, and the tort committee 2 and other creditor constituents will act accordingly. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you --4 MS. DUMAS: Thank you so much --5 THE COURT: -- Ms. Dumas. 6 MS. DUMAS: -- for your time. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Tredinnick, are you here? Mr. 8 Tredinnick, for the CCSF, filed a joinder with the committee. 9 Is anyone from the Singleton law firm appearing today? MR. SINGLETON: Yes. 10 11 THE COURT: Do you want to be heard? 12 MR. SINGLETON: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: All right. And that's Mr. Hawkins, right? 14 MR. SINGLETON: No, Gerald Singleton. 15 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Singleton. 16 MR. SINGLETON: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Hawkins was here --18 MR. SINGLETON: Mr. Hawkins is our --19 THE COURT: -- on other matters. 20 MR. SINGLETON: -- bankruptcy specialist. I'm merely 21 a humble fire lawyer, so I don't know much about bankruptcy. 22 THE COURT: You're welcome in this court. One of the 23 things that lawyers are advised not to do is admit to the Court 24 that they don't know anything about bankruptcy. 25 MR. SINGLETON: Well, I didn't say I don't know

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric anything. I've learned a little bit during this process, and I suppose I should thank PG&E for that because it's a fascinating area of the law.

What I wanted to do, Your Honor -- I'm certainly mindful of what the Court has said, and I think I -- I think we can all see where the Court's going. And I understand the reasons for that. I wanted to talk a little bit about the practical impact, and I appreciate the Court's stating that it was concerned about the practical impact.

To answer the Court's question, what would ending exclusivity do?

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. SINGLETON: I think the practical impact would be, metaphorically speaking, to light a fire under PG&E. And that is what we're not seeing. And it's not just me. It's not just the tort committee. To me, the most concise statement on this was from the ad hoc committee of senior unsecured noteholders. That was document 2008. And what they said, I think, is very important. And I think it bears repeating. Specifically, they said -- and this is a quote.

"The debtors have not, as far as the ad hoc committee is aware, engaged in any substantive plan related discussions with any of their primary stakeholders, including the ad hoc committee, which holds a majority of the utility's approximately 20.5 billion in funded debt."

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 I can represent the same is true. I --2 THE COURT: Well, I --3 MR. SINGLETON: Go ahead. 4 THE COURT: -- I think you did. I think you made a --5 MR. SINGLETON: Yeah. 6 THE COURT: -- point that the official tort claimants 7 committee seems to have preempted your position as that the 8 party --9 MR. SINGLETON: Right. THE COURT: -- that the debtors will negotiate. I 10 11 can't make rules about who should be talking to whom. You and 12 your firm have not been bashful, and you're welcome to come 13 here anytime you want. It's not terribly helpful to have you 14 taking positions that are completely unrelated, like on the 15 motion to extend the removal. But you're entitled to do it. 16 But --17 MR. SINGLETON: Understood. 18 THE COURT: -- but I mean, what I should do? Do you 19 think breaking exclusivity is going to invite you to the next 20 meeting with the debtor? I mean --21 MR. SINGLETON: Oh, not at all, Your Honor. But I do 22 think that breaking exclusivity would move this case forward. 23 And that was what I wanted to address there. To me -- and 24 perhaps, this is because my background is as a wildfire lawyer. 25 THE COURT: Um-hum.

1 MR. SINGLETON: I mean, I've settled thousands of

2 | wildfire cases, so I'm very familiar with how it's done. We've

3 settled over 700 --

4 THE COURT: Hold on --

5 MR. SINGLETON: -- with PG&E.

6 THE COURT: -- Mr. Singleton. Are we losing the feed

7 again?

9

8 THE CLERK: Yes, there's a network error.

THE COURT: Why does this keep happening?

10 Sorry. I didn't --

MR. SINGLETON: Oh, no problem.

12 THE COURT: Well, I mean, it's -- I don't like the

delay, but we haven't had this technical problem before with

14 | the other courtroom. It just takes a second to revive it, and

15 let's do it. There are a number of people --

MR. SINGLETON: Sure.

17 THE COURT: -- over there that are trying to listen in

18 on this.

MR. SINGLETON: I was actually --

THE COURT: Okay. They're back.

MR. SINGLETON: -- there before --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. SINGLETON: -- the Court called this one. Thank

24 you.

THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. SINGLETON: So this is --

THE COURT: Well, let's -- go ahead, Mr. Singleton.

3 | We'll --

MR. SINGLETON: Thank you. This is a perspective of somebody who deals on a day-to-day basis with settling wildfire cases. What we're not seeing -- and as far as I can understand from my conversations with the people on the tort committee and tort committee counsel, and I understand there's certain things they can't share -- but what we're not seeing is any movement toward what needs to be done to resolve these cases. And again, the debtor says this in their own filing.

They say, we're hopeful that a consensual resolution of the liability issues can occur. But if it doesn't, we're going to need the Court's intervention.

And that, to me, is the real problem, because there are two issues you have to resolve to resolve a wildfire case.

Obviously, you have to resolve liability, which applies to all --

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. SINGLETON: -- of the plaintiffs. And then you have to resolve the individual damages claims, and this was a point made by counsel for one of the committees -- I forget which one it was -- the Jones Day gentleman. But he said that we haven't provided him with or we haven't provided PG&E with specific numbers, and that's very true. We haven't, but that's

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric the second step of what needs to happen.

So looking at it strictly from a wildfire

perspective -- and I concur with Ms. Dumas that that is why we're here, obviously, if these fires wouldn't have happened, PG&E --

6 THE COURT: Of course.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SINGLETON: -- would not have declared bankruptcy.

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. SINGLETON: So how do you resolve them? There are twenty-one fires involved here. We have the 2015 Butte Fire.

11 THE COURT: No, I'm aware of them.

MR. SINGLETON: Certainly. Okay, so --

THE COURT: I don't have all twenty-one of them memorized, but I'm aware of them.

MR. SINGLETON: Understood, Your Honor. Of those twenty-one, CAL FIRE has determined that PG&E's equipment started twenty --

18 THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. SINGLETON: -- and then there's the Tubbs Fire.

Well, from my perspective, the thing we need to know first and foremost is what PG&E is going to do about Tubbs. And we had the opportunity at the creditors meeting -- I asked their CFO on two different occasions -- the first hearing, which I believe was in February or March, and then the second one, which was at the end of April, April 29th -- and I said to him,

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 what are you going to do about Tubbs and the liability? 2 Because if they're not going to admit liability, then we need 3 to start litigating that. We need to start doing it seriously 4 right now. And their response was, it's too early for us to 5 give you an indication as to what we're going to do about 6 Tubbs. 7 Well, Your Honor, I mean, that's hogwash. It really 8 is ridiculous. It's been eighteen months since the fire. 9 know what their contentions are, and they know what they're

11 THE COURT: Well, but --

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SINGLETON: Go ahead. Certainly.

going to do. To me, if the Court --

- 13 THE COURT: We start on January 29th in this case, and so today is May 22nd.
- MR. SINGLETON: Okay.

THE COURT: That's the world that I live in and the bankruptcy lawyers that are here behind you are living in. So I don't minimize what happened since the Tubbs Fire until January 28th, but the rules changed on January 29th. And the claims process and the way the claims can be dealt with are different. I mean, look, your office is involved in the Butte Fire from 2015.

- MR. SINGLETON: Right.
- 24 THE COURT: And you know how they have progressed, and
 25 I'm aware of your frustration as to why the finite number of

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 victims there haven't been treated a little differently. But 2 that's on the list of the debtors' counsel's to-do list. He's 3 got them all on there. 4 MR. SINGLETON: Your Honor, I certainly understand, 5 but the concern that I have, and I believe -- I don't want to 6 speak for her -- but I believe Ms. Dumas' concerns as well, is 7 that they haven't addressed this. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So what would happen in the 9 layman's term, in a non-bankruptcy person, a fire specialist 10 who you are -- what would happen in your mind if I determine 11 exclusivity? 12 MR. SINGLETON: Well, I think what --13 THE COURT: What would tomorrow bring for you and your 14 clients in this bankruptcy case? 15 MR. SINGLETON: Well, I think tomorrow obviously is 16 going to look very much like today, as the Court said. But the 17 question is, in the next few months what's going to happen? To 18 me, PG&E would immediately begin negotiating with the fire 19 claimants to do things: number one, determine whether or not 20 they are going to admit liability --21 But do you --THE COURT: 22 MR. SINGLETON: Go ahead. 23 THE COURT: Do you think that breaking exclusivity, 24 which is something that exists only in the bankruptcy world --25 MR. SINGLETON: Um-hum.

1 THE COURT: -- the rest of the world has never even

2 heard of the concept -- do you think suddenly that changes

3 their need to quantify their exposure on Tubbs?

4 MR. SINGLETON: Absolutely not, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SINGLETON: What I think it would lead them to do is to begin having the serious discussions which they admit on three separate occasions in their papers they haven't had yet. One thing that I do give them credit for is in their papers, several different times, they state, we have not had any substantive plan discussions.

THE COURT: But I can't make their counsel tell me how they are planning to go about dealing with the Tubbs Fire victims as distinguished from, say, the Camp Fire victims --

MR. SINGLETON: Yeah, absolutely not.

THE COURT: -- if there's going to be a difference.

Maybe there isn't. I don't know.

MR. SINGLETON: Understood, Your Honor. What I think the Court could do -- and this would just be a suggestion looking at it from the outside -- is to say, if you want a continuance of this exclusivity period, you're going to have to show some progress. For example, on a date certain, you have to let the plaintiffs know which fires you will be contesting liability on, and it could be all twenty-one.

But that would be something that would be very

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

beneficial to us. If they're allowed to have this additional

six months where we don't know what they're doing and they

don't have any requirements, then they can simply come back six

months from now and say again, we're not sure what we're going

to do. Whereas if you end exclusivity, or as I think is much

more likely, the Court extends exclusivity but puts some

conditions on it -- to me there are two key conditions.

One would be to have them state by a date certain which fires they are going to contest liability on so that we can then decide, are we going to send those back, for example, to Superior Court; are we going to have a trial in front of Judge Alsup; are we going to have a trial in front of this Court; how are we going to do that? Because as I understand bankruptcy law, that's something that this Court is going to have to decide, and there is no formula for it.

THE COURT: There are all sorts of ways to deal with it.

MR. SINGLETON: Certainly. Certainly.

THE COURT: And they aren't necessarily limited to the choices that you gave.

21 MR. SINGLETON: Understood. Understood.

THE COURT: Okay. And talk to the victims -- I mean, people like Ms. Dumas who are familiar with the mass tort resolutions in cases like Robins (phonetic) and Manville (phonetic) and other situations that are more like this case.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210 Filed: 05/23/19 Scribers 5/23/19 15:44:46 Page 67

1 MR. SINGLETON: Understood.

THE COURT: All right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. SINGLETON: The second thing that I think would be very beneficial is for the Court to set a date by which both sides -- that would be the plaintiffs and the defense -- are to give their proposed plans on how we're going to resolve the specific amount of each claim, because that really is the main question here -- is, we know what the claims generally are, but in terms of what the amount of those damages are and what we need in order to liquidate the claims, obviously the plaintiffs are going to say it's 10X, and PG&E is going to say it's 2X.

So we've got to come up with a solution. I assume that solution is not going to be that this Court would entertain 14,000 civil jury trials.

THE COURT: I don't think I'd be able to.

MR. SINGLETON: Understood. So I think what has to happen there is there has to be a provision worked out, whether it's a mediation protocol and then you would be eligible for arbitration -- whatever that is. My point is --

THE COURT: Now you're --

MR. SINGLETON: Sorry.

22 THE COURT: Now you're getting down into the details.

MR. SINGLETON: Understood.

24 THE COURT: Let's stick with the question.

MR. SINGLETON: Sure.

THE COURT: Do you want to have anything further on

2 the exclusivity issue? Because that's -- I mean, sure, I could

3 condition a grant of exclusivity or extension of it on some

4 kinds of things, but I don't want to try to get down into the

5 These are great, big, broad stroke brush questions

6 that I can't get down to specific --

MR. SINGLETON: Understood, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. SINGLETON: If I could just -- I'll certainly move

10 off that.

1

7

11 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

12 MR. SINGLETON: And I had a couple of other

13 observations --

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. SINGLETON: -- if the Court would indulge me.

16 THE COURT: Yeah, I will.

17 MR. SINGLETON: In terms of the amount of time,

18 everyone is focused on the size of it, and I completely

19 understand. And I understand the Court when the Court says

20 January 29th was the first day. But I know the Court is also

21 aware of some of the practical human situations here.

22 For example, many in the Butte Fire -- just to give

23 you one example, I represent a woman --

24 THE COURT: No, I know you do. I mean, I don't know

25 the specifics --

- 1 MR. SINGLETON: I was just --
- 2 THE COURT: -- but I remember --
- 3 MR. SINGLETON: Yeah.
- THE COURT: -- the particular number of people that
 were there.
- 6 MR. SINGLETON: Just to give you an example though,
 7 there was someone who didn't have insurance.
- 8 THE COURT: Um-hum.
- 9 MR. SINGLETON: Her settlement was reached. It did
 10 not fund because PG&E declared bankruptcy. So that's an
 11 individual who from 2015 still doesn't have a home because she
 12 was uninsured and she lost it, and PG&E did not honor its
 13 commitment.
- 14 THE COURT: No, I understand.

22

23

24

25

- 15 MR. SINGLETON: So you look at 2017, as Ms. Dumas

 16 said, there are thousands of families who are going to lose

 17 their alternate living expenses, which is how rent is paid when

 18 you're rebuilding in October of 2017 -- I'm sorry, 2019. And I

 19 think what all of us are concerned about is there does not seem

 20 to be any urgency on the part of PG&E, and that's what I think

 21 we would like to see put in.
 - And the last thing that I would say, Your Honor, is that -- and this is something again Ms. Dumas touched on -- but there is a difference here between PG&E the utility and PG&E the company. PG&E --

1 THE COURT: The parent, yes, I know that.

2 MR. SINGLETON: Right. PG&E the utility's been here a

3 long time. They keep the lights on. They employ tens of

4 | thousands of people. PG&E the company exists -- they're the

5 ones that paid out 4.5 billion, as Judge Alsup noted in

6 shareholder --

8

9

21

7 THE COURT: No, I'm --

MR. SINGLETON: -- disbursements --

THE COURT: I'm aware of that.

10 MR. SINGLETON: -- certainly -- while this was

11 happening. So if they were to go out of business as opposed to

12 | the utility, that would not necessarily be a bad thing. And

one of the things that to me is the most disturbing is for PG&E

14 to come in here and say, we need two things. One is a bailout

from the taxpayers, and the other is changes in the liability

16 laws in order for us to be viable.

17 That is a real concern, and the thing that I want to

caution the Court on is obviously no one has a crystal ball,

19 but certainly all of us do vote-counting and all of us are very

20 | involved in what's going to happen in the legislature. And I

would be very surprised if PG&E gets this change in liability

laws that they seem to be banking on.

So I think that, when we're talking about a plan, PG&E

24 | is attempting to use this process to get those two things they

25 | want, the bailout and the changes in liability laws. I don't

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric think it's going to happen, and I think it is very important that we look forward and prepare for a future where, come July or whenever it is they vote on this, they don't get the votes and we have to do a plan that exists under current law.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SINGLETON: So thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Singleton.

All right. By my count --

MR. FELDMAN: Your --

10 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

11 MR. FELDMAN: Your Honor, again it's Matthew Feldman 12 from Willkie Farr. Could I be heard very briefly?

THE COURT: Well, I was just going to say -- I was going to ask if there's anyone else that wanted to be heard, and you're up. Go ahead, Mr. Feldman.

MR. FELDMAN: Thank you. Your Honor, I wanted to make one comment to correct the record and one other comment. Your Honor, we have in fact filed a 2019 statement with respect to our group. And while Baupost is a member of our group, I think the 2019 stands for itself, and Ms. Dumas' comments are not really accurate. But I'll leave that to people who want to look at the 2019.

With respect to Mr. Karotkin's representations with respect to our group, we don't contest anything Mr. Karotkin said earlier.

1 Finally, Your Honor, we do share people's concerns 2 about the length of exclusivity and would prefer a shorter time 3 period. But we certainly accept the Court's point that, if 4 there comes a time when we are able to put forward a viable 5 plan, notwithstanding exclusivity not being shortened or 6 terminated, we certainly plan to be back before the Court with 7 that plan and argue at that time that we ought to be entitled 8 to file it and prosecute it.

With that said, Your Honor, again we favor a somewhat shorter time period. We think long deadlines just fill themselves, but we leave it to the Court's discretion. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Feldman.

Mr. Karotkin, do you wish to make any closing comment?

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Stephen

16 Karotkin, Weil, Gotshal & Manges for the debtor. I think that

17 | you made quite a telling remark earlier when you said -- and I

18 | think this is almost exactly your words -- it's inconceivable

19 that even if all of the problems were resolved tomorrow, it

20 | would still be a long time before the debtor could file a

21 | viable plan or get -- or reasonably --

22 THE COURT: I'm just thinking about the book you'd

23 have to do. That's all.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. KAROTKIN: Okay. But I think that sort of puts

25 | where we are in perspective. And I think with respect to Ms.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

Dumas' comments, we want to work with their committee to try to reach a consensual resolution. We want them to help us get the information necessary to do that, and that's why we will be with them this afternoon. So far, they're resisting it. They have an entire database of information which they're not willing to turn over to us. We want to get moving. We want to get the victims paid as soon as possible.

The gentleman from the Singleton Law Firm is suggesting that these claims should go back to the state court system, where it would take years to resolve. What we want to do here is get a resolution like in other mass tort cases, where we can propose a plan, perhaps fund a trust so distributions can be made a lot faster than the tort system. And we're hopeful that in the negotiation process, if we have that opportunity, we're confident we can reach a consensual plan.

THE COURT: Listen. It's not my role to tell
experienced lawyers how to behave, and I'm not going to tell
you how to behave. But you might want to have a one-on-one
conversation with Mr. Singleton just to explain to him what you
just touched on with me, that he may think that you are going
to send all this stuff back to the superior courts or to Judge
Alsup or to me, and he may hear it from you about your outlines
of trusts and channeling and claims estimation in the
aggregate. But that's neither here or there. So I'm really

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

not telling you what to do, but it might be helpful just to -
MR. KAROTKIN: I'm more than happy to have a

THE COURT: -- just to have a conversation with him, which is not to say not to talk to the entire official committee and their counsel. Okay. I got you --

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you.

conversation with him.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

THE COURT: -- Mr. Karotkin.

Listen. I'll repeat what I said early to Ms. Dumas and her clients. The fact that I happen to have been the judge in the prior case is a fact, and I'm not making decisions today based upon what was made in the prior case, because as she said, we didn't have the fire victims. We did have a large number of tort claimants, but they were not the reason why the bankruptcy was filed. And in fact, there was a discrete category of people that perhaps would have never been otherwise in the state court if the other bankruptcy hadn't occurred.

But the point is there was a relatively large -nothing by these numbers -- set of tort claimants that had to
be dealt with in a different way. But in this case, I am
mindful of what the tort victims have been through -- not
personally what they're through, other than to read their
accounts and hear from them -- and I'm not going to sort of
make rules today that are based upon what happened in a case
that, for the most part, had nothing in common with what these

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric victims have been putting up with and exposed to.

But for today, it's a discrete question. I am mindful of the different points of view. It should come down to a simple matter of picking a number and a date. I'm going to stick -- my instincts were to go with the debtor this morning, but I'm going to go with the recommendation of the official creditors committee, because again I don't minimize the role played by the tort claimants and what they want to have done.

The door is half open to the ad hoc committee, the tort claimant committee, or any other party who believes there's a way to come up with a credible, potentially confirmable plan, other than what the debtors' counsel may have. And whether that may or may not involve legislative change isn't for me to talk about, and the legislature will do what it chooses to do or not do what it chooses not to do.

But the official creditors committee's position is kind of a combined point of view from those expressed by others. So I'm not doing this as a mathematical compromise. I'm doing it from both history and also the point of view that Mr. Bray expressed, and I think it's a compromise. It is a signal to the debtor, get on with it, but the debtor's lawyer said they're already on with it. I believe them. If Mr. Bray and the official creditors committee have a way to move things along, that's fine, and I will keep open about either shortening the time if there's reason to do so or extending the

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric time if there's reason to do so there.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

So I will grant the motion by the debtor but only with a four-month extension. I will ask Mr. Karotkin and Mr. Bray just to submit a simple form of order. It's very simple. It doesn't need findings or conclusions or legal reasoning. It can be just, in my discretion based upon the matters that were discussed today and the arguments of counsel and of the parties, that's what I choose to do.

I think it would be a good idea to take a break just for everyone's personal convenience, and then we'll deal with the wildfire assistance.

Mr. Karotkin, I assume you -- and Ms. Dumas -- you want to have ample time for your clients to be heard and the other parties, and so we should anticipate running into the noon hour. You don't want to break until the afternoon, right, because you've got your meetings planned?

MR. KAROTKIN: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAROTKIN: We will not be very long.

THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll take a ten-minute break, but every time I promise a ten-minute break it turns out to be about fifteen minutes. But I will see you in ten minutes. Thank you.

24 (Recess from 10:56 a.m., until 11:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: They're all paying no attention to me.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric So we bang on the gavel?

No, they're coming back in.

Okay, we're ready to resume. And for people in the overflow courtroom, the mothership in Washington is aware of our problems with the hookups, and we'll do our best. If we lose the connection to the courtroom next door, we'll just hold things until we can restore it. But at least now, it's back in place.

So we'll resume the debtor's motion for the wildfire assistance program.

Mr. Karotkin, I've got a couple of preliminaries, unless you want to make a preliminary comment or a statement.

MR. KAROTKIN: I'll make a very preliminary comment. Your Honor, we believe that the pleadings are self-explanatory. They explain what we would like to do. We are ready, willing, and anxious to fund the assistance fund as described in the pleadings. We are willing to fund the 105 million dollars.

We don't believe there is a basis to compel the debtor to fund more than it is voluntarily willing to do. To the extent that the Court believes that there is some merit to Mr. Julian's cross-motion, as we said in our response of pleadings, that can be set for another hearing.

We are open to some constructive consultation rights by the UCC and by the tort committee but are concerned about

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric expense and delay in that regard, because we are anxious for disbursements to be made by the administrator from the fund. But again, we're happy to work with them on those consultation rights if that will move it forward.

And as I said, we're anxious, we're ready, we're willing to fund the 105 million dollars. That is our commitment.

THE COURT: And what are the specifics about identification of the administrator?

MR. KAROTKIN: We've spoken with the unsecured creditors committee and with the tort committee with respect to a few potential candidates. I believe -- and Mr. Julian can correct me -- I think that the tort committee has agreed with us on one potential candidate, and I don't know the status of the discussions with the unsecured creditors committee.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me make some preliminary comments, and then I'll hear from anyone who wants to be heard. First of all, it's important to note that there simply has been no opposition to the motion, which is a good thing, and I am not opposed to it. And therefore I think I'll be granting it in some fashion.

I agree with Mr. Karotkin the so-called cross-motion by the tort claimants committee is -- leaving aside whether it was procedurally proper, I don't think there's any provision in the law that permits it, so I have no discretion there. The

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric debtor has voluntarily chosen to come forward and offer to put up in round numbers the hundred million dollars plus the five million dollars to administer the fund, and I cannot under any theory of the law second-guess or impose an increased amount.

And I do think that the comments from the various creditors -- the United States Trustee, the International Brotherhood, and the official creditors committee -- needs to include some safeguards. I had in mind that perhaps if I'm asked to select the administrator that that would be my job, but if the parties can come to an agreement on who that person is, that's better.

What I would want to happen, unless someone thinks it would be inappropriate, would be for that person to be identified promptly, and that person then meet promptly with perhaps a subcommittee of representatives from the two committees and the debtor and the United States Trustee, if the United States Trustee wishes to participate, to come up with some specific rules of procedure.

I do agree that the debtor and the official unsecured creditors committee are correct; this has to be a dollar-for-dollar basis. To me it's not -- what I mean by that is that, if money is paid to a victim, there has to be some credit forward at some point, and it has to be treated as on account of a claim that that victim has.

I don't want to diminish the importance of proper

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric claims, but in my experience in lots of cases where there are mass torts and compensation procedures, there are a small number of claimants who aren't claimants. So there has to be some provision to make sure that the people who are asserting a claim under this procedure are proper claimants rather than improper ones.

And I simply disagree with Mr. Julian and the tort committee that there has to be some sort of confidentiality of the identification of who the recipient is or what the recipient gets. The fact that PG&E did this in 2015 in the Butte Fire is a historical fact, but the company wasn't in bankruptcy, which we spent the morning talking about whether there could be a plan. If there is a plan, people who want to participate under the plan will have to have claims, claims under penalty of perjury, claims subject to objections if they're improper, or claims that must be reduced on account of payments that were made that would necessarily as a matter of law reduce the claim.

So I don't mind making sure it's not in the front page of the newspaper that a particular person gets a certain amount of money, but there has to be an accountability from the debtor at least in the court records that reflects something of that nature. And so I think it'll be my hope that the administrator and representative have a working group to figure out what the appropriate rules of procedure be and agree with what you said,

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric Mr. Karotkin -- get on with it.

The faster we can get this process moving, the better.

And if we had an administrator today, I would congratulate that person and say, go into the conference room and sit down with the committees and outline the ground rules.

So Mr. Karotkin, unless I've said something that offends you, let me hear from the opponents here, and then I'll certainly give you an opportunity to respond. You want to --

MR. KAROTKIN: No, no, I --

THE COURT: Did I say the wrong thing?

MR. KAROTKIN: You didn't offend me today, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll do it on some other day.

MR. KAROTKIN: No, we totally agree, and we're more than happy to sit down -- once we have an administrator, sit down and work out the details with the subcommittee as you suggested.

THE COURT: And I don't think it's helpful to get into a bankruptcy lawyer debate about if I authorize this -- which I said I will -- whether it's under 363 or whether it's under some other section of the bankruptcy code or 105 or whatever. It's an appropriate remedy. There are others that will claim it's not enough money, but that's not the point.

And certainly we don't want a situation that occurred, for example, in the Robins case back so many years ago, when the court on appeal was presented with a challenge because

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric there were objectors to that proposal for paying various victims then. Here, there don't appear to be any challenges, and therefore it's important to go on with it.

So I wouldn't necessarily worry about a label. I would say that we're doing the right thing, and making payments to persons who are entitled to be paid under the law, and getting on with it as soon as we can. And by the way, the one thing that became obvious to me as I thought about this and read the debtor's motion again is that there may be two victims side by side, one of whom is far more needy than the other.

One may have insurance, one may not. One may not have lost a primary residence but in fact lost some other valuable asset, and the other having lost a principal residence and no other option.

So this is a procedure that is ad hoc in the sense that it's taking care of exigent circumstances under exigent conditions for the people most needy. And therefore a next door neighbor might not get a short-term remedy under this proposal, because his or her situation is different. And that's for the administrator and the proponents and what I would hope would be a working committee of people to make these rules and put them in place and implement them.

So with that, I'll ask for -- Mr. Julian, are you going to do the work today, or are you going to let --

MR. JULIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 THE COURT: Ms. Dumas -- you're not letting her have 2 it, right? You want at me on your own. All right. 3 MR. JULIAN: Robert Julian of Baker Hostetler 4 appearing on behalf of the tort committee. Your Honor, we have 5 never formally introduced you to the chair of the tort 6 committee. 7 THE COURT: Actually, I have. 8 MR. JULIAN: Ms. --9 THE COURT: I think she was at a prior hearing. 10

MR. JULIAN: -- Karen Lockhart, if you would stand up.

11 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Lockhart. Appreciate your

12 coming here and all the folks with you. I'm glad you came.

MR. JULIAN: She lost her father in the Cascade Fire, and we also have Angela Loo --

15 THE COURT: Thank you for coming, Ms. Loo.

MR. JULIAN: -- lost her father and brother in the Camp Fire. Also with us is a third member of the committee, Greg Wilson.

19 Stand up.

13

14

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

20 THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, thank you for coming.

MR. JULIAN: He and his wife, Christina (phonetic), survived after living in their pool in the North Bay as the fire raged over them and burned them and believing they were going to die. And they're helping us with this case, Your Honor.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210 Filed: 05/23/19 (97) 106251 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 THE COURT: Appreciate their efforts.

MR. JULIAN: I would like to first clear up -- before addressing the business judgment rule and two legal points that I'm going to ask you to rule upon today, I would like to clear up the fact that in our joinder objection and cross-motion, we consented that the administrator should turn over the names and the dollar amount to PG&E so that they could determine whether there should be a deduct in this case on the claims.

What we objected to was PG&E's attempt to obtain claim information and confidential information from these people on the application form submitted to the administrator.

THE COURT: But they'd have to do that with FEMA, right? And they'd have to do it with other governmental programs. I mean, I was recently involved in a case where a woman was ripping off the State of Oregon because she falsified her employment records in order to get welfare checks. I mean, it's just normal when you ask for relief, certainly from a governmental agency — this is not a governmental agency — you have to say why you're entitled to it or show what would disqualify you from getting it. What's so different about that?

MR. JULIAN: They will get that information in discovery in this case and --

THE COURT: But why in discovery?

25 MR. JULIAN: -- it will show --

THE COURT: But why in discovery? Why not -- listen,

2 if Ms. Dumas persuaded me to break exclusivity an hour ago and

3 I did, and the debtor filed a plan tomorrow and there was a

4 claim's deadline, every one of the victims would have to file a

5 proof of claim and be subject to whatever proper inquiry as to

6 the entitlement to the claim.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7 MR. JULIAN: We disagree, Your Honor, and I'd like to 8 move to the next point.

THE COURT: What's different about that? But what's different about that?

MR. JULIAN: This is a company that today is serving illegal questionnaires on our clients in the camp fire attempting to find out information.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know about that.

MR. JULIAN: Well, Your Honor, I feel we've lost the moral center of this case. This company that's trying to obtain the information is a convicted felon three floors up above us.

THE COURT: Mr. Julian, let's focus on today's motion.

The procedure is a procedure to get a hundred million dollars out to your constituents.

MR. JULIAN: May I turn to two the legal points --

THE COURT: Let's stick with that.

MR. JULIAN: -- I'm going to ask you to rule upon?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 MR. JULIAN: The first question is, do the debtors 2 need to comply with California law once they establish a 3 program? 4 THE COURT: I know your argument the California law 5 was persuasive. This is a federal bankruptcy court. And the 6 federal bankruptcy court, which is federal law, says the order 7 of distribution -- if you want me to impose an order of 8 distribution, I'll be forced to deny this motion. You don't 9 want me to do that. 10 MR. JULIAN: Well, then --11 THE COURT: I don't want to do it. So --12 MR. JULIAN: May I address that, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: So let's not forget that the federal court 14 has a section called 507 that says how people get paid, and we 15 are going to have an anticipation -- we're going to pay a 16 hundred million dollars in anticipation of complying with 507 17 and all the priority scheme of the Bankruptcy Code. 18 MR. JULIAN: May I address that? 19 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 20 MR. JULIAN: You've just raised whether the debtors' 21 business judgment permits it to do what it can under 363(b). 22 THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. JULIAN: They are not doing this out of the goodness of their heart. As you heard Mr. Karotkin say, there's a gating issue in this case with respect to obtaining

23

24

25

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

legislative relief. And in their 10Q that they filed on May 2,

which I attached to my declaration, they identified the

governor's strike force recommendations for three legislative

proposals that they need in order to reorganize in this case.

The liquidity fund that can bridge Senate Bill 901 funding,

changes in the law with respect to inverse condemnation, and a

wildfire victims fund to stabilize California for future fires

that will help the credit rating of this company and other

utilities.

THE COURT: Um-hum. Right.

MR. JULIAN: And it is important that they cannot obtain that legislative relief in their view, which is why they filed this motion, unless they get the support of our victims, the legislator, and the governor. And they are a pariah in Sacramento.

And it's important, Your Honor, for you, I believe, to hear us out on why they need this in order to reorganize and why it is business judgment and it is a post-petition expense for them, just like they hired a PR representative or made a charitable contribution which is, if you read the declaration of Mr. Williams, their answer to our interrogatory, why they're doing this. They're not doing it out of the goodness of their heart.

They need our consent. They need our support in Sacramento. And the reason why they need our support in

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

Sacramento is because they admitted in their PUC testimony in

2015, right before the 2015 Butte Fire happened, that when a

catastrophic event like this happens, it produces, quote -
this is attached to my declaration of Exhibit A -- it produces

"devastating nationwide broad-based political pressure

demanding intense long term outreach to policymakers and key

stakeholders.... Relationships are severed... trust is

completely lost."

They did not file this in order to pay a pre-petition claim. They filed it as a business expense to obtain the consent of the legislators and the governor who is charading them or shredding them in his weekly announcements.

Just two weeks ago, three floors above, Judge Alsup stated, quote -- this is attached to my declaration as Exhibit G, the hearing transcript of the sentencing of PG&E for willfully concealing the Butte County's investigation of whether they committed homicide --

THE COURT: Lower your voice. Come on. You are an officer of the court. Do not raise your voice.

MR. JULIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Judge Alsup, I just -- "I just don't think PG&E has put safety first." That's today. Then, "PG&E directors and PG&E senior executive leadership were ordered to meet with local officials in Paradise, California in San Bruno, including tours of those communities, so the leadership can see the

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 gravity of what happened up there." 2 I feel the professionals on this side of this podium 3 have lost sight of the moral center of this case that Judge 4 Alsup has focused on and that he is forcing the new leadership 5 of PG&E to go up there to Paradise to learn what I have, which 6 is why I feel so passionately about this case and I feel I must 7 address this issue --8 THE COURT: Well, I know --9 MR. JULIAN: -- of why this is a business expense. 10 THE COURT: I'm not faulting you for passion. I'm 11 going to approach you the same way I approached your colleague. 12 What do you want me to do? I have two choices today. 13 MR. JULIAN: Here's what I want to do --14 THE COURT: I have three choices. I can't go with 15 your third choice of increasing the amount. So I have two 16 choices today: approve the plan or disapprove it. 17 MR. JULIAN: May I be heard on that, Your Honor? 18 THE COURT: Well, by all means, that's --19 MR. JULIAN: Well, may I be heard on the two legal 20 points I want you to rule upon? 21 THE COURT: But I want you -- but at the end of the 22 presentation, you have to give me your recommendation for your 2.3 client. 24 MR. JULIAN: I am. I will do so, but I feel I --25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, we have forty victims here today. I think we're entitled to be heard.

THE COURT: Mr. Julian, if you had no victims here today, I'm listening to you.

MR. JULIAN: All right.

THE COURT: I'm just trying to keep your focus not on Judge Alsup, not on the board of directors. On my assignment today I have to go yes or no on a motion by this debtor to pay a hundred million dollars to your constituents.

So that's the focus. You can make your further argument. I'm not going to -- I'm not mad at you. I enjoy your advocacy. I just don't want you to yell at me. So make your argument.

MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, if the debtors asked you to approve the sale of the headquarters of PG&E's office here in San Francisco and build a new, cheaper office in Walnut Creek, and you approved it under Section 363(b)(3), they would have to comply with California State Law to get the permit.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. JULIAN: And I would like this Court to rule on whether on my point that the victim assistance law and the Good Samaritan laws in this state are entitled the same dignity as the building permit in Walnut Creek. Once they — their motion, Your Honor, is not to fund 105 million dollars. Their motion is in two parts. And you have the discretion to rule my

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric way and help these people today.

Look at their motion, the first page; it says to approve a program and then to approve funding. I am not being cute when I broke that up into two parts. It's just like approving the building in Walnut Creek and then going out and getting the permit.

THE COURT: I'm having trouble with the analogy. I'm a great analogizer (phonetic), but I don't understand the analog7. So let's --

MR. JULIAN: Here's my analogy: once they approve the program, they have stepped into the box, and again, they're approving the program to get their plan approved with victim assistance and legislators. It's a post-petition operating expense. I don't even think it's outside the ordinary course of business. Heck, they did it down there in Butte for the same reason.

THE COURT: They weren't in bankruptcy.

MR. JULIAN: But they --

19 THE COURT: They weren't in bankruptcy.

MR. JULIAN: But the point is, Your Honor, it's a normal business operating expense, just like charitable contributions and PR expenses are to get legislators.

THE COURT: And so therefore, I should do what?

MR. JULIAN: Here's the point. Here's the point.

25 THE COURT: By the way, I already said I don't think

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 we need to get bogged down on what section I'm relying on. 2 MR. JULIAN: Here's the point: once they step in the 3 door --4 THE COURT: I said -- Mr. Julian, you have to hear me 5 out. 6 MR. JULIAN: All right. 7 THE COURT: I said in my opening comment, there were 8 no objections to this plan and I -- proposal, 9 MR. JULIAN: The creditors' committee did object. 10 THE COURT: No, they didn't. They have guidelines. 11 They have procedures. So I didn't --12 MR. JULIAN: They objected to the hundred million. 13 THE COURT: I'll come back to you. 14 Look, my job is to decide whether to grant this motion 15 or not. I said that I didn't think it was necessary to decide 16 whether it's under 363 or 105 or some other section. If you 17 think it is, then that's your point. But what is the point? 18 MR. JULIAN: The point is I would like you to rule on 19 my two points that --20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. JULIAN: -- once you establish a program, and 22 you're out there asking one of my clients to walk in the door 23 with his application, you cannot abandon him under the law if 24 you are the one who made him the victim. And they have 25 admitted --

- 1 THE COURT: I understand.
- 2 MR. JULIAN: -- they have admitted that their
- 3 equipment did this. They are culpable. The only question is
- 4 | whether it's homicide or manslaughter with respect to camp fire
- because they knew in advance that that tower was going to fail.
- 6 It's a serious issue, Your Honor.
- 7 And so once someone who's accused or being
- 8 investigated and admits culpability because their equipment
- 9 failed and they seek to assist the victim, under our Good
- 10 | Samaritan laws in California and our negligence standards, they
- 11 may not walk away.
- The case that I cited to you involved the truck
- 13 driver --
- 14 THE COURT: No, I know it did. I looked at the case.
- MR. JULIAN: -- who injured a person.
- 16 THE COURT: I looked at the case.
- 17 MR. JULIAN: He was held liable because he walked
- 18 away.
- 19 THE COURT: It was not a bankruptcy --
- MR. JULIAN: They cannot walk away.
- 21 THE COURT: It was not a bankruptcy case.
- MR. JULIAN: Correct. And if this was a pre-petition
- 23 | claim, I would agree.
- THE COURT: You don't think it's a pre-petition claim?
- MR. JULIAN: Not when they need to do it in order to

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric get the legislators --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

THE COURT: Well, what if they don't? What if the legislature tells him, solve your own problems? Am I to -- does that moot the motion?

MR. JULIAN: No. The directors — they said in their motion that the directors in senior management met and determined that it would be appropriate to establish the Wildfire Assistance Program to provide some relief to the people who lost their homes and are currently in need of temporary housing or have other urgent needs. And I'm showing you the evidence.

THE COURT: So your point is then, if I understand you correctly, and this is perhaps why you used your building permit request, that if I grant this -- or that I should order the debtor to make these payments under California law, and therefore, payments made of a fixed dollar amount to a particular victim on account of a particular injury is not to be treated as a payment of that victim's -- a portion of that victim's claim.

MR. JULIAN: Not when they're doing -- yes.

THE COURT: And that's what your position is.

MR. JULIAN: It could be a credit, but they're doing

23 it -- the operating expense is just like paying a lobbyist.

24 THE COURT: Well, but I'm not --

MR. JULIAN: It's goodwill they're trying to generate.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 THE COURT: Mr. Julian, I'm deciding -- the debtor 2 asked me for permission to make this payment, and --3 MR. JULIAN: Under their charity. 4 THE COURT: -- and your position is they have an 5 obligation to do it, but you still haven't answered the bankruptcy question. Is it or isn't it a payment on account of 6 7 a pre-existing claim? What's the bankruptcy answer? 8 The operative document, all the case law, all the 9 Ninth Circuit law says, the operative facts occurred. 10 fire occurred in November of 2018, so all that flows from that 11 in my mind are pre-petition claims. Not -- they don't become 12 post-petition claims because of a legal theory, but --13 MR. JULIAN: No, they don't. 14 THE COURT: You tell me, then. 15 MR. JULIAN: They don't. 16 THE COURT: So if I authorize the petition -- the 17 request, and I don't try to put a legal code section on it and 18 the debtor hands over a hundred million dollars through this 19 procedure, do you agree that they are credits against any 20 claims that the particular recipients have? 21 MR. JULIAN: It depends on what the payment's for. 22 THE COURT: Well, the motion says what it's for. 23 MR. JULIAN: No. 24 THE COURT: It's to -- it does indeed. 25 MR. JULIAN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: The motion describes it for replenishing

2 their needs for their housing and other similar causes.

3 MR. JULIAN: I will --

4 THE COURT: That's what the motion says.

5 MR. JULIAN: I will answer that hypothetical this way.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

7 MR. JULIAN: If the individual who receives the money 8 has in his claim that he will file here the exact same

9 humanitarian assistance request damage and it's paid, yes, it's a credit.

THE COURT: But what if the victim doesn't file any claim? Does the victim have a proof of -- have a shareable -- an allowable claim in the bankruptcy?

MR. JULIAN: I would have to think about that one, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, but I don't have to think about it because all these events occurred pre-petition. We've been spending time this morning talking about claims bar dates.

Unfortunately, the victims that you speak for don't have their names and amounts on the schedules because they're claims are unliquidated for the most part.

22 MR. JULIAN: I --

THE COURT: There are some exceptions. Mr. Singleton's 2015 Butte folks have quantifiable liquidated claims, but the vast majority of the 2017 and 2018 victims do

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric not, and I'm not surprised.

But the point is, unless I'm wrong, the debtor has asked for permission to make these payments and the unsecured creditors' committee has said that's critical to have it be that way, and I believe it is too.

So if you're --

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

MR. JULIAN: So I'd like to --

THE COURT: -- if you're telling me that nothing prepetition counts, then it's a whole different ball game.

MR. JULIAN: No, I haven't said that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. JULIAN: What I have said it this: if the governor issued an executive order that said I'm not going to propose the legislation that you want until I see you do good charitable acts for the people in Paradise by paying them 250 million dollars, the exact amount you're paying your employees for bonuses. And the governor said, that's the type of good works I want to see you do, PG&E, to restore the fact that you're a convicted felon and lied about gas falsification records.

If the governor said that, and they then pay the 250 million, admittedly on a pre-petition debt, would anyone here dispute that that's an operating expense that they needed to get to show the governor that the legislation be passed?

And Your Honor, that is exactly what's happening in

 ${\tt PG\&E}$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric this case.

2 THE COURT: I don't -- look, you're mixing a bunch of concepts --

MR. JULIAN: It's an operating expense.

THE COURT: -- that whether it's an operating expense or not, it, to me, is irrelevant. To me, my job and my authority is to authorize this debtor to pay people who hold potential -- or not potential -- actual claims that have not yet been liquidated. And to the extent that they are disputed because there may be some people that simply don't have claims, which I hope aren't many or any, that they're doing the right thing.

Exactly what would've happened if we were sitting here having a plan confirmation today, and the debtor said, the plan's confirmed now and in Class A is all the victims, and they are going to be paid in the following manner. And that's what would happen. That's exactly what would happen if we got to that point.

MR. JULIAN: If that's the case, then why did their answer to our interrogatory, which I attached to Brent Williams' declaration citing that this is permitted by Section 4.9 of the creditor agreement which is a charitable claimant.

23 THE COURT: I don't --

MR. JULIAN: But they're not doing it for that reason.

THE COURT: Mr. Julian, I don't know how to answer

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 that question. To me it's a bankruptcy question: can they pay 2 it or not? If they --3 MR. JULIAN: Here's the chaos it's going to create --4 THE COURT: If they don't have a legal obligation to 5 pay it, then they probably have no business paying it -- a 6 bankruptcy business payment. 7 MR. JULIAN: Then you haven't --8 THE COURT: Let's try it a different way. If someone 9 comes in and says, you know, I wasn't even in Northern 10 California during the fires, but I'd like to get my share of 11 this hundred million. And Mr. Karotkin gets up and says, yeah, 12 give them the check. That would be wrong. That would be a 13 gift of corporate assets. And the debtor, for giving that 14 money away to someone to whom there is no liability, would be 15 subject to very serious criticism. 16 MR. JULIAN: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And you know as well as I, that's exactly 18 what management would get in trouble for for giving away 19 corporate assets, particularly as a debtor-in-possession as a 20 fiduciary. 21 MR. JULIAN: They're doing it because Judge Alsup said 22 you have to restore California's confidence in you. 23 THE COURT: I'm not in Judge Alsup's role today. My 24 job is my job in this motion. 25 So anyway, go ahead with --

1 MR. JULIAN: So may I explain the chaos --

THE COURT: You wanted me to make two legal rulings.

3 What are they?

MR. JULIAN: Yes. I want to know whether you agree or disagree with our point that Brooks (ph.) v. Willis (ph.) and Browne v. Turner apply in this case. Once the program is voted on by the board as necessary to the reorganization of this company, can they do it in a reckless way?

May I tell you the chaos that I think is going to happen as shown by the declaration of Kirk Trostle, the former police chief of Chico, who lost his home.

THE COURT: I read his declaration.

MR. JULIAN: And what you have, Your Honor, is you're going to have the first in applications, where people get a couple hundred thousand dollars --

THE COURT: Well, maybe they won't get a couple hundred thousand dollars. Don't you think that's what the administrator's going to do? If the administrator gets to be empowered, he or she will figure out how Mr. Victim A isn't going to get the jump on Victim Z, and A through Z or 500 Z or 5,000 of them, is try to come a fair and equitable result, which is exactly what would happen if this case were in a Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

If this were a liquidating case and there was twenty cents on the dollar to go to creditors, there would be a period

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric of time, and trustee would line up all the creditors and divide the numerator by the denominator and everybody would get twenty cents on the dollar.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

The difference here is we have people, as you know -I don't have to tell you; they're right here in the
courtroom -- who are suffering right now for what happened last
November. And we have the company saying we want to pay some
money to those people. And you're putting all sorts of labels
on, which maybe are right, but what I say is not wrong.

These are claims of these victims that are admittedly, at the moment, unliquidated and potentially disputed, but the company says, let's pay these people.

Now, you're saying, okay, but you get a result. You get brownie points with Judge Alsup and the governor. Well, I don't care about that. I care about -- and the creditors' committee I believe cares about letting the debtor pay a hundred million dollars when there's no legal precedent for it under the bankruptcy laws.

Read the Robins case if you don't believe me. Look what happened in Robins.

MR. JULIAN: Well, I filed Robins.

THE COURT: I know you did. Tort victims. Women who were injured by the Dalkon Shield were told by the Court of Appeals you don't get paid until there's a plan.

I don't want to impose that rule here, and I don't

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 think you want me to impose that rule here. 2 MR. JULIAN: I don't. 3 THE COURT: So let's --4 MR. JULIAN: But I do --5 THE COURT: Let's just ignore Robins and the --6 MR. JULIAN: I would like to explain to you --7 THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead. 8 MR. JULIAN: -- that the only evidence in front of 9 you, because I'm the only one who filed the declarations --10 THE COURT: Right. 11 MR. JULIAN: -- is the declaration of Brent Williams 12 who --13 THE COURT: Yes, you did. 14 MR. JULIAN: -- answered your question about the 15 administrator. He says, there are 20,000 households that are 16 eligible. 17 THE COURT: I know that. And some of what he said is 18 confidential. 19 MR. JULIAN: No --20 THE COURT: So don't read the confidential. 21 MR. JULIAN: Well, actually, one --22 THE COURT: I can't keep track of all of them. 23 what I'm getting at is I read his papers. I know what he's 24 saying. 25 MR. JULIAN: So I'm answering your question about why

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric the administrator's not going to be able to do it. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, I don't know why --MR. JULIAN: Because if --3 4 THE COURT: -- that's still not true. 5 MR. JULIAN: Well, he explained it. The same way a Chapter 7 trustee would do 6 THE COURT: 7 it. Tf --8 MR. JULIAN: Your Honor, if I were to ask you to make 9 a -- to reject my proposed finding of fact or not, do you feel 10 comfortable telling me whether I have proven to you that the 11 motivation for this director and senior officer decision for 12 business judgment as an operating expense in this case was to 13 regain the good will of the people of California and the 14 legislator in order to try to confirm a plan of reorganization. 15 I think that's what the evidence shows. And I think if you 16 make that finding of fact, you have the chance today to order 17 replenishment -- subject to replenishment or more than the 105 18 million dollars. 19 THE COURT: And to what limit? What's the limit? 20 MR. JULIAN: Mr. Williams' declaration states that 21 it's around 313 million dollars or chaos will result --22 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 23 MR. JULIAN: -- as people are -- neighbors are 24 fighting over this. We can't have neighbors, Your Honor, these 25 good people, fighting amongst themselves. They've suffered

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 enough already. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. JULIAN: Some of them don't even have water to live on in their trailers and tents on their property in 4 5 Paradise. 6 THE COURT: And I hope the administrator can figure 7 out a way to make sure that that person gets paid because there 8 may be somebody who is a neighbor who has a house that didn't 9 burn, maybe who'd lost a trailer. I don't know. 10 MR. JULIAN: The administrator's going to have a very 11 hard time with a hundred million. 12 THE COURT: I understand. The administrator will have 13 a hard time with a hundred dollars, or a hundred million, or 14 500 hundred million. It's not easy. 15 MR. JULIAN: I fear we're losing a moment, Your Honor, 16 in this case, where we have an opportunity to do something 17 because of the way they framed their motion. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me see what the other 19 parties have to say. Thank you, Mr. Julian. 20 Let's hear from the creditors' committee. 21 MR. DUNNE: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, 22 Dennis Dunne from Milbank, LLP. 2.3 THE COURT: Yeah, and Mr. Dunne, I didn't mean to call

you out of order. I mean, you don't really oppose this motion,

24

25

do you?

MR. DUNNE: We -- we had filed an objection. We filed

2 an objection because we were compelled, Judge, frankly, by our

3 kind of duties and statutory mandate to point out Robins

4 (phonetic) to you, point out essentially that -- and the pre-

5 planned issues with this.

6 THE COURT: Yeah, I mean the document is called an

7 | objection, I understand but it --

8 MR. DUNNE: And --

9 THE COURT: -- says what it says.

MR. DUNNE: No, and we also said, and this is the part

11 that Your Honor picked up astutely on, which is that were Your

Honor inclined to grant the relief, we think it had to be

13 | tightly tied --

14 THE COURT: Right.

MR. DUNNE: -- to claims against the estate, fires for

which PG&E had liability, and some sense that this wasn't going

17 | to be slippery slope, which I think the tort committee's kind

of showing, that they want more.

19 THE COURT: Well, I think Mr. Julian is saying I can

20 | ignore all that, and just do it under 363, and call it business

21 | judgment, and it's like building a building in --

MR. DUNNE: Well, I am happy to have that debate all

23 day long, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: -- Walnut Creek.

MR. DUNNE: He's basically saying the doctrine of

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

necessity isn't -- you know, you didn't even need the doctrine

of necessity to prove that paying pre-petition creditors

outside of a plan was necessary to preserve value in a going

concern. You could just do it under business judgment, under

363, and the whole priority scheme that Congress carefully

crafted doesn't matter.

7 THE COURT: Well --

MR. DUNNE: But we don't have to go there.

THE COURT: -- you know, the Supreme Court gave us

Jevic last year.

MR. DUNNE: The Jevic, and they were very clear on that.

13 THE COURT: Jevic.

MR. DUNNE: They were very clear on that, Your Honor. So you and I see it the same way on that. I don't have the authority to withdraw the objection today but the committee was cognizant of the fact that if you were inclined to do it, these would be things that make it more palatable that we believe the debtor should have done from the outset, and didn't in their original motion.

THE COURT: Well, maybe you and I, Mr. Dunne, are experienced lawyers, and I used to be one, and we know how to talk in a little bit of code because you said we object but, you know, here's how to do it if you really want to do it, and the fact is I really want to do it, and there isn't a person in

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 this courtroom who doesn't want me to do it, I don't think, 2 including you and your committee. 3 And so because if you said it's absolutely verboten, 4 cannot be done, you wouldn't give me fifteen ways to make it 5 work. Right? You didn't -- you won't need to. So the 6 question is, you know -- well, anyway, you don't have to say 7 anything. 8 MR. DUNNE: Oh, no, I know what you're -- and there's 9 a simple answer to that, Your Honor, is I don't have the 10 authority today --11 THE COURT: I got it. 12 MR. DUNNE: -- to say withdrawn. We could take a 13 break. I could convene a committee call if that's --14 THE COURT: I have the authority. 15 MR. DUNNE: You certainly have the authority, Your 16 Honor. You certainly have the authority to overrule the 17 objection. I believe the ad hoc committee of the bondholders 18 filed something similar, as well. 19 Your Honor, I did want to make one point while I have 20 the podium --21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 MR. DUNNE: -- and this is actually addressing 23 something -- actually two comments of Ms. Dumas from earlier.

One is, I actually agree with her. I think that we can make a

fair amount of progress on a plan, and get -- and focus on

24

25

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric getting large distributions out to the wildfire victims who have endured, you know, unspeakable horror, and dislocation and pain, as a result of those fires. And we should be focused on doing that as quickly as possible, sharing the information, and maybe we could do something that's not wholly dependent on legislative relief. Happy -- we want to commit all the efforts and resources of the committee to try to do that. The second one is a correction, Your Honor. Counsel for the tort committee also said, I believe their words were that every other lawyer in the courtroom represents only opportunistic, financial participants --THE COURT: Well, I think Ms. Dumas said something like that, yes, but --MR. DUNNE: And I think we all know that with respect to my client, the official committee of unsecured creditors, that's inaccurate. We represent the entire class of unsecured creditors, other than the wildfire victims. THE COURT: I don't think she meant it literally. am sure there may be members of your committee then --MR. DUNNE: I apologize for hearing it as uttered but --THE COURT: No, but there -- I'm sure there are members of your committee, there may be some who may in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probably in for the long haul, and that's why --

future change their claims, and there are some that are

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 MR. DUNNE: And it's not all debt for borrowed money. 2 THE COURT: Yeah, no, I understand. 3 We have employees, and trade creditors, MR. DUNNE: 4 none --5 THE COURT: I know you do. 6 MR. DUNNE: -- of whom wanted there --7 You have a counterparty to a --THE COURT: 8 MR. DUNNE: -- to be a Chapter 11 or choose here --9 THE COURT: -- power purchase agreement, as I recall. 10 MR. DUNNE: Exactly. 11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MR. DUNNE: No, exactly. Exactly.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think her point was that one thing I think is absolutely correct that she said, and she said it on the first day motion, virtually every creditor in this case, except the victims of the many fires, were -- chose to be creditors. There may be a few that didn't but you know, there was some tort -- other tort claimants that are not fire victims like the two that were here for the relief from stay last month. They were involuntary creditors but I don't think -- I think we know what we're talking about. The world of two -what, twenty billion dollars' worth are generally people that chose to be creditors --MR. DUNNE: I understand.

-- but none of these victims chose to be.

THE COURT:

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric We got that.

MR. DUNNE: And, Your Honor, unless you have any other questions for us, we're happy to just rest on the pleading.

THE COURT: No, I would like your thought on -without breaching confidences, how this thing will work if I
approve it, you know, what's going to happen? Is my suggestion
doable of picking either consensual among the principal players
here, who the administrator is, or if not either telling me to
do it or the U.S. Trustee to do it, and then getting on with
it, with that person having a -- promptly getting -- rolling up
sleeves, and getting around a table, and mapping out the
details.

MR. DUNNE: The short answer is yes, Your Honor.

Meaning --

THE COURT: Mr. Julian says it's chaos. I mean, I would like to think an administrator will figure out a way not to allow chaos.

MR. DUNNE: That's their job description, and their objective, Your Honor, and I think your point that it should be incumbent on the debtors and the two official committees to try to come up with that person as quickly as possible.

THE COURT: Yeah, but you know what I don't want to do? I don't want my courtroom deputy to say one of the -- you know, Mr. So-and-So wants to have a phone conference next week on an emergency basis, and I'll say what's it about? And say

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 they can't agree on an administrator. I'll name an 2 administrator if I have to. I don't think that the U.S. 3 Trustee has the sole role here but I am not going to have a 4 turf battle. 5 MR. DUNNE: Well, I think --6 THE COURT: The job is to get --7 -- that's fair, Your Honor. MR. DUNNE: 8 THE COURT: -- an administrator selected on --9 MR. DUNNE: Right. -- and on the job. 10 THE COURT: 11 MR. DUNNE: Give us some short period of time to try 12 to do it consensually, and then we don't have to argue about 13 who it is. Your Honor can just select. 14 I mean, I will approve. I mean, my view THE COURT: 15 would be if the tort committee and the unsecured committee, and 16 the debtors -- and I'm not minimizing the role of the ad hoc 17 committees or anyone else, and I will say, and the U.S. 18 Trustee, if there's a consensus that X -- Mr. X or Ms. Y would 19 be perfect as administrator, and that person is willing to do 20 it, I'm not going to second guess that. 21 If I get a slate of people and I'm supposed to pick, 22 or if I get, you know, none of us can pick -- I thought about 23 this, I don't think this is -- if we call this person an 24 examiner, I think the U.S. Trustee has to make that decision.

I don't think the law tells me that I can't but I rather let

25

 ${\tt PG\&E}$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric the parties do it consensually.

MR. DUNNE: I agree. I don't think we're going to be using the word examiner. It's more like a special master to administer the fund that we've all --

THE COURT: Yeah, but I can't call him a special master either.

MR. DUNNE: I understand. It will be some other -we'll come up with the right nomenclature, Your Honor, but it's
not an examiner.

THE COURT: Yeah, and so you're somewhat sanguine, I take it, about in short order, and this is for the victims, the people that are listening, this is something, it isn't six months, it's some shorter period, much shorter period of time where there will be a designated person. That person will have an organizational process with the principal committees and find what kind of professional help he or she needs, and get on with it.

And the next thing that I would do perhaps would be sign the order that approves it, and the next thing after that was that person would have a hundred million bucks to start to figure out the right way to distribute it.

MR. DUNNE: And let me give the Court comfort that -I mean, notwithstanding or pleading, assuming Your Honor
approves it today, we will commit all our efforts to get
everything done quickly. We're not going to try to slow walk

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 anything. We're going to try to get dollars out as quickly as 2 possible, if Your Honor approves it today. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 Ms. Villacorta, do you want to be heard for the U.S. 5 Trustee? You also did not oppose it. You said you want more 6 information. 7 MS. VILLACORTA: Right. 8 THE COURT: Have we picked everything on your list 9 there? 10 MS. VILLACORTA: Okay. So good morning, Your Honor. 11 Marta Villacorta for the record, on behalf of the United States 12 Trustee. 13 So the United States Trustee did file a response, just 14 requesting additional information. 15 THE COURT: Right. 16 MS. VILLACORTA: It's not in any way an attempt to 17 somehow -- it's not a roadblock. 18 THE COURT: I didn't take it as one. 19 MS. VILLACORTA: Okay, just for the record. And in 20 response to your question about being involved with respect to 21 the plan administrator, the United States Trustee is not 22 seeking to be involved with the selection of the fund 2.3 administrator. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Because you always -- you agree, 25 it's not an examiner.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

MS. VILLACORTA: I do agree. I think this is

ultimately something that should be left up to the parties, the

debtors and the committees, obviously with the Court's approval

on how the funds will be disbursed, and et cetera. So those

THE COURT: Yeah, let me repeat what I just said. If
the principal players here agree, that's fine. I'm not going
to second guess it unless, you know, I know that the person is
a convicted felon, which I don't think is going to be the case.
And if they don't agree, and they say you pick one, I'll pick
one. I mean, I will do it in a minute, and -- but I will make

So you don't want to be even a party in the --

MS. VILLACORTA: Right.

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are my comments.

THE COURT: How about in the --

sure that, again, the process goes forward quickly.

MS. VILLACORTA: Do not participate, right.

THE COURT: -- how about in the discussions that,

let's say we get to that point where the administrator is

identified, do you want to have a role where there's a further

discussion on what the ground rules are for the eligibility and

payment, and so on?

MS. VILLACORTA: Like I said before, Your Honor, I think this is really something that should be left up to the parties.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 MS. VILLACORTA: Okay. 2 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 3 MS. VILLACORTA: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: All right. I've kind of lost track of my 5 list, so I will just call counsel in order that want to be 6 heard. Do you want to be heard? Are you standing up to be 7 heard? 8 MR. TROY: Thank you, Your Honor. For the record, 9 Matthew Troy, Department of Justice, Civil Division, on behalf 10 of various federal agencies involved in this case. 11 We don't oppose the motion, Your Honor. I just wanted 12 to make Your Honor aware, if he's not already, and all the 13 parties present, that there is already a robust assistance 14 program from the federal government for these victims. 15 THE COURT: That's the FEMA program, right? 16 MR. TROY: The FEMA program, and potentially, I don't 17 know for certain, SBA disaster guaranteed loans. 18 I rise only, Your Honor, to raise the issue that under 19 these programs, if there is a duplication of assistance, there 20 is a possibility of triggering a potential right of recovery --21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 MR. TROY: -- against disaster --

25 THE COURT: And it was very helpful what I got about cribers Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210 Filed: 05/23/19 Page 116 /23/19 15:44:46

@7.01062551 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

THE COURT: No, I'm aware of that.

MR. TROY: -- recipient --

23

24

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 that. And that's what happened with the 2015 fire, right? 2 MR. TROY: I don't know for certain, Your Honor, but 3 it's possible. I can't say. I don't know. I didn't discuss 4 the 2015 fire with my clients. 5 But we did raise this concern before the motion was 6 filed with PG&E, and they agree as part of the proposed order 7 to have in there that the administrator would consult with 8 the -- with FEMA in developing the criteria to avoid this 9 problem. 10 So I just wanted to make everyone aware that 11 duplication is potentially an issue but we are going to make 12 efforts to avoid it. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 MR. TROY: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right. We did have some opposition or 16 some responses from others but if no one wants to be heard, 17 I'll go back to Mr. Karotkin, and see if he wants to have any 18 closing comments. 19 Mr. Karotkin? 20 MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Do you care what section I --22 MR. KAROTKIN: No. 23 THE COURT: -- make reference to here? 24 MR. KAROTKIN: I don't. I'm -- as I said, the debtor 25 is anxious, and willing, and ready to move forward with the

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric fund. We agree with your suggestion that we work with the two committees to get the administrator in place, and agree on any appropriate procedures, and we will commit to do so immediately.

I'm not sure what Mr. Julian was asking you to do. I think he was asking you to, in effect, issue some sort of a declaratory judgment on his view of the law. I don't think that's appropriate. I think maybe he was trying to get you to enter an order, so he could take some sort of an appeal to force us to put more money up.

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. KAROTKIN: I don't think that's appropriate. If he wants declaratory relief, he should bring it by appropriate procedure. As I said, we would ask that you grant the motion so we can move forward.

THE COURT: Mr. Julian, I mean, again, just tell me -you asked me to -- you want me to make findings. If I don't
make findings, tell me what you want me to do. Let's start
with the following proposition, that you're here to get me, at
the minimum, to get that hundred million out on the way to your
constituents.

What -- how do you want me to get there that's important to you because I am not inclined to do it. I'm inclined just to use my discretion to grant the motion, overrule the objections, as long as these safeguards that you,

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 and presumably your committee members will be parties to, 2 selecting -- selection, and development of criteria. So what 3 else is there more that you want me to do? 4 MR. JULIAN: One item, Your Honor. We would like you 5 to order that the administrator be chosen by the parties within 6 five days or it will come back to you to pick; time is of the 7 essence. 8 And secondly, I made my request for findings and 9 rulings on the legal points --10 THE COURT: Yeah, but I just want to --11 MR. JULIAN: -- as part of my argument. 12 THE COURT: -- I just wanted to clarify it. I mean, 13 if I -- I mean, if you were drafting the order, what would it 14 say? I mean, if -- look --15 MR. JULIAN: For the 105 million, or for what I 16 wanted? 17 THE COURT: No, I'm overruling --18 MR. JULIAN: I get it. 19 THE COURT: -- the request that you order the debtor 20 to pay more than it volunteered, and for the reasons I stated 21 at the outset, I don't know of any legal authority that would 22 allow me to do that. Do you want me to overrule that request 23 formally, and form an order? 24 MR. JULIAN: I think you've -- I think you've rejected 25 my legal arguments and --

 ${\tt PG\&E}$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

1 THE COURT: I did.

MR. JULIAN: -- we request that with respect to your order approving the 105-million-dollar of funding requested by the debtor, that you make two rulings: that the administrator report solely the name and the dollar amount to PG&E so that it can evaluate credits and offsets and not the underlying information that may chill the application process. That's -
THE COURT: Well, keep in mind -- and maybe you said it in your papers, and you were very thorough --

MR. JULIAN: I did.

THE COURT: -- and I mean, Mr. Julian, I simply can't process hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of pages. I have to understand the theory.

And so if you were telling me that the debtor has tried to get from your constituents signatures on papers that you are bothered by, I got you.

What I was hearing is that they wouldn't even be able to solicit even the kind of information that would have to be on a proof of claim. You know, a proof of claim would say who you are, what your claim is, what the nature is, what credits you have against it.

You don't have to put on a proof of claim, and by the way, I hope the governor does something. That's not --

MR. JULIAN: I would phrase it this way. That we have no objection and believe it's proper for the administrator to

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric submit the name of the applicant and the amount paid from the fund to PG&E and the committees.

And secondly, that the administrator determine, after listening to our explanations of mischief with respect to discovery, that if the administrator wants to submit more, the administrator should take into account our considerations, because we think more, in this case, creates mischief.

THE COURT: Well, let's take the example of FEMA.

What if one of the victims who -- in your constituency,

believes that she's entitled to 5,000 dollars, and but she also

received -- because of a loss of house -- again, I don't want

to pretend that I can imagine what any of them have gone

through. So I'm just trying to quantify it much like you were

quantifying the building permit in Walnut Creek.

Suppose this claimant has a good case for getting 5,000-dollar short-term entitlement here, but she got 1,000 dollars from FEMA. The form should say I got 5,000 -- I mean, I want 5-, but I got 1-, so I want 4-, right? Whatever the form would say. It should reflect a credit coming from another source, right? Why wouldn't it?

MR. JULIAN: Yes, the administrator should work with FEMA to make sure there's no duplication of loss of benefits.

THE COURT: Same with insurance benefits. In other words, Victim A has no insurance; Victim B has received from her insurance company some kind of temporary housing

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 arrangement. Why wouldn't the administrator need to make sure 2 that there isn't overcharging, if you will? 3 MR. JULIAN: Well, there's an interesting answer to 4 that. I don't want to get too far afield. 5 The administrator is going to develop the criteria. 6 We trust the administrator. 7 THE COURT: Right. 8 MR. JULIAN: We have agreed on a similar one to the 9 debtors and we have agreed to one, but there are others in --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. JULIAN: -- in consideration. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. JULIAN: The technical part about your question, 14 though is that --15 THE COURT: That's fine. 16 MR. JULIAN: -- under the debtors' motion, even 17 victims who are insured, if they establish other special needs, 18 can make an application. That's their motion. We haven't 19 objected to that. 20 What I think you're saying is the administrator should look at of this; and all I'm saying, Your Honor, is the only 21 22 thing that should be reported to PG&E, the debtor in this case, 23 is the name of the applicant and the credit. Everything else 24

should be shared among those people.

25

dealing with FEMA, the administrator, and the applicants,

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric

THE COURT: I guess I'm having trouble pretending
that -- I mean, I've having trouble figuring out why this
shouldn't be a mirror image of what would happen if we had a
confirmed plan today and we had a claims bar date tomorrow, and
the victims would file a claim and the victims would say I'm

6 entitled to this amount of money. And by the way --

7 MR. JULIAN: I see.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: -- I have these other kinds of credits.

MR. JULIAN: Yes. And our claims database that we're going to be exchanging in a mutual discovery plan, we will provide that information with respect to these applicants who receive assistance from this program.

THE COURT: But look, you could have somebody who lost a home and you think well, oh. But what if that person happened to have another home on Pacific Heights? But next door is somebody who not only lost a home, but is living in a trailer without running water? I mean, it seems to me it would be clearly obvious that the former should get nothing, at this point, and the latter should get as much as the pot would permit.

And there are no -- I mean, that's not a form; that's just the right thing. And they -- the claims administrator -- I mean, the administrator has to have --

MR. JULIAN: Yes.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210

25 THE COURT: -- some way of making sure that we

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 aren't -- I'm going to use the wrong word here. I don't mean 2 to say overcompensating, but prematurely compensating somebody 3 who is less needy than somebody who, right now, needs it in a 4 more dramatic way. 5 MR. JULIAN: I have miscommunicated. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. JULIAN: Everything that you just said is perfect 8 with me, with respect to the administrator, FEMA, and the 9 applicant sharing all that information so that all those 10 horribles don't happen. We agree. 11 MR. KAROTKIN: And Your Honor, why should --12 MR. JULIAN: And we want them to do --13 MR. KAROTKIN: -- why should material --14 THE COURT: Let --15 MR. KAROTKIN: -- on an application, which should be 16 factual material, why is that a secret? 17 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know. I think the only 18 thing that occurred to me is maybe -- maybe there's a reason not to have it showing up in the Chico newspaper that Mr. So-19 20 and-So got 1,000 dollars from PG&E, under wildfire fund. 21 MR. KAROTKIN: I will assure you the debtors will not 22 disclose it to the Chico newspaper. 23 THE COURT: Well, I'm picking --24 MR. JULIAN: You have only one piece of evidence in 25 front of you that answers this question. Everything else is

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric 1 speculation. 2 THE COURT: I know. 3 MR. JULIAN: The evidence is that I submitted what 4 good lawyers and PG&E good directors and officers did in Butte 5 2015. They said in that notice to the victims, we will not use 6 the information in your application --7 THE COURT: Now, I know you said that. And as soon as 8 I --9 MR. JULIAN: And there's a --THE COURT: -- as soon as I read it --10 MR. JULIAN: -- the answer is, it's obvious --11 12 THE COURT: -- I thought they weren't in bank -- the 13 bankruptcy rules are different. 14 MR. JULIAN: We are going to provide that information 15 in the claims process, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay, look, I'll tell you this way. 17 First of all, Mr. Karotkin, is it reasonable to think 18 that five days is workable to get at least an administrator 19 identified? 20 MR. KAROTKIN: It's fine with us. In fact, I've told 21 you, we've agreed with the tort committee. It's just the 22 matter of speaking with --2.3 THE COURT: Mr. Dunne is that reasonable --2.4 MR. KAROTKIN: -- Dunne. 25 THE COURT: -- I mean, because I -- listen, I'd rather PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric not put it in an order. I'd rather say get it done and if I don't get it done, I'll have an emergency motion or phone conference and say what in the hell is going on. And I'll say it that way too.

5 MR. DUNNE: That's fine, Your Honor. We'll get it done within five days.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I'm saying, I'm not making it part of the order, okay?

MR. KAROTKIN: Fine.

THE COURT: It's not in the order. So all right, look, let's review the bidding here.

I'm not -- I'm not going to make findings and conclusions. I'm going to sign an order that I'll ask Mr. Karotkin to circulate to the principal counsel here, that will use my usual: for the reasons stated on the record, the motion and the -- use the proper term of PG&E's motion -- is granted, and that the parties are to proceed forthwith to select an administrator and work with that administrator to prepare appropriate guidelines and procedures that the Court can approve on a final basis at some point.

And I'm not going to -- I'm making the statement that my reasons are that the bankruptcy laws -- excuse me -- that the payments are being made to victims for reasons that are clear to them, but they are -- they would not be paid -- how

 $$\operatorname{PG\&E}$$ Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric can I say this correctly?

The payments are to people who are entitled to payment under the bankruptcy laws, not because they're entitled because they've already filed a proof of claim, but because they are victims of something that occurred before the bankruptcy, and then for bankruptcy terminology, they are holders of prepetition claims, albeit in some cases, perhaps contested and in some — probably more cases unliquidated, and frankly probably not contingent at all.

And those are the bankruptcy terms. And then we'll leave it to the administrator, who will then forthwith meet with the principal players and come up with a procedure and get it in place.

So I'm not -- I am expressly not authorizing the debtor to make these payments because these claims arose postpetition. They arose pre-petition. And to the extent that someone gets money under this program who is not a pre-petition creditor or never could be, that person is not entitled to the money, and there may be a need to even have a disgorgement at some point in the future.

These are not things that have to be in the order.

These are things that are just are going to work. And so I'll leave it at that.

With that, unless there are any questions, I'm going to conclude the hearing, and I'm going to thank all counsel for

PG&E Corporation & Pacific Gas And Electric their spirited presentation. And I appreciate, for the folks that came down from Paradise an everything else, I appreciate your participation in our hearings, and I hope you'll feel that you got your day in

So we'll call it a day. Thank you.

interests. And I appreciate their advocacy and efforts.

Thank you, Your Honor. IN UNISON:

(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:01 PM)

court, and your lawyers certainly adequately represented your

1	INDEX		
2	RULINGS:	PAGE	LINE
3	Debtors' motion to enlarge time to file	8	11
4	Notices of removal of related proceedings granted		
5	Lease extension motion granted	8	22
6	Debtors' application to employ Lazard Freres	8	25
7	approved		
8	Debtors' application to employ Compass Lexecon	9	3
9	granted		
10	Creditors' committee's application to employ	9	3
11	FTI granted		
12	Motion to appoint Bruce Markell as fee	10	15
13	examiner granted		
14	Application to employ PricewaterhouseCoopers	15	2
15	granted		
16	Exclusivity motion is granted with a four-month	77	2
17	extension for the reasons stated on the record.		
18	Wildfire assistance program motion is	126	16
19	granted for the reasons stated on the record.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CERTIFICATION

I, Suzanne Baldridge, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Surane Bordridge

9 /s/ SUZANNE BALDRIDGE

11 eScribers

12 | 7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207

13 Phoenix, AZ 85020

15 Date: May 23, 2019

2.3

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 2210 Filed: 05/23/19 ESCRIPTION | 15:44:46 Page 130 | 97:000 | 15:44:46 Page 130 | 15:

	45:1;48:14	123:22,23;124:8;	agree (25)	amended (1)
\mathbf{A}	across (1)	125:18;126:19,19;	9:3,15,16;24:2;	13:6
A	14:3	127:11	32:23;42:14;50:6;	among (2)
ahandan (1)	act (5)	administrator's (3)	79:22;80:19;81:25;	111:7;122:25
abandon (1)	9:17;10:23;19:23;	101:18;104:1;105:10	82:13;94:23;96:19;	amongst (1)
93:23	33:13;58:2	admit (4)	101:4;108:24;112:1;	104:25
Abid (1) 35:23	acting (1)	58:23;64:2;65:20;	113:2;114:24;115:1,7,	amount (13)
ability (1)	20:3	66:7	10;117:6;118:1,2;	68:7,9;69:17;80:4;
47:22	action (3)	admits (1)	124:10	81:20;85:7;90:15;
able (7)	33:16;41:10;43:10	94:8	Agreed (5)	95:16;98:16;108:25;
24:23;31:11;33:17;	actions (2)	admitted (3)	28:9;79:13;122:8,9;	120:5;121:1;123:6
68:15;73:4;104:1;	26:4;28:17	89:1;93:25;94:2	125:21	amounts (2)
120:17	activity (1)	admittedly (2)	agreeing (1)	39:4;97:20
above (3)	29:14	98:22;102:10	23:10	ample (1)
47:15;86:18;89:13	actors (1)	adopt (1)	agreement (3)	77:13
absence (3)	20:3	9:14	80:10;99:22;110:9	analog7 (1)
38:15;39:24;40:12	acts (1)	adopted (2)	AH (1)	92:9
Absolutely (10)	98:15	52:18,19	45:3	analogizer (1)
13:11;23:22;28:23;	actual (1)	advance (1)	ahead (12)	92:8
31:6;42:4;56:25;66:4,	99:8	94:5	6:24;8:13;60:3;	analogy (2)
15;108:3;110:14	actually (11)	advanced (1)	61:22;62:2;64:12;	92:7,10
abuse (2)	10:19;30:7;31:9;	19:3	65:22;72:15;86:25;	analytics (2)
53:23;54:6	38:15,15;61:19;84:7;	adversary (1)	90:25;100:25;103:7	11:12,19
accept (1)	103:21;108:22,23,24	15:5	Akin (1)	and/or (2)
73:3	acutely (2)	advised (1)	35:23	37:12;42:12
acceptable (1)	14:6;46:10	58:23	Al (1)	and-So (1)
54:12	ad (11)	advisement (1)	9:24	124:20
access (1)	7:14;35:20,24;36:19;	58:1	alarm (1)	Angela (1)
18:10	59:17,21,23;76:9;	advocacy (2)	30:22	84:14
accommodates (1)	83:15;108:17;112:16	91:12;128:6	albeit (1)	announcements (1)
42:18	add (1)	AECOM (1)	127:7	89:12
according (2)	10:20	15:6	ALI (1)	answered (2)
45:9,14	additional (4)	affected (4)	10:11	96:5;103:14
accordingly (1)	18:13;19:25;67:1;	43:24;44:17;46:7,15	allow (4)	anticipate (1) 77:14
58:2	114:14 address (7)	afield (1) 122:4	47:19,20;111:17; 119:22	anticipating (1)
accords (1)	24:24;26:6;45:15;	afternoon (3)	allowable (1)	34:1
36:6	60:23;87:12,18;90:7	19:12;74:4;77:15	97:13	anticipation (2)
account (5)	addressed (4)	Again (41)	allowed (3)	87:15,16
80:23;81:16;95:17;	17:9;24:22;25:17;	8:17,20;10:22;12:21;	22:20;50:22;67:1	anxious (4)
96:6;121:6	65:7	15:22;16:3;17:15;	allowing (1)	78:16;79:1,5;117:25
accountability (3)	addressing (4)	19:11,16,18;20:1;22:8,	42:17	anymore (1)
27:5;34:12;81:21	21:20;25:20;85:3;	25;24:7;26:21;28:11,	almost (5)	48:9
accountable (2) 26:19,23	108:22	15;29:3,6,12;31:12;	42:10;45:5;47:2;	apologize (5)
accounts (1)	adequately (1)	34:13;36:21;55:17,20;	48:8;73:18	7:12;48:20,21;53:9;
75:23	128:5	56:10,19;57:6;61:7;	along (1)	109:20
accumulating (1)	adjourns (1)	62:11;67:4;70:23;	76:24	appeal (2)
47:14	33:11	72:11;73:9;76:7;79:3;	Alsup (9)	82:25;118:9
accurate (1)	administer (2)	83:9;92:11;115:12;	67:12;71:5;74:23;	Appeals (1)
72:21	80:3;113:4	118:16;121:11	89:13,21;90:4;91:7;	102:24
accused (1)	administration (2)	against (4)	100:21;102:14	appear (2)
94:7	30:7;46:3	96:19;106:15;	Alsup's (1)	8:23;83:2
accusing (1)	Administrative (1)	116:22;120:21	100:23	appearance (1)
51:9	47:5	agencies (1)	alternate (1)	37:17
acknowledge (2)	administrator (43)	116:10	70:17	appearances (2)
9:16;30:5	79:2,9;80:9;81:23;	agency (2)	alternative (1)	56:19,19
acknowledged (2)	82:3,14;83:20;85:6,11;	85:18,18	28:6	appearing (3)
19:8;25:21	101:18;103:15;105:6,	aggregate (2)	alternatively (1)	10:8;58:9;84:4
acknowledges (2)	12;111:8,16;112:1,2,8,	17:8;74:25	29:24	apples (1)
17:6;23:24	19;114:21,23;115:18;	ago (7)	always (3)	39:15
acknowledging (1)	117:7;118:2;119:5;	20:6;29:19;33:25;	15:20;16:12;114:24	applicant (3)
16:14	120:4,25;121:3,5,6,21;	40:22;82:24;86:2;	amend (2)	121:1;122:23;124:9
acquire (2)	122:1,5,6,20,24;	89:13	12:7,10	applicants (2)
-	I	I .	l .	I

AND ELECTRIC COMI				Г
122:24;123:11	119:11	85:9;114:16	25:17;30:15;44:5;	
application (8)	arguments (3)	attempting (2)	45:2,18,19,20;47:24,	b
8:24;9:5;85:11;	9:14;77:7;119:25	71:24;86:13	24;49:5,5,6,9;53:15,24;	
93:23;120:7;122:18;	arising (1)	attention (2)	54:6;56:23;58:20,21,	
124:15;125:6	45:15	6:11;77:25	24;63:7;64:17;65:14,	b
applications (1)	arose (3)	attract (1)	24;67:14;70:10;75:15,	
101:14	48:6;127:15,16	24:23	17;81:12;82:18,20;	b
applies (1)	around (2)	audio (1)	87:5,6,17;92:17,19;	
62:17	104:21;111:11	40:7	94:19,21;96:6,7;97:13;	b
apply (1)	arrangement (1)	authority (7)	100:1,6;101:23;	
101:6	122:1	99:7;107:16;108:10,	102:18;125:13;126:23;	b
appreciate (15)	array (1)	14,15,16;119:21	127:3,5,6,10	
7:11;9:1,9;16:14;	25:16	authorize (3)	BAP (1)	b
31:21,25;44:9;55:7;	articulate (1)	82:18;96:16;99:7	52:13	_
57:23;59:8;84:11;85:1;	33:18	authorizing (2)	bar (12)	B
128:2,3,6	articulated (1)	14:12;127:14	18:12,19;19:14,16,	
appreciated (1)	31:15	available (1)	20,22;35:11;41:16,19,	
21:14	asbestos (1)	40:13	21;97:18;123:4	
appreciates (1)	45:24	avoid (2)	bar-date (1)	
31:23	aside (2)	117:8,12	41:4	_
approach (6)	51:11;79:23	aware (18)	base (1)	В
25:22;26:14;38:4;	aspect (1)	14:6,16;15:15;28:2;	23:20	L
42:23,24;90:11	28:12	33:5,16;39:20;46:10; 59:22;63:11,14;64:25;	based (4)	b
approached (1) 90:11	asserted (1) 39:4	69:21;71:9;78:4;	40:14;75:12,24;77:6 bashful (1)	b
appropriate (16)	asserting (1)	116:12,23;117:10	60:12	U
17:15;19:19;27:24,	81:4	away (7)	basically (1)	
25;34:14;35:13;36:16;	assertions (1)	26:1;36:15;94:11,18,	106:25	b
38:11;81:25;82:21;	7:20	20;100:14,18	basis (8)	0
95:7;118:3,8,12,13;	assess (2)	20,100.14,10	13:5;19:11;55:4;	b
126:20	27:18;34:15	В	62:5;78:19;80:21;	
appropriately (1)	asset (1)		111:25;126:21	b
				~
20:3	83:12	back (25)	battle (1)	
	83:12 assets (3)	back (25) 6:11:15:21:16:1:	battle (1) 112:4	В
20:3 approval (1) 115:3	assets (3)	6:11;15:21;16:1;	112:4	В
approval (1) 115:3				
approval (1)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19;	112:4 Baupost (2)	
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6;	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22;	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22	
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13;	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2)	b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5	b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10,	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1)	b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8;	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10	b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24;	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1)	b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19	b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1)	b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8	b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1)	b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11	b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1)	b. b. b. b. b. b. b. b. b.
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24	b. b. b. b. b. b. b. b. b.
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3)	b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7	b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1)	b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12	b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11)	b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8;	b b b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22 argue (4)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1) 25:19	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9 bang (1)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8; 25:11;35:23;37:24;	b b b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9 bang (1) 78:1	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8;	b b b b b b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22 argue (4) 38:14;39:11;73:7; 112:12	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1) 25:19 astutely (1)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9 bang (1) 78:1 bank (2)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8; 25:11;35:23;37:24; 43:8,17;84:4;114:11;	b b b b b b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22 argue (4) 38:14;39:11;73:7;	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1) 25:19 astutely (1) 106:11	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9 bang (1) 78:1	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8; 25:11;35:23;37:24; 43:8,17;84:4;114:11; 116:9	b b b b b b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22 argue (4) 38:14;39:11;73:7; 112:12 arguing (1)	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1) 25:19 astutely (1) 106:11 attached (5)	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9 bang (1) 78:1 bank (2) 21:25;125:12	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8; 25:11;35:23;37:24; 43:8,17;84:4;114:11; 116:9 behave (2)	b b b b b b b b b
approval (1) 115:3 approve (9) 8:25;90:16;91:15; 92:3,3,10;111:6; 112:14;126:21 approved (3) 52:24;91:17;92:12 approves (4) 13:2;113:19,24; 114:2 approving (4) 7:19;92:5,12;120:3 approximately (2) 47:1;59:25 April (2) 63:25,25 arbitration (1) 68:19 area (2) 51:7;59:3 areas (1) 42:22 argue (4) 38:14;39:11;73:7; 112:12 arguing (1) 34:21	assets (3) 47:21;100:13,19 assignment (1) 91:7 assist (1) 94:9 assistance (11) 15:11;77:11;78:10, 16;91:21;92:13;95:8; 97:9;116:13,19;123:12 assume (3) 38:25;68:12;77:12 assumes (1) 54:21 assuming (1) 113:23 assumption (2) 40:14;57:1 assumptions (1) 50:11 assure (3) 19:21;24:12;124:21 assuring (1) 25:19 astutely (1) 106:11 attached (5) 34:6;88:2;89:4,14;	6:11;15:21;16:1; 19:13;20:4;21:19; 30:11;32:17;37:3,12; 40:9;53:5;56:18;61:20; 67:3,10;73:6;74:9,22; 78:2,7;82:24;93:13; 117:17;119:6 backed (1) 52:21 background (2) 26:10;60:24 bad (2) 20:3;71:12 bailout (2) 71:14,25 bailouts (1) 25:25 Baker (1) 84:3 BakerHostetler (1) 43:17 ball (2) 71:18;98:9 bang (1) 78:1 bank (2) 21:25;125:12 banking (1)	112:4 Baupost (2) 48:10;72:19 Bay (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 bear (2) 26:3;53:5 bearing (1) 35:10 bears (1) 59:19 became (1) 83:8 become (1) 96:11 becomes (1) 13:24 begin (3) 32:13;65:18;66:7 beginning (1) 35:12 behalf (11) 7:14;9:13;10:8; 25:11;35:23;37:24; 43:8,17;84:4;114:11; 116:9 behave (2) 74:18,19	Bibibibibibibibibibibibibibibibibibibib

64:17 believes (4) 30:6;76:10;78:21; 121:10 believing (1) 84:23 below (1) 47:15 beneficial (2) 67:1;68:4 benefit (2) 10:17;20:23 benefits (3) 46:6;121:22,23 Bennett (24) 37:18,19,23,24;38:2, 8,10,20;39:14,17,19, 21,23;40:9,10;41:6,22, 24;42:2,14,16,25;43:1, Bennett's (1) 43:14 best (3) 26:14;39:1;78:5 better (7) 27:1;29:21;30:10; 33:9;34:16;80:11;82:2 bidding (1) 126:12 big (3) 28:21;46:23;69:5 bigger (1) 25:16 **Bill (2)** 41:13;88:5 billion (5) 47:2,2;59:25;71:5; 110:22 billions (2) 45:11,15 bit (4) 47:15;59:1,7;107:23 board (9) 53:15,20,23;54:3,10, 11,12;91:7;101:7 bogged (1) 93:1 bond (1) 49:18 bondholders (1) 108:17 bonds (5) 47:11,13,14;48:8; 49:23 bonuses (1) 98:17 book (1) 73:22 borrowed (1) 110:1 **both** (5)

9:8,10;46:8;68:4;

76:19

AND ELECTRIC COMP	ANI			May 22, 201
1 41 1 (1)	05 12 106 21 21	46 22 40 20 50 10 10	127.7.9	22.14
bothered (1)	95:13;106:21,21;	46:22;49:20;50:10,10;	127:7,8	22:14
120:16	121:14	57:21;59:6;60:1;62:6,	catastrophic (1)	Chapter (6)
bought (1)	bunch (1)	13;64:20;67:3,10;	89:3	20:4;30:19;51:6;
49:16	99:2	74:12,13,15;77:6;78:7,	category (1)	101:23;104:6;110:8
bound (1)	burden (3)	22;79:12;80:10;82:2;	75:16	charading (1)
9:16	7:22;32:16,18	83:7;87:21;88:5;89:25;	cause (6)	89:11
box (2)	burden-of-proof (1)	91:10;100:1;101:8;	19:20,23;21:24;	charitable (4)
34:25;92:11	32:15	105:6;106:19;108:24;	32:17;48:22;52:25	88:20;92:21;98:15;
BR (2)	burn (1)	112:13,22;118:15;	caused (2)	99:22
52:20;53:11	105:9	120:6;121:12;122:18;	45:9,10	charity (1)
BRAY (21)	burned (3)	126:20;127:1	causes (1)	96:3
32:7,8,9,9,13,23;	48:18,19;84:23	cancelled (1)	97:2	chart (1)
33:2,4,8,21,23;34:1,24;	business (15)	49:23	caution (1)	38:17
35:3,5,7,18,19;76:20,	21:18,19;71:11;85:3;	candidate (1)	71:18	charts (1)
22;77:3	87:21;88:18;89:10;	79:14	CCSF (1)	46:18
breaching (1)	90:9;92:15,21;100:5,6;	candidates (1)	58:8	cheaper (1)
111:5		79:12		91:16
	104:12;106:20;107:4		cease (1)	
break (13)	Butte (10)	cap (2)	47:19	check (2)
20:25;40:4;50:19;	48:7;63:10;64:21;	45:21;49:15	Cecil (1)	27:20;100:12
51:10,23;54:23;55:5;	69:22;81:11;89:2,16;	capabilities (1)	43:17	checkpoint (1)
77:9,15,20,21;86:2;	92:15;97:24;125:4	50:9	Cell (1)	34:3
108:13	_	capital (1)	38:7	checks (1)
breaking (4)	C	24:24	center (2)	85:16
56:17;60:19,22;		care (7)	86:16;90:3	Chico (3)
65:23	CAL (1)	6:18;8:5;10:12;	cents (2)	101:11;124:19,22
Brent (2)	63:16	83:16;102:15,15;	101:25;102:3	chief (1)
99:20;103:11	calendar (4)	117:21	certain (6)	101:11
bridge (1)	7:8;13:5;15:3,7	carefully (2)	62:8;66:22;67:8;	chill (1)
88:5	CALIFORNIA (19)	9:9;107:5	81:20;116:17;117:2	120:7
brief (2)	6:1;26:7;44:4;46:9,	cares (1)	certainly (20)	choice (1)
10:21;14:12	12,13;47:19;48:25;	102:16	15:1;16:20;32:5;	90:15
briefly (1)	49:1,8;87:2,4;88:7;	carrying (1)	59:4;63:12;64:12;65:4;	choices (4)
72:12	89:24;91:18;94:10;	11:4	67:18,18;69:9;71:10,	67:20;90:12,14,16
bring (2)	95:15;100:10;104:13	Cascade (1)	19;73:3,6;82:8,23;	choose (5)
65:13;118:13	California's (1)	84:13	85:17;108:15,16;128:5	28:19,20;46:17;77:8;
broad (2)	100:22	case (92)	cetera (2)	110:8
25:16;69:5	Call (10)	12:10;15:3,16;16:4;	47:3;115:4	chooses (5)
broad-based (1)	6:3;33:14;40:3;	19:8;21:4;22:15,17;	CFO (1)	9:4;28:18;47:11;
89:5	105:23;106:20;108:13;	23:4,11;25:21;28:3,7;	63:22	76:15,15
broke (3)	112:23;113:5;116:5;	33:19;34:8,12;37:10;	chair (2)	chose (5)
23:13;56:7;92:4	128:7	38:6,14,23,25;39:6,6;	43:20;84:5	35:10;44:10;110:16,
Brooks (1)	called (4)	42:7;44:14,16,20,21;	challenge (3)	23,25
101:5	28:16;61:23;87:14;	45:1,13,14,14;46:3,14,	26:22;53:14;82:25	chosen (2)
brother (1)	106:6	15,25;47:1,11,12,16,	challenges (1)	80:1;119:5
84:16	calls (1)	17,24,25;48:10,12;	83:2	Christina (1)
Brotherhood (1)	49:21	50:5;51:6;52:13,14;	chance (3)	84:21
80:7	came (6)	53:15,17,24;56:2,4;	8:2;54:18;104:16	Circuit (1)
Browne (1)	29:7;45:19,19;48:4;	60:22;62:16;64:13;	change (15)	96:9
101:6	84:12;128:2	65:14;67:25;75:11,12,	16:14;25:20;28:18;	circulate (2)
brownie (1)	camera (1)	20,24;82:24;84:24;	36:11;42:18;49:10,12,	13:22;126:15
102:14	40:9	85:8,14,23;86:16;	12,14;50:19;51:14,24;	circumstance (3)
Bruce (2)	camp (7)	87:25;88:4;90:3,6;	71:21;76:14;109:24	21:15;36:13;37:1
10:8;37:23	25:5;45:17;66:14;	94:12,14,16,21;96:8;	changed (2)	circumstances (6)
Bruno (1)	84:17;86:12;94:4;96:9	99:1,19;101:6,22,24;	16:4;64:19	31:8;36:11,16;37:5;
89:24	campfire (2)	102:19;104:12;105:16;	changes (7)	42:18;83:16
brush (1)	18:5,6	110:15;115:9;116:10;	12:25;29:18;49:10;	cite (3)
69:5	can (64)	121:7,15;122:22	66:2;71:15,25;88:6	38:20;53:6,8
bucks (1)	6:22;7:2;8:12;10:2;	cases (22)	channeling (1)	cited (2)
113:20	11:20,21;12:2,3,5;13:5,	17:13,14;18:20;	74:24	52:18;94:12
build (1)	6;15:19,21;18:21,21;	19:17;21:8,11,22;45:2,	chaos (6)	citing (1)
91:16	19:5,21;20:4;22:14;	24;46:23;51:6,7;52:22;	100:3;101:1,9;	99:21
building (7)	23:22;24:17;27:18;	53:16;61:2;62:6,10;	104:21;111:15,17	City (1)
42:10;91:23;92:5;	29:2;34:18;43:11;	67:24;74:11;81:1;	chaotic (1)	25:3
		,,	- · (-)	

Continue	(2)	107.17	0611160001010	57.00	11.00
claim (2) 68/7/80/24/815.18; 82/21/85/98.65.6; 82/21/85/98.6	civil (2)	107:17	86:11,16;88:8;101:8;	57:22	11:22
687:80:24815,18; 82:2185:98:65.5; 89:1094:23:24;9519; 96:79:78:12.13; 120:1919;02.02;123:5; 127:4 claimant (3) 75:17 claims (1) 80:48 claims (1) 75:187:88:45,13; 192:38:22;39:49,225; 121:40:22:162:20; 188:40:144;91:18:525; 192:238:22;39:49,225; 192:238:22;39:49,225; 192:238:22;39:49,225; 192:238:22;39:49,225; 192:238:22;39:49,225; 193:238:22;39:49,225; 193:238:22;39:49,225; 194:238:22;39:49,225; 195:238:22;39:49,225; 195:238:22;39:49,225; 195:238:22;39:49,225; 195:238:22;39:49,225; 195:238:22;39:49,225; 105:20 competing (5) 106:2 compensating (1) 124:2 compensating (1) 124:3 compensating (1					
8821,859,86.5,6, 81-22,1859,86.5,6, 19-67-19, 96-79/78,12,13; 120-19,19,022,123-5; 127-4					
89:1094:23,2495:19, edlect (1) 47:23					
96-797-8,12,13; 120-19,19,022;123:15 1774 176-17					
1201-19-19-20-22-121-15					
17:4 cdaimant (3) 76:10 13:22 compensating (1) codalition (2) codalition (3) foliable (1) 13:22 compensation (2) codalition (3) consistently (2) codalition (3) consistently (2) consistently (3) consistently (2) consistently (3) consistently (4) constituency (1) (1)					
claimant (3) 76:10:99:22:12:1:15 claimants (18) 19:24:20:10:10:0 43:18:46:14:49:18: 19:76:80:79:23:81:3.3 5:10:10:18 19:23:22:39:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:239:49:25: 19:23:82:299:81:10:23:10: 10:23:11:55:11:18 20:23:11:55:11:18 20:23:11:55:11:18 20:23:11:51:19:12 20:23:13:18:19:23:18:19:33					
Total Composition (2) Composition (2) Composition (3) Conditions (5) Conditions (6) Conditions (7) Conditions (
claimants (18)					
1924-201-01-0 104-10 competence (1) 33-6351:567-7.7; dains (51) 71517-8184.54.13; 721-71,773-14; 7812.13-93-7; 2247-71,3254-81.5, 721-71,773-14; 2247-71,3254-81.6, 721-71,773-14; 2247-71,3254-81.6, 7812.13-93-7; comments (10) 221-16-2041-8; doi:10.12.16.5, doi:10.12.16.5, doi:10.12.16.16.5, doi:10.12.16.16.16.2.7; doi:10.12.16.16.2.7; doi:10.12.16.16.2.7; doi:10.12.16.2.7; do					
4318.46.14.49.18; 50.75.07.66.5(19.75.14, 19.76.8.79.23.81.23, 51.10.18 claims (51) 72.17,17.73.14; 77.10.14.18.15.25.2; 10.61.51.09.24.12.34, 9.22.12.51.51.12.73 66.24 11.2.13.29.12.11.19 11.2.13.29.12.11.19 11.2.2.13.29.12.19.19.12 24.17		` ,			
S07:60:66.65:197.51:14, 197:68.79:23.81:12, 3, 5:110:18					
1976.879:2381:33, 51:10:18 calaims (51) 7:1517.8418.45.13; 19:238:22;39:49.22; 40:24;41.845:15.19, 22:47:13.2548.6.11, 12.02.07; 18:20, 12:152;17:153;18:10.96;113:24; 13:153:10.24; 13:13:13:153:16; 13:13:153:11:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13					
Salino:18 Comment (6) T2:17;173:14; 78:12,139:37 T2:17;173:14; 78:12,139:37 T2:17;173:14; 78:12,139:37 T3:18;178:18:45,13; 12:14;62:27;46:20,20; 68:8,10;74:9,24;81:1, 144;14,15,1685:8; 96:11,12,2097:18,20, 25:99-8,10(12:10; 106:15;109:24;123:4, 22:15;15;17:77; 5clain's (1) S6:4 Carify (1) T2:12 T2:18;20:24;17 T2:19;178:19; 119:12 T2:19; 12:					
claims (51) 7:15:17:8;18:4,5,13; 19:238:22;39:4,9,25; 40:244:18;23;25;48:6,11; 12:14:62:0216:420,20; 68:81,07:49,0-24:134; 11:14,14.15,16;85:8; 96:11,122,09:71:8,00; 25:99:8,10:102:10; 106:15:109:24;1234, 92:21:25:15;127:7,15; claim's (1) 88:10 60:11,122 23:22;155:15:127:7,15; claim's (1) 89:17 claim's (1) 89:18:30:96:42:7; darity (1) 24:17 Class (2) 99:15:109:16 clear (12) 24:17 (13:20;123:18 Class (2) 99:15:109:16 clear (12) 13:20;123:18 CLERK (5) 13:20;23:20:20;23:60:62:2 90:23:109:15 committies (1) 73:14:117:18 climit (1) 73:14:117:18 climit (1) 73:14:117:18 climit (1) 73:14:117:18 climit (1) 25:20 90:23:109:15 climit (1) 25:20 10:20:18:83:20:24:23:25:24:30:22 20:20:30:30:18:24:175:67:67:7 50:30:20:107:61:08:2, 11:20:112:17:113:15; 11:20:112:12:13:11:20:112:13:11:20:112:113:120:112:12:13:11:20:112:13:112:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12					
79:15;17:8;18:45;13; 19:238:22;39:49,25; 40:24;41:8;45:15;19, 22:47:13;25;48:6,11, 12:14;62:20;41:8; 78:18;199:6;113:24; 108:23;116;83:8; 96:11,12;20;97:18;20, 25:99:8,10;10;2:10; 106:15;109:24;123:4, 9,22;125:15;127:7,15 claim's (1) 86:4 clarify (1) 24:17 clarify (1) 24:13-50:75:19-53:17; 54:19:56:12:57:22:81, 58:16, 58:29:16/12; 37:64:29:53:23:4-5; 37:64:29:53:23:					
19:238:22:394.9.25; 40:244:184:51-51.9; 72:20;74:17;917;80:5; 108:23;115:5:117:18 complaint (1) 39:22 confident (1) 74:15 53:23 construction (1) 42:8 confident (1) 74:15 confident (1)					
40:24:41:8;45:15,19, 22:47:13:25;48:61,1, 12:14:62:21;64:20,20; 68:8,10;74:9;24:81:1, 14:14:15,16:85:8; 96:11,12,20;97:18,20; 25:99:8,10;10:21:0; 106:15:109:24;123:4, 29:22:15:15:15:17:15 claim's (1) 80:4					
22.47;13.25;48.6.1.1 72.20;74:1;79:17;80:5; 108:23;115:5;117:18 complaint (1) 39:22 confident (1) 42:8 construction (2) 23:378:24 confidential (3) construction (1) 42:8 construction (1) 42:8 construction (1) 42:8 construction (2) 23:378:24 confidential (3) construction (1) 42:8 construction (1) 42:8 construction (1) 42:8 construction (2) 23:378:24 confident (1)					
12,14;62:21;64:20,20); 68:8;10;74*9,24*81:1, 14,14;15;16;85:8; 96:11;12;20;97:18;20, 25:99.8;10;102:10; 106:15;109:24;123:4, 24:12;70:13;79.7 20mmitted (1) 38:64 24:17 20mmittee (74) 86:4 24:17 20mmittee (74) 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 29:25;32:1,10:33:10; 30:18; 20:15;81:8 117:7 20mmittee (74) 17:13;30:16;42:7; 24:17 24:17 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 17:24;39:5;43:8,18,20; 44:13;50:25;25; 32:22;52:4;32:25; 62:78;74:1;75:6;76:7; 35:22;140:23:18 20:22;40:22; 23:22;25;24;32:25; 62:78;74:1;75:6;76:7; 85:24;107:11,14; 12:62:5 13:23;20:25;40:22; 13:20:123:18 20:22;40:22; 13:20:123:18 20:22;40:22; 13:20:123:18 20:22;40:22; 13:20:123:18 20:22;40:22; 13:20:123:18 20:22;40:22; 13:20:123:19 20:22;40:23;65:14; 75:10;77:13;86:12; 15:31;18:21:12:2 20:00mig(2) 20:00mig					
688.8,10;74-9,24;81-1, 14,14,15,16;85:8; 96:11,12,20;97:18,20, 25;99;8,10;102:10; 106:15;109;24;123:4, 9,22;125;15;127:7,15 claim's (1) 86:4 clarity (1) 24:12;70:13;79:7 committee (74) 7:mill,14;18:15;25:2; 119:12 29:25;32;1,10;33:10; clarity (1) 34:5,11;25;20,24; 36:19,37:16,17;38:16;39:25;40:2; 61:18:20 20:25;40:23;65:14; 75:10;77:13;86:12; 10:25:20 20:25;40:23;65:14; 75:25;71:7;38:12; 20:25;40:23;17:4 climate (1) 25:20 20:25;40:23;65:14; 75:25;71:7;38:61:2; 20:25;40:23;65:14; 75:25;72:24 committee (8) 25:20 26:28;8 20:26;41:74:4 climate (1) 25:20 28:8 20de (4) 82:20;87:17;96:17; 107:23 23:22;55;09;57:12; 23:22;08;71:7;66:17; 23:22;08;71:7;66:17; 23:22;25;50;95;71:2; 23:22;35;09;98:10;00;10;10;10;10;20;20;20;20;20;20;20;20;20;20;20;20;20					
14,14,15,16;85:8; 78;18;109:6;113:24; 36:6;37'4:60:14; 69:18;89:8 confidentiality (2) 20:15;81:8 confirm (3) 37:10 complexity (4) 17:13;30:16;42:7; 44:14 36:12,257:6:12 confirm (3) 36:12,257:6:12 confirm (4) 17:13;30:16;42:7; 44:14 36:12,257:6:12 confirm (6) 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 78:24;93:31:7; 78:18;39:14; 78					
96:11,12,20:97:18,20, 25:99:8,10;102:10; 106:15;109:24:123:4, 9.22;125:15;127:7,15					
25:99:8,10:102:10; 106:15;109:24;123:4, 24:1270:13;79:7					
106:15:109:24:123:4, 9.22;125:15:127:7,15					
9,22;125:15;127:7,15					
17:13:30:16;42:7;					
Clarify (1)					
clarify (1)					
119.12					
clarity (1) 34:5,11;35:20,24; 36:19;37:16,17;38:16, 30:18 complications (1) 30:18 confirmed (3) 18:21;99:15;123:4 coffirming (1) confirming					
24:17					
Class (2) 17,24;39:5;43:8,18,20; comply (5) confirming (1) contest (2) 99:15;109:16 44:13;50:7;51:9;53:17; 54:19;56:12;57:2;58:1, 87:2;91:18 confusion (2) contested (2) 23:22;25;24;32:25; 8;59:16,17,21,24;60:7; 62:7,8;74:1;75:6;76:7, 85:2,4;107:11,14; 9,10,23;78:25;79:11, complying (1) 82:3 66:23 126:25 11,13,15,23;80:7,20; 62:7,8;74:1,75:6;76:7, 76:18,20 Congrestulate (1) contesting (1) clearly (2) 81:8;83:21;84:4,6,17; 93:99:84;102:16; comcealing (1) 82:3 66:23 CLERK (5) 105:20;107:16;108:2, concept (2) 40:2;45:16;78:6 66:21 client (2) 23;112:15,15;119:1; 23:12;66:2 consensual (6) consensual (6) client (8) 9:2;13:12,13,23; 26:12;65:5;71:17; 26:12;65:5;71:17; 26:12;113:1 93:22;17:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 26:12;65:5;71:17; consensuall (9) 112:12;113:1 continuing (1) 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 consent (2) 88					
Second S					
clear (12) 54:19;56:12;57:2;58:1, 8:59:16,17,21,24:60:7; 8:76;42:9;53:23;54:5; 85:24;107:11,14; 9,10,23;78:25;79:11, 126:25 87:291:18 complying (1) 16:2;51:18 compratulate (1) contesting (1) 16:2;51:18 compratulate (1) contesting (1) 107:5 concealing (1) 107:5 concea					
23:22;25:24;32:25; 37:6;42:9;53:23;54:5; 85:2,4;107:11,14; 126:25 11,13,15,23;80:7,20; clearly (2) 13:20;123:18 20:25;40:25;40:2; 64:4,5;30:25;40:2; 61:8 23:112:15,15;119:1; 61:8 20:23;109:15 61:8 20:23;109:15 61:8 20:25;40:23;65:14; 75:10;77:13;86:12; 93:22;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 125:20 126:imate (1) 25:20 127:3 28:8 128:4 28:8 128:4 28:7 28:8 128:59:16,17,21,24;60:7; 9,10,23;78:25;79:11, 20:25;40					
37:6;42:9;53:23;54:5; 62:7,8;74:1;75:6;76:7, 87:16 compromise (2) 76:18,20 Concealing (1) 107:5 127:9 continuance (1) CLERK (5) 105:20;107:16;108:2, 13,17;109:7,9,15,19, 23;112:15,15;119:1; 125:21 committees (14) 90:23;109:15 clients (8) 99:23;109:15 clients (8) 99:21;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 climate (1) 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; 20:17:314;117:18 common (1) 25:20 73:14;117:18 client (2) 23:12;312;313 23:20;23:14;117:18 client (2) 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:20 20:20;34:20;34:36:7,9,10,19; 32:4;36:5;73:1 20:223 20:20;37:25;20;37:34;117:4 20:20;37:25;20 30:20;37:25;20 30:20;37:25;37:35;20 30:20;37:35					
85:2,4;107:11,14; 9,10,23;78:25;79:11, compromise (2) 82:3 66:23 clearly (2) 81:8;83:21;84:4,6,17; 76:18,20 concealing (1) 107:5 contingent (1) 13:20;123:18 93:9;98:4;102:16; 89:16 concealing (1) 107:5 contingent (1) CLERK (5) 105:20;107:16;108:2, concept (2) 40:2;45:16;78:6 66:21 6:4,15;30:25;40:2; 13,17;109:7,9,15,19, 23:12;66:2 consensual (6) continuation (1) 61:8 23;112:15,15;119:1; 299:3 74:2,15;111:7 continua (2) 90:23;109:15 committees (14) conmittees (14) concern (5) consensually (2) 26:12;65:5;71:17; consensually (2) 26:19;49:5 75:10;77:13;86:12; 111:20;112:17;113:15; 107:4;117:5 consensus (1) 56:18 73:14;117:18 committee's (4) 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 88:24;89:11 contribution (1) 28:8 code (4) 89:25 59:16 consequence (3) 21:23 code (4) 89:25 company (13) concluded (1)					
126:25					
clearly (2) 81:8;83:21;84:4,6,17; concealing (1) 107:5 127:9 13:20;123:18 93:9;98:4;102:16; 89:16 connection (3) continuance (1) CLERK (5) 105:20;107:16;108:2, 89:16 concept (2) 40:2;45:16;78:6 66:21 61:8 23;112:15,15;119:1; 23;112:15,15;119:1; concepts (1) 19:11;56:9;62:12; 35:16 client (2) 90:23;109:15 committees (14) 92;13:12,13,23; concern (5) consensually (2) 26:19;49:5 clients (8) 92;13:12,13,23; 20:25;40:23;65:14; 37:2;62:22;80:16;82:5; 107:4;117:5 consensually (2) 26:19;49:5 75:10;77:13;86:12; 115:3;118:2;121:2 20:21;17;14 20:21;17;14 20:21;17;13:15; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:21;134:8;76:16; 20:22;134:8;79:19;78:25 20:22;134:8;79:19;78:25 20:22;134:8;79:19;79:19;78:25 20:22;23:33 20:22;23:33 20:22;23:33 20:22;23:33 20:23:					
13:20;123:18					
CLERK (5) 105:20;107:16;108:2, 6:4,15;30:25;40:2; 61:8 concept (2) 40:2;45:16;78:6 consensual (6) 66:21 continuation (1) client (2) 23;112:15,15;119:1; 125:21 concepts (1) 19:11;56:9;62:12; 74:2,15;111:7 continue (2) g0:23;109:15 committees (14) concern (5) consensually (2) 26:19;49:5 clients (8) 9:2;13:12,13,23; 37:2;62:22;80:16;82:5; 75:117; 11:20;112:17;113:15; 75:10;77:13;86:12; 11:20;112:17;113:15; 115:3;118:2;121:2 concerned (9) 112:12;113:1 contribution (1) g3:22;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 32:4;36:7,9,10,19; 32:4;36:7,9,10,19; 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 88:24;89:11 contributions (1) closing (2) 29:11;34:8;76:16; 29:11;34:8;76:16; 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 concerns (4) consented (1) 85:6 contributions (1) 28:8 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) consider (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
6:4,15;30:25;40:2; 61:8					
61:8					
client (2) 125:21 99:3 74:2,15;111:7 continue (2) 90:23;109:15 committees (14) 9:2;13:12,13,23; 26:12;65:5;71:17; 112:12;113:1 continuing (1) 20:25;40:23;65:14; 37:2;62:22;80:16;82:5; 107:4;117:5 consensus (1) 56:18 75:10;77:13;86:12; 11:20;112:17;113:15; concerned (9) 112:18 contribution (1) 93:22;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 32:4;36:7,9,10,19; 88:24;89:11 contributions (1) 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 control (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 control (1) 28:8 communities (1) 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 82:20;87:17;96:17; 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
90:23;109:15 committees (14) concern (5) consensually (2) 26:19;49:5 clients (8) 9:2;13:12,13,23; 26:12;65:5;71:17; 112:12;113:1 continuing (1) 20:25;40:23;65:14; 37:2;62:22;80:16;82:5; 107:4;117:5 consensus (1) 56:18 75:10;77:13;86:12; 111:20;112:17;113:15; concerned (9) 112:18 contribution (1) 93:22;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 32:4;36:7,9,10,19; consent (2) 88:20 climate (1) 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) consented (1) 92:22 closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 control (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
clients (8) 9:2;13:12,13,23; 26:12;65:5;71:17; 112:12;113:1 continuing (1) 20:25;40:23;65:14; 37:2;62:22;80:16;82:5; 107:4;117:5 consensus (1) 56:18 75:10;77:13;86:12; 111:20;112:17;113:15; concerned (9) 112:18 contribution (1) 93:22;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 32:4;36:7,9,10,19; 88:24;89:11 consent (2) 88:20 climate (1) 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 consented (1) 92:22 closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 contributions (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) 85:6 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 20:4 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
20:25;40:23;65:14; 37:2;62:22;80:16;82:5; 107:4;117:5 consensus (1) 56:18 75:10;77:13;86:12; 111:20;112:17;113:15; concerned (9) 112:18 contribution (1) 93:22;117:4 115:3;118:2;121:2 32:4;36:7,9,10,19; consent (2) 88:20 climate (1) committee's (4) 37:8;59:9;70:19;78:25 88:24;89:11 contributions (1) 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) consented (1) 92:22 closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 contributions (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13				•	
75:10;77:13;86:12; 111:20;112:17;113:15; concerned (9) 112:18 consent (2) 88:20 climate (1) committee's (4) 37:8;59:9;70:19;78:25 88:24;89:11 contributions (1) 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) consented (1) 92:22 closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 control (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
93:22;117:4				` /	
climate (1) committee's (4) 37:8;59:9;70:19;78:25 88:24;89:11 contributions (1) 25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) 29:22 consented (1) 92:22 closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 control (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					1 .
25:20 29:11;34:8;76:16; concerns (4) consented (1) 92:22 closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 control (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
closing (2) 106:17 25:16,18;65:6;73:1 85:6 control (1) 73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
73:14;117:18 common (1) concise (1) consequence (3) 21:23 clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
clue (1) 75:25 59:16 46:4;52:22;53:3 controlling (4) 28:8 communities (1) conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
28:8 conclude (2) consequences (3) 11:23;52:13;54:12, 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 consider (1) 107:107:107:107:107:107:107:107:107:107:		, ,	, ,		
code (4) 89:25 35:11;127:25 26:3;55:20;57:13 13 82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13	, ,			*	0 , ,
82:20;87:17;96:17; company (13) concluded (1) consider (1) convene (1) 107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
107:23 23:25;50:9;57:12; 128:9 18:14 108:13					
cognizant (1) /0:25;/1:4;81:11; conclusion (1) considerable (1) convenience (1)					
	cognizant (1)	/0:25;/1:4;81:11;	conclusion (1)	considerable (1)	convenience (1)

AND ELECTRIC COMP
77:10
conversation (3)
74:20;75:3,4 conversations (1)
62:7
convicted (3) 86:17;98:19;115:9
cooperative (2)
12:3;13:21 core (1)
11:23
Corning (2) 45:3,24
corporate (4)
54:3,4;100:13,19 Corporation (1)
6:15 corrected (1)
14:17
correction (1) 109:8
correctly (2)
95:13;127:1
costs (1) 11:21
counsel (26)
8:3;9:10,15,19;10:6, 21,24,25;12:5;21:5;
25:5;32:9;37:17;48:17;
51:11;57:16;62:8,22;
66:12;75:6;76:12;77:7; 109:8;116:5;126:15;
127:25
counsel's (2)
32:4;65:2 count (1)
72:8
counterparty (2) 12:22;110:7
country (1)
14:3 counts (1)
98:9
County's (1) 89:16
couple (6)
10:13;11:7;69:12; 78:11;101:15,16
course (12)
13:9;16:3;30:10; 40:14;41:5,15;42:1;
53:25;62:19;63:6,8;
92:14 Court (523)
6:3,4,6,16,18,22,24;
7:2,5,8,16,25;8:5,15;
9:20,22;10:1,4,9,12,15; 11:8,13,16,18,24;
12:10,13,16,19,24;
13:4,7,11,19;14:1,9,11,
15,23;15:2,4,14,25; 16:7,12,23,25;17:23;
18:14,18;19:15,25;

```
20:5,9,13,16,18;21:3,
10,12,14;22:6,8,12,17,
20,22,24;23:2,6,8;24:2,
4,8,10,15;25:1,10,14,
18;26:16,21;27:1,5,8,
15,18,23;28:2,5,10,13,
15,24;29:6,9;30:2,6,13,
15,18,23;31:1,7,17,20,
22,25;32:8,11,18,20,
24;33:1,3,7,10,20,22,
24;34:3,14,18,21,23,
25;35:4,6,8,18,20;36:2,
4,7,19;37:3,6,9,14,16,
20;38:1,9,19;39:1,13,
15,18,20,22;40:1,4;
41:5,19,23;42:1,6,15,
25;43:3,7,15,21,23,25;
44:2,5,7,10,19,22,24;
45:5,8;46:1,4,20,22,24;
48:5,21;49:25;50:2,4,
18,23,25;51:2,4,14,16,
18,20,22;52:1,5,7,9,11,
14,18,18;53:8,10,12,
22,25;54:2,4,14,16,21,
22,22;55:8,9,11,13,15,
16,17,24;56:2,15,23;
57:1,4,6,11,20;58:3,5,
7,11,13,15,17,19,22,22,
23;59:5,12;60:2,4,6,10,
18,25;61:4,6,9,12,17,
20,22,23,25;62:2,19;
63:6,8,11,13,18;64:10,
11,13,16,24;65:8,13,
16,21,23;66:1,5,12,16,
19;67:6,11,13,14,16,
19,22;68:2,4,13,15,20,
22,24;69:1,8,11,14,15,
16,19,19,20,24;70:2,4,
8,14;71:1,7,9,18;72:5,
7,10,13;73:6,13,22;
74:9,17;75:4,8,17;
77:18,20,25;78:21;
79:8,16;81:22;82:10,
12,17,25;84:1,7,9,11,
                        creditor (12)
15,20;85:1,12,24;86:1,
9,14,19,23,25;87:4,5,6,
11,13,13,19,22;88:10;
89:18,19;90:8,10,14,
18,21,25;91:3,6,19,20;
                        creditors (24)
92:7,17,19,23,25;93:4,
7,10,13,20;94:1,14,16,
19,21,24;95:2,12,21,
24;96:1,4,14,16,22,24;
97:1,4,6,11,16,23;98:8,
11;99:2,5,23,25;100:4,
8,17,23;101:2,12,16;
                        creditors' (8)
102:22,23;103:3,5,7,
10,13,17,20,22;104:2,
4,6,19,22;105:2,6,12,
18,23;106:6,9,14,19,
                        credits (4)
24;107:7,9,9,13,21;
108:11,14,21;109:12,
18,22;110:2,5,7,9,11,
                        Creek (5)
```

```
13,25;111:4,15,22;
                             91:16,23;92:5;
  112:6,8,10,14;113:5,
  10,22;114:3,8,15,18,
  24;115:6,15,17,25;
  116:2,4,15,21,23,25;
  117:13,15,21,23;
  118:11,16;119:10,12,
  17,19;120:1,8,11;
  121:8,23;122:7,10,12,
  15:123:1,8,13,25;
  124:6,14,17,23;125:2,
  7,10,12,16,23,25;
  126:8,11,20;128:5
courthouse (1)
  17:12
courtroom (19)
  6:9;10:6;27:3;30:22;
  31:12;36:9;41:1;47:7,
  9;48:2;56:5,6;61:14;
  78:4,6;102:6;108:1;
  109:10;111:23
courts (2)
  16:2;74:22
Court's (11)
  13:17;36:8;57:23;
  58:1;59:6,8,10;62:14;
  73:3,11;115:3
CPUC (4)
  19:21;30:20;33:24;
  47:20
crafted (1)
  107:6
crazy (2)
  23:12;44:13
create (1)
  100:3
creates (1)
  121:7
credible (1)
  76:11
credit (8)
  21:19;66:9;80:22;
  88:8;95:22;97:10;
  121:19;122:23
```

106:24:121:14 crisis (2) 21:22;23:18 criteria (3) 117:8;119:2;122:5 critical (9) 17:6;33:8;34:13; 41:24;42:3,8,22;47:3; 98:4 criticism (1) 100:15 cross-motion (3) 78:22;79:22;85:5 crucial (1) 39:24 crystal (1) 71:18 culpability (1) 94:8 culpable (1) 94:3 current (3) 47:4,5;72:4 currently (1) 95:9 customers (1) 47:22 **cut (2)** 27:19;41:20 cute (1) 92:4 D Dalkon (1) 102:23 damage (2) 26:1:97:9

```
damages (3)
  45:12;62:21;68:9
dangerous (1)
  46:1
data (1)
  11:19
database (3)
  18:8;74:5;123:9
date (15)
  18:12,19,22;19:14,
  16,20;35:11;41:15,16,
  19;66:22;67:8;68:4;
  76:4;123:4
dates (1)
  97:18
date's (1)
  41:21
day (15)
  26:8;37:24;41:13;
  52:3,3;54:8;56:7;
  57:19;62:23;69:20;
  82:12;106:23;110:15;
  128:4,7
```

days (15)

```
11:17;12:6;15:19;
  17:25;27:15,15;28:1;
  29:4,12;30:11,12;
  32:11;119:6;125:18;
  126:6
day-to-day (1)
  62:5
deadline (3)
  16:7;42:7;86:4
deadlines (1)
  73:10
deal (4)
  14:13;24:6;67:16;
  77:10
dealing (7)
  17:7;21:18;23:25;
  24:1;42:24;66:13;
  122:24
deals (2)
  26:7;62:5
dealt (2)
  64:20;75:20
debate (2)
  82:18;106:22
debt (7)
  47:2,4,12;48:2;
  59:25;98:22;110:1
debtor (54)
  9:4;11:20;13:11,12;
  15:20;32:16;33:5;
  34:15;35:14;36:25;
  41:20;42:12;45:7,9,19,
  20,25;47:1;48:23;
  49:22;52:25;53:17,20;
  54:17,18;60:20;62:11;
  73:16,20;76:5,21;77:2;
  78:19:80:1,16,19;
  81:21;86:3;91:8;95:15;
  96:1,18;98:2;99:7,14;
  100:13:102:16:107:19;
  117:24;119:19;120:4,
  14;122:22;127:15
debtor-in-possession (1)
  100:19
debtors (27)
  7:1;13:1;21:23;22:2;
  23:3,4;26:18,23;27:9,
  25;30:10;33:16,17;
  34:13;36:3;39:7,11;
  43:2;59:21;60:10;87:1;
  91:14;111:20;112:16;
  115:3;122:9;124:21
debtors' (12)
  9:6;16:19;24:15;
  27:18,19;29:3;33:9;
  35:16;65:2;76:12;
  87:20;122:16
debtor's (9)
  19:7;24:13,13;25:5;
  41:17;45:14;76:21;
  78:9;83:9
decide (10)
  15:9;34:3,18;35:14;
```

8:10,16;23:11,13,14;

99:22;110:15;127:18

7:9;47:4;49:14;

50:13,14,16;63:22;

80:6,7,20;101:25;

17;110:3,17,20,23

25:2;29:11,24;32:1;

93:9;98:4;102:15;

96:19;120:6,20;

105:20

123:8

76:7,16,23;79:11,15;

102:1;107:2;109:15,

26:15;52:23;57:2;58:2;

AND ELECTRIC COMI	AIVI			Wiay 22, 2017
49:1;56:4;67:10,15;	designated (1)	71:8;79:2	106:25;107:1	109:12
93:14,15	113:14	disclose (2)	document (6)	Dumas' (3)
decided (1)	desire (1)	39:4;124:22	11:23;12:18;13:24;	65:6;72:20;74:1
56:4	34:12	disconnect (1)	59:18;96:8;106:6	DUNNE (41)
decides (1)	destabilizing (1)	48:5	dollar (6)	9:23;10:3;105:21,22,
28:6	55:14		80:21;85:7;95:16;	
deciding (1)	details (4)	discovery (5) 85:23,24;86:1;121:5;	101:25;102:3;120:5	23;106:1,8,10,15,22, 25;107:8,11,14,21;
96:1	68:22;69:5;82:15;	123:10	dollar-for- (1)	108:8,12,15,22;109:14,
decision (6)	111:12	discrete (2)	80:20	20;110:1,3,6,8,10,12,
24:10;29:16;52:13;	determine (4)	75:15;76:2	dollars (23)	24;111:2,13,18;112:5,
54:25;104:11;112:24	65:10,19;85:7;121:3	discretion (5)	45:11,15;49:16;	7,9,11;113:2,7,22;
decisions (2)	determined (2)	73:11;77:6;79:25;	78:17;79:6;80:2,3;	125:23,24;126:5
26:3;75:11	63:16;95:7	91:25;118:24	86:20;87:16;91:9,24;	duplication (3)
declaration (9)	devastating (1)	discuss (1)	96:18;98:16;101:15,	116:19;117:11;
88:2,20;89:4,14;	89:5	117:3	17;102:17;104:18,21;	121:22
99:21;101:10,12;	develop (1)	discussed (1)	105:13;114:1;121:10,	during (7)
103:11;104:20	122:5	77:7	17;124:20	20:3;46:3;49:5;
declarations (1)	developed (1)	discusses (1)	dollars' (1)	53:15,23;59:1;100:10
103:9	18:6	12:4	110:22	duties (2)
declaratory (2)	developing (1)	discussion (3)	done (22)	11:4;106:3
118:7,13	117:8	8:7;57:21;115:20	11:16;13:5;14:1,21;	11.4,100.5
declared (2)	development (3)	discussions (6)	15:7,16;20:12;26:2;	\mathbf{E}
63:7;70:10	28:20,21;119:2	19:5;59:22;66:7,11;	27:10;28:2;29:19;	L
deduct (1)	die (1)	79:15;115:17	30:12;41:8;61:2;62:10;	earlier (4)
85:8	84:24	disgorgement (1)	76:8;107:19;108:4;	36:21;72:25;73:17;
default (1)	Diego (1)	127:19	113:25;126:1,2,6	108:23
21:25	49:8	dislocation (1)	door (8)	earliest (1)
defense (1)	difference (4)	109:2	40:5,7;76:9;78:6;	19:16
68:5	45:23;66:16;70:24;	dispose (2)	83:18;93:3,22;123:16	early (4)
define (1)	102:4	7:2;8:6	doors (1)	7:13;33:12;64:4;
25:23	different (18)	dispute (1)	55:5	75:9
delay (2)	7:6;24:5;26:6;29:1,	98:23	doubt (1)	earnest (1)
61:13;79:1	5;31:13;63:23;64:21;	disputed (2)	51:24	18:2
delivered (1)	66:10;75:20;76:3;	99:9;102:11	Dow (2)	easy (1)
47:22	83:19;85:20;86:9,10;	disqualify (1)	45:3,23	105:14
demanding (1)	98:9;100:8;125:13	85:20	down (18)	EBITDA (2)
89:6	differently (1)	disruptive (3)	7:5;15:21;25:4;	45:21;50:9
denial (1)	65:1	26:17;27:21;30:7	29:10;48:18,19;52:1;	economic (1)
52:23	dignity (1)	distinguish (1)	56:16;68:22;69:4,6;	27:14
Dennis (2)	91:22	22:8	76:3;82:4,14,15;92:15;	Edison (1)
6:5;105:22	diminish (1)	distinguished (1)	93:1;128:2	49:8
denominator (1)	80:25	66:14	drafting (2)	effect (1)
102:2	DIP (4)	distressed (2)	11:22;119:13	118:6
deny (2)	21:24;47:13,20;48:5	48:2,13	dramatic (1)	effectively (1)
10:22;87:8	direction (1)	distribute (1)	124:4	24:23
Department (1)	24:5	113:21	driver (1)	efficient (1)
116:9	directly (1)	distributes (1)	94:13	14:4
dependent (1)	20:1	12:4	dropped (1)	efforts (6)
109:5	director (1)	distribution (2)	15:3	33:9;85:1;109:6;
depending (2)	104:11	87:7,8	Dumas (74)	113:24;117:12;128:6
50:11;54:10	directors (5)	distributions (2)	43:4,6,13,16,17,22;	eighteen (2)
depends (1)	89:22;91:7;95:5,6;	74:13;109:1	44:3,9,11,20,23,25;	33:24;64:8
96:21	125:4	disturbing (1)	45:7,9;46:21,23,25;	eighty (1)
deprive (1)	disagree (5)	71:13	48:22;50:1,3,6,22,24;	30:12
22:4	15:18,22;81:7;86:7;	divide (1)	51:1,3,4,13,15,17,19,	either (8)
deputy (1)	101:5	102:1 Division (1)	21,25;52:4,6,8,10,12,	10:5;16:17;36:13;
111:23	disapprove (1)	Division (1)	15,16,17;53:9,11,13;	41:20;76:24;111:7,8;
described (1) 78:16	90:16	116:9	54:3,5,15,17;55:6,10,	113:6
describes (1)	disaster (2) 116:17,22	doable (1) 111:7	12,14,15,16,23,25; 56:14,25;57:5,9,18,22;	elected (2)
97:1	disbursed (1)	docket (1)	58:4,5,6;63:3;67:23;	43:24;45:18 Electric (1)
description (1)	115:4	46:22	70:15,23;75:9;77:12;	49:8
111:18	disbursements (2)	doctrine (2)	84:1;86:2;108:23;	eligibility (1)
111.10	arsbur schichts (2)	ascame (2)	07.1,00.2,100.23,	chgionity (1)
M. II.G . 40			250	(6) 1. 21.1 .12.2.22

THE ELECTRIC COM	11111	T	T	1/14 <i>j</i> 22, 201>
115:20	22;121:10;123:6;	97:8;98:16	104:12	83:12;85:5;98:18;
eligible (2)				
68:18;103:16	127:2,3,18	exactly (18)	expenses (3)	104:9,16;107:17,25;
	entitlement (2)	7:8;15:24;19:3,4;	47:5;70:17;92:22	125:20
eliminate (1)	86:6;121:16	24:11;40:23;41:11;	experience (1)	facts (3)
16:18	envisions (2)	54:5,5;73:18;98:25;	81:1	29:4;34:17;96:9
else (14)	11:3,4	99:13,17;100:17;	experienced (2)	factual (2)
13:1;14:9;31:18;	Epiq (1)	101:22;110:10,12,12	74:18;107:22	7:20;124:16
41:1;46:10;47:10,10;	7:10	examiner (6)	explain (8)	fail (1)
50:10;72:14;112:17;	Epstein (1)	10:5,24;112:24;	10:17,19;16:19;	94:5
119:3;122:23;124:25;	52:17	113:3,9;114:25	57:24;74:20;78:15;	failed (1)
128:3	equipment (3)	example (8)	101:1;103:6	94:9
emergency (2)	63:16;94:3,8	28:18;66:22;67:10;	explained (2)	fair (4)
111:25;126:2	equitable (1)	69:22,23;70:6;82:24;	11:14;104:5	25:19;101:21;
emotional (1)	101:21	121:8	explaining (1)	108:25;112:7
47:8	Equity (10)	examples (2)	11:15	fall (3)
emphasize (1)	26:2;37:16,17;48:23;	45:3;52:22	explanation (1)	18:7;31:10;35:12
19:18	49:15,23;53:18,19,25;	except (1)	10:24	falsification (1)
employ (1)	54:20	110:16	explanations (1)	98:19
71:3	error (1)	exceptions (1)	121:4	falsified (1)
employee (1)	61:8	97:23	explicitly (1)	85:15
47:3	essence (1)	exchanging (1)	17:7	familiar (3)
employees (2)	119:7	123:10	exposed (1)	15:15;61:2;67:23
98:16;110:3	essentially (1)	exclude (1)	76:1	families (1)
employment (4)	106:4	14:20	exposure (1)	70:16
9:5;11:25;14:12;	establish (7)	exclusive (2)	66:3	fan (3)
85:16	18:12;32:17,18;87:2;	19:23;51:3	expressed (2)	7:25;55:19,19
empowered (1)	93:21;95:7;122:17	exclusivity (53)	76:17,20	far (8)
101:19	established (1)	15:10,12,16;20:25;	expressions (1)	18:10;42:4;44:4;
enacted (1)	19:17	21:6,21;22:4;23:13;	9:10	59:21;62:6;74:4;83:10;
23:25	estate (1)	26:13,18,23;27:19,19,	expressly (2)	122:4
end (6)	106:15	22;29:5;31:9;32:17,25;	16:10;127:14	Farr (2)
33:11,15;34:2;63:25;	estimation (1)	34:4,7,24;35:17;36:5,	extend (6)	7:13;72:12
67:5;90:21	74:24	14;38:18;40:20;42:7;	19:25;29:12,21;	fascinating (1)
ending (1)	et (2)	43:25;44:12;50:19;	32:17;34:4;60:15	59:2
59:10	47:3;115:4	51:5,10,23;52:23;53:4;	extended (2)	fashion (1)
endured (1)	evaluate (1)	55:5,19;56:7,17;57:25;	23:13;53:4	79:21
109:2	120:6	59:11;60:19,22;65:11,	extending (2)	faster (2)
engage (1)	even (19)	23;66:21;67:5,6;69:2,	8:8;76:25	74:13;82:2
18:2	13:23;18:1,23;28:14;	3;73:2,5;86:2	extends (1)	father (2)
engaged (1)	31:3;39:5,7;57:11;	excuse (2)	67:6	84:13,16
59:22	66:1;73:19;92:14;	23:8;126:23	extension (22)	faulting (1)
enjoy (1)	100:9;105:3;107:1;	executive (2)	8:15;15:18;17:14,25;	90:10
91:11	115:13;120:17,18;	89:23;98:13	19:19;22:24;23:5;29:4;	favor (2)
enormous (1)	122:16;127:19	Exhibit (4)	31:9,16;32:15;34:2,7,	54:20;73:9
21:17	event (1)	32:20;57:8;89:4,14	18;35:15;36:17;37:11;	fear (1)
enough (5)	89:3	exigent (2)	38:6;44:12;52:23;69:3;	105:15
39:11;53:19;57:7;	events (3)	83:16,16	77:3	feasible (4)
82:22;105:1	29:17;42:19;97:17	exists (3)	extent (3)	18:20;23:23;24:17;
enter (1)	everybody (8)	65:24;71:4;72:4	78:20;99:9;127:16	49:21
118:9	25:21;31:10;47:10,	expect (2)	extra (2)	February (1)
enterprise (1)	10,16;48:17;50:15;	55:21;56:7	7:25;48:8	63:24
50:12	102:2	expectation (1)	7.23,10.0	federal (6)
entertain (1)	everyone (5)	36:13	\mathbf{F}	87:5,6,6,13;116:10,
68:14			_	
				1 14
	31:2;38:25;46:10;	expectations (1)	faced (4)	14 fee (2)
entire (3)	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10	expectations (1) 55:25	faced (4)	fee (2)
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2)	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1)	22:14;23:18;24:20,	fee (2) 10:4,24
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1)	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1)
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1) 26:13	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10 evidence (6)	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4 expedited (1)	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22 facility (1)	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1) 61:6
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1) 26:13 entities (3)	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10 evidence (6) 38:12;95:11;103:8;	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4 expedited (1) 55:4	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22 facility (1) 21:24	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1) 61:6 feel (7)
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1) 26:13 entities (3) 9:12;19:1,6	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10 evidence (6) 38:12;95:11;103:8; 104:15;124:24;125:3	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4 expedited (1) 55:4 expense (12)	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22 facility (1) 21:24 fact (21)	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1) 61:6 feel (7) 86:15;90:2,6,6,24;
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1) 26:13 entities (3) 9:12;19:1,6 entitled (13)	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10 evidence (6) 38:12;95:11;103:8; 104:15;124:24;125:3 ex (1)	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4 expedited (1) 55:4 expense (12) 14:2;79:1;88:18;	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22 facility (1) 21:24 fact (21) 7:23;8:1;16:9;17:18;	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1) 61:6 feel (7) 86:15;90:2,6,6,24; 104:9;128:4
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1) 26:13 entities (3) 9:12;19:1,6 entitled (13) 22:2;23:4;60:15;	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10 evidence (6) 38:12;95:11;103:8; 104:15;124:24;125:3 ex (1) 13:5	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4 expedited (1) 55:4 expense (12) 14:2;79:1;88:18; 89:10;90:9;92:14,21;	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22 facility (1) 21:24 fact (21) 7:23;8:1;16:9;17:18; 21:9;34:4;36:21;72:18;	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1) 61:6 feel (7) 86:15;90:2,6,6,24; 104:9;128:4 feeling (1)
entire (3) 74:5;75:5;109:16 entirety (1) 26:13 entities (3) 9:12;19:1,6 entitled (13)	31:2;38:25;46:10; 69:18;117:10 everyone's (2) 40:15;77:10 evidence (6) 38:12;95:11;103:8; 104:15;124:24;125:3 ex (1)	expectations (1) 55:25 expected (1) 15:4 expedited (1) 55:4 expense (12) 14:2;79:1;88:18;	22:14;23:18;24:20, 22 facility (1) 21:24 fact (21) 7:23;8:1;16:9;17:18;	fee (2) 10:4,24 feed (1) 61:6 feel (7) 86:15;90:2,6,6,24; 104:9;128:4

AND ELECTRIC COMP	ANI	T		May 22, 201
feelings (2)	Finally (1)	95:16	34:12;49:20;52:25;	funds (1)
38:8,10	73:1	floors (3)	60:22;72:2;73:4;79:4;	115:4
fees (1)	financial (7)	42:10;86:17;89:13	80:1,23;115:12;	further (6)
12:5	26:2;44:16;48:15,16;	flows (1)	117:25;118:15	34:4,18;35:15;69:1;
Feld (1)	50:8,14;109:11	96:10	found (2)	91:10;115:19
35:23	financially (1)	fluid (1)	20:5;53:25	fury (1)
FELDMAN (10)	47:6	30:5	foundation (1)	46:11
7:12,13,17;8:4;72:9,	financing (1)	flying (1)	42:9	future (4)
11,11,15,16;73:13	21:18	14:3	four (7)	72:2;88:7;109:24;
felon (3)	find (3)	focus (4)	15:19;22:24;33:10;	127:20
86:17;98:19;115:9	38:23;86:13;113:16	86:19;91:6,10;	34:14;35:1;39:5,6	a
FEMA (9)	finding (3)	108:25	four-month (1)	G
85:12;116:15,16;	24:12;104:9,16	focused (4)	77:3	(C-111(1)
117:8;121:8,17,22;	findings (7)	28:11;69:18;90:4; 109:3	frame (4)	Gallagher (1) 7:14
122:24;124:8 fend (1)	7:23,25;77:5;118:17, 18;119:8;126:13	folks (3)	17:17;30:19;35:9; 41:17	/:14 game (1)
23:19	fine (17)	84:12;97:24;128:2	framed (1)	98:9
FERC (1)	7:10;8:2;9:20,25;	follow (2)	105:17	Gas (2)
21:12	10:1,3;12:16,21;13:2;	12:7;26:22	framework (1)	49:8;98:19
fervently (1)	45:20;48:1;76:24;	following (3)	26:7	gate (1)
41:11	115:7;122:15;125:20;	8:6;99:16;118:19	FRANCISCO (3)	23:15
few (11)	126:5,10	force (5)	6:1;25:3;91:16	gather (1)
10:19;11:17;12:6;	finger (1)	24:19;26:15,16;88:3;	frankly (7)	39:8
40:22;44:15,17;52:8,	17:2	118:10	30:9;31:6;38:12,20;	gating (4)
10;65:17;79:12;110:17	finish (1)	forced (1)	41:2;106:2;127:8	17:4,9;27:12;87:25
fiduciary (1)	57:15	87:8	free (3)	gave (2)
100:20	finite (1)	forces (1)	6:11;17:15;22:25	67:20;107:9
fifteen (2)	64:25	42:13	Freres (1)	gavel (1)
77:22;108:4	Fire (27)	forcing (1) 90:4	8:24 Fr: 1 (1)	78:1
fifty-page (1) 11:2	45:17;48:7;58:21; 59:14;63:10,16,19;	foreclose (1)	Friday (1) 31:3	generally (2) 68:8;110:22
fight (3)	64:8,18,22;65:9,18;	47:21	FRIEDMAN (28)	generate (1)
26:17;29:21;30:3	66:13,14;69:22;75:13;	foremost (1)	25:8,9,10,11,15;	95:25
fighting (2)	81:11;84:13,17,23;	63:21	26:25;27:4,6,9,24;28:4,	genesis (1)
104:24,25	86:12;89:2;94:4;96:10;	forget (2)	9,14,23,25;29:8;30:1,4,	34:20
figure (5)	110:18;117:1,4	62:22;87:13	14,17;31:6,8,18,21,22,	gentleman (2)
81:24;101:19;105:6;	fires (15)	form (7)	23;35:9;41:10	62:23;74:8
111:16;113:21	18:8,10;43:24;45:16;	18:14;77:4;85:11;	frivolous (3)	Gerald (1)
figuring (1)	46:7;56:3;63:4,10;	119:23;121:17,19;	28:7;55:20,22	58:14
123:2	66:23;67:9;88:7;	123:21	front (8)	gets (9)
file (23)	100:10;106:15;109:3;	formal (3)	6:13;20:9;33:6;	31:5;34:1;71:21;
9:13;12:19;17:16;	110:16	9:7,16;12:19	67:11,12;81:19;103:8;	81:10,20;100:11;
21:11;23:22;31:5; 32:24;36:22;39:3,8,12;	Firm (7) 8:17;11:12,19;40:19;	formally (3) 9:24;84:5;119:23	124:25 frustrated (1)	101:18;105:7;127:17 gift (1)
40:20;43:16;50:24;	58:9;60:12;74:8	former (2)	20:8	100:13
55:22;73:8,20;86:4;	firms (1)	101:10;123:18	frustrating (1)	given (1)
89:9;97:8,11;114:13;	13:22	formidable (1)	20:5	37:10
123:5	First (17)	57:11	frustration (1)	giving (2)
filed (27)	11:11;22:17;23:20;	formula (1)	64:25	100:13,18
11:11;18:12;22:22;	29:7;38:3;52:12;63:20,	67:15	FTI (5)	glad (3)
28:8;35:25;38:16;39:2;	23;69:20;79:18;85:2;	formulate (1)	9:1,8,23;10:2,3	44:7,7;84:12
40:17;45:13,14;47:1;	87:1;89:22;92:2;	54:19	fund (18)	goals (1)
49:4;50:20;58:8;72:18;	101:14;110:15;125:17	formulated (1)	44:1;70:10;74:12;	25:21
75:15;86:3;88:1,13;	first-day (1)	53:17	78:16,16,17,19;79:2,6;	goes (3)
89:10;102:21;103:9;	47:3	formulation (2)	80:3;88:5,7;91:24;	24:5;44:5;115:12
106:1,1;108:18;117:6;	fist (1)	17:10;18:3	113:4;114:22;118:1;	go-forward (1)
127:4 filing (2)	48:4 five (4)	forthwith (2) 126:18;127:11	121:2;124:20 fundamental (4)	27:13 good (24)
17:10;62:11	80:2;119:6;125:18;	forty (1)	17:4,9;21:20,22	9:3;11:6;14:14;25:7,
fill (1)	126:6	91:1	funded (1)	8,10;32:6,7;35:21,22;
73:10	fix (1)	forward (19)	59:25	37:20;77:9;79:19;
final (3)	19:6	14:11;18:20,23,25;	funding (3)	91:21;94:9;98:14,17;
7:24;13:24;126:21	fixed (1)	24:25;26:8;33:19;	88:5;92:3;120:3	104:13,25;105:21;
, ,	` ´	, , , , , , , ,	, , , ,	<u> </u>

G

AND ELECTRIC COMI	ANI	I	1	Wiay 22, 2017
114:10;121:15;125:4,4		79:17;87:24;90:17,19;	homicide (2)	hour (2)
goodness (2)	H	91:2;114:4;116:6,6,7;	89:17;94:4	77:15;86:2
87:24;88:22	п	117:16	Honor (138)	house (4)
goodwill (1)	han (1)	hearing (13)	6:17;7:12,17;8:4;	48:18,18;105:8;
95:25	hac (1) 25:12	18:18;19:14;23:14;	10:3;11:6;12:23;13:8,	121:11
Gotshal (2)		41:3,4;57:20;63:23;	9;14:10,14;16:9;17:2,	households (1)
7:1;73:16	haircut (1) 49:23	78:23;84:9;89:15;	11;18:17;19:24;21:7;	103:15
governance (1)	49:23 half (2)	109:20;120:17;127:25	22:3,13;25:8,12,15,15;	housekeeping (2)
54:4	48:11;76:9	hearings (1)	26:10;27:4,6;28:4,9,	6:9,19
government (2)	hand (1)	128:4	23;29:8;30:1,4;31:6,	housing (3)
28:18;116:14	48:4	heart (2)	18;32:7,13;35:19,22,	95:10;97:2;121:25
governmental (3)	hands (1)	87:24;88:23	25;36:6,10,23;37:5,7,	huge (1)
85:13,18,18	96:18	Heck (1)	15,19,23;38:17;39:17;	14:2
government's (1)	happen (27)	92:15	40:10,18;41:25;42:16;	human (1)
25:22	21:24,24;22:9,15;	Heights (1)	44:6,11,18;46:13;	69:21
governor (28)	28:8;41:12;47:21;	123:15	48:20,25;49:19;50:6,	humanitarian (1)
17:6,18,25;23:23;	51:11;52:2;53:3;55:6;	held (3)	12;55:6,23;57:9,10,18,	97:9
24:19;25:5,11,16;26:5,	63:1;65:8,10,17;68:17;	41:3;48:6;94:17	22;59:4;60:21;63:15;	humble (1)
15;29:24;30:6,20;	71:20;72:1;75:10;	hell (1)	64:7;65:4;66:4,18;	58:21
31:15,23;33:12;34:10;	80:12;99:17,17;	126:3	69:7;70:12,22;72:11,	hundred (16)
41:10;51:11;54:4;	101:10,22;111:6;	help (5)	16,18;73:1,9,15;78:14;	80:2;86:20;87:16;
88:14;89:11;98:13,17,	123:3;124:10	52:2;74:2;88:8;92:1;	83:25;84:4,25;86:7,15;	91:9;93:12;96:18;
21,24;102:14;120:23	happened (18)	113:16	87:12;88:16;89:20;	100:11;101:15,17;
governor's (9)	17:20;23:16;34:17,	helpful (7)	90:17;91:1,14,24;	102:17;105:11,13,13,
24:11;25:2;29:10,16;	17;53:12,16;54:7,7;	49:14,14,15;60:13;	92:20;94:6;96:25;	14;113:20;118:20
31:12;41:15;46:10;	63:4;64:18;75:24;89:2;	75:1;82:17;116:25	97:15;98:25;101:13;	hundreds (3)
48:23;88:3	90:1;99:13;102:6,20;	helping (1)	104:8,24;105:15,21;	120:12,12,12
grant (11)	117:1;123:15	84:24	106:11,12,23;107:14;	hurricanes (1)
10:16;15:18;29:10;	happening (3)	Henry (1)	108:9,16,19;109:8;	45:6
41:20;69:3;77:2;93:14;	61:9;71:11;98:25	52:13	111:2,13,19;112:7,13;	hypothetical (1)
95:14;106:12;118:14,	happens (3)	here's (9)	113:8,23;114:2,10;	97:5
24	41:11;46:21;89:3	30:12;53:3;90:13;	115:22;116:8,11,12,18;	I
granted (5)	happy (9)	92:10,24,24;93:2;	117:2,20;119:4;	1
8:12;25:13;36:15; 43:1;126:17	7:10;16:20;31:19;	100:3;107:24 higher (1)	122:21;124:11;125:15; 126:5,7;128:8	idea (2)
granting (1)	75:2;79:3;82:14;	34:11	Honorable (1)	idea (2) 38:21;77:9
79:20	106:22;109:6;111:3	himself (1)	6:5	ideal (1)
gravity (1)	hard (4)	23:23	Honor's (2)	33:23
90:1	39:10;40:15;105:11,	hired (3)	37:4;38:4	ideas (1)
great (3)	13	11:12,19;88:19	hookups (1)	33:18
41:3;69:5;92:8	harm (4) 47:6,8;56:17,18	historical (1)	78:5	identification (2)
greater (1)	Hauer (1)	81:11	hope (15)	79:9;81:9
19:6	35:23	history (2)	11:19;12:2;14:1;	identified (4)
Greg (1)	haul (1)	53:10;76:19	23:19;26:8;28:25;29:1;	80:14;88:2;115:19;
84:18	109:25	hoc (11)	39:9,10;81:23;83:21;	125:19
Gregory (1)	Hawkins (3)	7:14;35:20,24;36:19;	99:11;105:6;120:23;	identify (1)
32:9	58:13,17,18	59:17,21,23;76:9;	128:4	37:20
ground (2)	head (1)	83:15;108:17;112:16	hopeful (3)	ie (1)
82:5;115:20	9:22	hogwash (1)	18:21;62:12;74:14	29:16
group (9)	headquarters (1)	64:7	hopefully (3)	ignore (2)
8:16;37:2,21;55:3;	91:15	hold (4)	24:9,11;54:10	103:5;106:20
56:12;72:19,19,24;	heads (3)	13:23;61:4;78:6;	hopes (2)	illegal (1)
81:24	9:19,22,23	99:7	12:3,5	86:12
guaranteed (1)	hear (13)	holders (6)	hoping (1)	image (1)
116:17	16:16,21;32:5;43:25;	26:2;48:24;49:16,18;	41:11	123:3
guess (7)	44:1,5;74:23;75:23;	54:12;127:6	horribles (1)	imagine (1)
14:24;25:3;42:6;	79:17;82:7;88:17;93:4;	holds (2)	124:10	121:12
56:8;112:20;115:8;	105:20	48:10;59:24	horror (1)	immediate (2)
123:1	heard (27)	home (5)	109:2	26:17;30:8
guidelines (2)	10:2;13:1;15:5,21;	70:11;101:11;	Hospital (1)	immediately (5)
93:10;126:20	25:6,6;31:24;35:21;	123:14,15,16	52:15	11:21;38:18;40:20;
Gump (1) 35:23	44:15,21;46:1,4;58:11;	homes (1) 95:9	Hostetler (1) 84:3	65:18;118:4
JJ.4J 	66:2;72:12,14;77:13;	73.7	04.3	impact (3)

AND ELECTRIC COMI	PANY			May 22, 2019
59:8,9,13	inexpensive (1)	84:5	100:24,24;111:18;	6:16,17,21,23,23,25;
implement (1)	14:7	introductory (1)	112:6,10	7:1,4,7;8:12,14;9:19,
83:22	inform (1)	44:17	joinder (2)	21;13:9;14:22;15:1,9,
implicates (1)	43:23	intrude (1)	58:8;85:5	12,17,24;16:6,9,22,24;
25:18	information (31)	11:6	Jones (3)	17:1,24;19:16;20:12,
importance (1)	18:5,13,19,23;19:2,	inverse (2)	37:24;54:8;62:23	14,17;21:2,7,11,13,15;
80:25	11;38:5;39:9,11,24;	49:11;88:6	Judge (17)	22:7,11,13,18,21,23,
important (13)	40:13,16;41:7;42:20,	inverse-condemnation (1)	10:7;52:19;55:18,18;	25;23:3,6,7,21;24:3,7,
16:17;25:24;56:20,	23;74:3,5;85:10,10,22;	28:19	56:3;67:12;71:5;74:22;	9,11,16;25:17;26:5;
20,21,21;59:19;72:1;	86:13,17;109:4;114:6,	investigated (1)	75:10;89:13,21;90:3;	28:11;31:3,11;41:9,12;
79:18;83:3;88:11,16;	14;120:7,18;123:11;	94:8	91:7;100:21,23;	51:22;72:24;73:14,15,
118:23	124:9;125:6,14	investigation (1)	102:14;106:2	16,24;75:2,7,8;77:3,12,
importantly (1)	initial (1)	89:16	judges (1)	17,19;78:11,13;79:10,
10:25	23:5	investigators (1)	20:6	22;82:1,6,9,11,13;
impose (4)	injured (2)	45:10	judgment (7)	87:24;100:11;117:17,
80:4;87:7;102:25;	94:15;102:23	investment (2)	85:3;87:21;88:18;	19,20,22,24;118:12;
103:1	injury (1)	26:3;46:17	104:12;106:21;107:4;	124:11,13,15,21;
imposed (1)	95:17	investor-owned (2)	118:7	125:17,20,24;126:7,10,
35:16	input (2)	47:18;49:7	Julian (136)	15
improper (2)	13:23,24	invite (1)	43:5;57:7;79:12;	Karotkin's (2)
81:6,16	inquiry (3)	60:19	81:7;83:23,25;84:3,3,8,	29:17;72:23
inaccurate (1)	41:21,22;86:5	involuntary (1)	10,13,16,21;85:2,22,	keep (7)
109:16	insensitive (1)	110:20	25;86:7,11,15,19,22,	55:21;61:9;71:3;
inaction (1)	8:21	involve (2)	24;87:1,10,12,18,20,	76:24;91:6;103:22;
29:23	instincts (1)	25:25;76:13	23;88:11;89:20;90:9,	120:8
inappropriate (1)	76:5	involved (11)	13,17,19,24;91:1,3,5,	Kelly (1)
80:13	insurance (6)	22:16;33:4,5;63:10;	14,20;92:10,18,20,24;	15:6
inception (1)	46:6;70:7;83:11;	64:21;71:20;85:14;	93:2,4,6,9,12,18,21;	key (2)
21:8	121:23,24,25	94:12;114:20,22;	94:2,15,17,20,22,25;	67:7;89:6
inclined (4)	insured (1)	116:10	95:5,20,22,25;96:1,3,	kickers (1)
106:12;107:17;	122:17	irrelevant (1)	13,15,21,23,25;97:3,5,	51:8
118:23,24	intend (5)	99:6	7,14,22;98:7,10,12;	kind (9)
include (2)	10:22,23;11:5,17;	issue (13)	99:4,19,24,25;100:3,7,	8:1;31:16;76:17;
14:19;80:8	43:13	26:12,17,24;27:7,12;	16,21;101:1,4,13;	106:3,17;113:16;
including (4)	intends (1)	32:16;69:2;87:25;90:7;	102:21;103:2,4,6,8,11,	116:4;120:18;121:25
7:20;59:23;89:24;	13:21	94:6;116:18;117:11;	14,19,21,25;104:3,5,8,	kinds (2)
108:2	intense (1)	118:6	20,23;105:3,10,15,19;	69:4;123:8
inconceivable (3)	89:6	issued (1)	106:19;111:15;118:5,	Kirk (1)
30:19;42:11;73:18	interest (1)	98:13	16;119:4,11,15,18,24;	101:10
incorporate (1)	54:13	issues (6)	120:2,10,11,24;121:21;	
12:8	interesting (2)	21:20;25:22;27:13;	120.2,10,11,24,121.21, 122:3,8,11,13,16;	52:19
incorporated (1)	46:18;122:3	62:13,16;106:5	123:7,9,24;124:5,7,12,	knew (2)
12:2	interests (2)	item (5)	24;125:3,9,11,14	57:6;94:5
increased (1)	44:16;128:6	13:5;41:24;42:8;	Julian's (1)	knock (1)
80:4	interim (3)	43:10;119:4	78:21	11:2
increasing (1)	12:7,15;42:18	items (3)	July (3)	knows (3)
90:15		17:4,9;42:3		25:18;27:15,16
incumbent (1)	International (1)	17:4,9;42:3	33:13;41:10;72:2	25:18;27:15,16
111:20	80:6	J	jump (1) 101:20	L
	interrogatory (2)	J		L
indeed (1)	88:21;99:20	!I (1)	juncture (1) 31:12	1-1-1(1)
96:24	interrupting (1)	jack-up (1)		label (1)
indemnity (1)	7:12	44:25	June (1)	83:4
14:20	intervention (1)	January (5)	19:14	labels (1)
independent (1)	62:14	49:16;64:13,19,19;	jury (1)	102:8
45:10	intimately (1)	69:20	68:14	lack (8)
indication (2)	33:4	Jevic (3)	Justice (1)	18:22;27:5;31:14;
40:13;64:5	into (20)	107:10,11,13	116:9	33:8;36:7,20,22;37:8
individual (3)	16:1;17:24;31:5,10;	JH (1)	T/	large (4)
62:21;70:11;97:7	39:6,6;45:18,19,20;	15:6	K	37:24;75:13,18;
individuals (1)	47:16;51:24;56:18;	Joanne (1)	T7 (0)	109:1
43:24	68:22;69:4;77:14;82:4,	25:3	Karen (2)	largely (1)
indulge (1)	17;92:4,11;121:6	job (8)	43:19;84:10	18:7
69:15	introduced (1)	80:9;93:14;99:6;	Karotkin (98)	last (10)
	1	1	1	1

AND ELECTRIC COMI
14:25;15:2;41:13; 48:9,13,14;70:22; 102:6;107:10;110:19 late (2)
17:21,21 lately (1) 20:7
later (1) 14:13
latter (1) 123:19
Law (29)
8:17;11:2;13:22; 16:2,4,15;44:13;49:10;
58:9;59:3;67:14;72:4;
74:8;79:25;80:4;81:18; 83:6;87:2,4,6;88:6;
91:18,21;93:23;95:15;
96:8,9;112:25;118:7 laws (8)
71:16,22,25;91:22;
94:10;102:18;126:23; 127:3
lawyer (7) 20:6;46:16;58:21;
60:24;76:21;82:18;
109:10 lawyers (10)
18:9,15;30:15;48:1;
58:23;64:17;74:18; 107:22;125:4;128:5
lawyer's (1)
32:15 layman's (2)
10:19;65:9
Lazard (1) 8:24
lead (1)
66:6 leadership (3)
89:23,25;90:4
learn (1) 90:5
learned (1) 59:1
leases (1)
8:16 least (6)
23:4;33:17;56:16;
78:7;81:22;125:18 leave (5)
15:9;72:21;73:11; 127:11,23
leaves (1)
15:8 Leaving (2)
51:11;79:23 led (1)
56:8 left (5)
40:10;43:3;48:11; 115:2,23
legal (12)

AND PACIFIC GAS ANY	
77:5;85:3;86:22; 90:19;96:12,17;100:4;	lic
101:2;102:17;119:9,	
21,25 legislation (4)	lic
17:5,18;98:14,24 legislative (18)	lic
17:5,22;23:24;26:9;	lis
27:12;29:14;33:16; 35:10;41:9;49:2,12,12,	
14;76:13;88:1,3,12; 109:6	lis
legislator (2)	
88:14;104:14 legislators (4)	lis
89:11;92:13,22;95:1	12.
legislature (14) 19:20;24:4;26:6;	lis
28:16;29:16;30:20; 33:11,13;46:9;48:25;	lit
49:1;71:20;76:14;95:3	lit
legislature's (1) 24:10	lit
lenders (1)	
47:20 length (1)	liv
73:2 lengthen (2)	
16:3,3	liv
less (3) 30:7,14;124:3	liv
letting (3)	
25:25;84:1;102:16 level (3)	L
29:15,15;34:11 levels (2)	lo
46:19;47:12	
Lexecon (3) 9:1,5,8	lo
liability (16)	lo
17:8;19:7;26:7; 27:11;62:13,17;64:1,2;	lo
65:20;66:24;67:9; 71:15,21,25;100:14;	L
106:16	_
liable (2) 19:7;94:17	lo
lied (1) 98:19	
light (1)	
59:14 lights (1)	lo
71:3	lo
likely (4) 42:21;51:10;52:22;	L
67:6 limit (3)	
27:18;104:19,19	lo
limited (1)	

68:10
liquidated (3)
40:24;97:24;99:9
liquidating (1) 101:24
liquidity (1)
88:5 list (8)
7:5;14:15;37:21;
40:21;65:2,2;114:8; 116:5
listed (1)
32:2
listen (5) 61:17;74:17;75:9;
86:1;125:25
listening (3) 91:4;113:12;121:4
91.4,113.12,121.4 literally (1)
109:18
litigating (1) 64:3
little (5)
47:15;59:1,7;65:1; 107:23
live (4)
46:11,12;64:16;
105:4 lives (1)
45:11
living (5)
48:19;64:17;70:17; 84:22;123:16
LLP (2)
32:9;105:22 loans (1)
116:17
lobbying (3) 49:3,4,9
19.5,4,9 lobbyist (1)
95:23
local (1) 89:24
Lockhart (3)
43:19;84:10,11
long (12) 20:6;31:4;34:8;
50:16;71:3;73:10,20;
77:19;89:6;106:23; 109:25;118:25
longer (1)
31:9
long-term (1) 24:13
Loo (2)
84:14,15 look (21)
6:13;8:3;13:10;
14:11;17:18,20;50:18;
64:21;65:16;70:15; 72:2,22;92:2;93:14;
99:2;102:19;119:14;
122:21;123:13;125:16;

```
126:12
looked (4)
  12:1;38:22;94:14,16
looking (4)
  41:16;47:6;63:2;
  66:20
lose (2)
  70:16;78:6
losing (3)
  46:6:61:6:105:15
loss (2)
  121:11,22
lost (20)
  21:23;40:2,5,7;
  45:11;70:12;83:11,12,
  13;84:13,16;86:15;
  89:8;90:3;95:9;101:11;
  105:9;116:4;123:13,16
lot (4)
  38:12,21;42:3;74:13
lots (3)
  20:8;27:1;81:1
Lower (1)
  89:18
           M
macro (1)
```

25:22 mad (1) 91:11 main (1) 68:7 major (8) 28:20,21;29:15,16, 18;48:10;53:19;54:9 majority (2) 59:24;97:25 making (9) 20:2:36:25:48:3: 75:11:81:19:83:5; 123:25;126:8,22 managed (2) 39:8,12 management (2) 95:6;100:18 mandate (1) 106:3 Manges (2) 7:1;73:16 manner (2) 27:25;99:16 manslaughter (1) 94:4 manufacturing (1) 46:1 Manville (9) 45:3,23;53:6,6,10, 15;54:7,18;67:24 many (11) 20:20;29:1;31:11;

33:5;44:3;46:2,19;

69:22;82:24;99:11;

110:16 mapping (1) 111:11 March (1) 63:24 Markell (8) 10:5,8;11:1,11,21; 12:25;13:21;14:6 Markell's (1) 12:2 market (5) 45:21;49:15;51:24; 52:1;56:21 Marta (1) 114:11 **mass** (5) 45:2;53:16;67:23; 74:11;81:2 massive (3) 55:8,11,17 master (2) 113:3.6 material (3) 47:6;124:13,16 mathematical (1) 76:18 Matter (9) 6:15;14:13;15:6; 32:14;46:14;76:4; 81:17;107:6;125:22 matters (6) 6:10.19.19:8:6: 58:19;77:6 Matthew (3) 7:13;72:11;116:9 MAY (43) 6:1;11:6;27:24;34:6; 44:18;46:4;47:18;49:1, 2,2,14,14,15;50:12; 55:23,24;64:14;74:21, 23;76:12,13,13;83:9, 11,11,11;86:22;87:12, 18;88:1;90:17,19; 94:11;99:10;101:1,9; 105:8;109:19,23,23; 110:17;120:7;127:19 **maybe** (17) 13:23;34:1;49:22,22, 23;52:1;56:10;66:17; 101:16;102:9;105:9; 107:21;109:5;118:8; 120:8;124:18,18 Mayo (2) 52:13,14 McNutt (22) 10:7,7,10,14,20;11:6, 9,15,19,25;12:12,14, 17,23;13:3,6,8,17,20; 14:6,10,14 mean (53) 6:19;7:8;10:15; 11:14;12:10,21;14:1, 20;15:14;16:7;21:5;

67:19

liquidate (1)

40:6;42:13;102:1

line (3)

AND ELECTRIC COM
22:6;26:21;29:6;34:25; 35:21;36:21,22;39:15; 41:19;50:2;60:18,20; 61:1,12;64:7,21;67:22; 69:2,24;80:21;85:14, 16;105:23,24;106:6; 111:15;112:14,14; 113:23;115:11;118:16; 119:12,13,14;120:11; 121:17;123:2,17,21,23; 124:1;125:25 Meaning (1) 111:14 means (2) 54:17;90:18 meant (1) 109:18 measure (1)
35:14
mediation (2) 19:1;68:18
meet (4)
55:25;80:14;89:23; 127:11
meeting (9)
12:4;13:23;14:4; 18:17;19:12;25:20;
54:11;60:20;63:22
meetings (1) 77:16
member (2) 72:19;84:17
members (5)
39:4,9;109:19,23; 119:1
Memorial (1)
52:15 memorized (1)
63:14
mentioned (2) 26:5;51:23
merely (1)
58:20 merit (1)
78:21
merits (2) 8:11,18
met (1) 95:6
metaphorically (2)
55:2;59:14 mid-September (1)
33:12
might (17) 29:5,11,19;31:9,13;
36:14,15;37:2;38:6,11;
51:23,24;53:1;55:1; 74:19;75:1;83:18
Milbank (2)
32:9;105:22 mileposts (1)
28:21
million (22)

```
78:17:79:6:80:2,3:
  86:20:87:16:91:9.24:
  93:12;96:18;98:16,22;
  100:11:102:17:104:18.
  21:105:11,13,14;
  113:20;118:20;119:15
mind (8)
  6:18;29:8;35:10;
  65:10;80:8;81:19;
  96:11:120:8
mindful (3)
  59:5;75:21;76:2
minimize (2)
  64:18;76:7
minimizing (1)
  112:16
minimum (1)
  118:20
minute (1)
  115:11
minutes (2)
  77:22,22
mirror (1)
  123:3
mischief (2)
  121:4,7
miscommunicated (1)
  124:5
misplaced (1)
  45:12
misreading (1)
  44:13
mixing (1)
  99:2
modification (1)
  12:20
moment (2)
  102:11;105:15
money (17)
  47:23;48:3,4,9,12;
  53:24:80:22:81:21:
  82:22;97:7;100:14;
  102:8;110:1;118:10;
  123:6;127:17,19
monitoring (1)
  10:18
Montali (2)
  6:5;10:7
month (4)
  54:11;55:3,3;110:20
months (20)
  15:19,19;22:24;29:2,
  17,19;33:10;34:14;
  35:1,1,2,4;39:6,6;
  41:20;64:8;65:17;67:2,
  4;113:13
moot (1)
  95:4
moral (2)
  86:16:90:3
```

```
27:10,12,15,16,17,25;
  30:14;35:17;40:25;
  45:2,5;47:1;52:25;
  53:24:56:19:67:6.25:
  75:2;78:18,20;82:13;
  83:10;104:17;106:18;
  107:18;113:3;114:5;
  118:10:119:3,20;
  121:5,7;124:4;127:8
Morning (22)
  6:6,10,16,17;10:7;
  25:7,8,10;31:3;32:6,7;
  35:21,22;37:20;43:19;
  44:5;57:17;76:5;81:12;
  97:18;105:21;114:10
mortgages (1)
  46:8
Most (7)
  6:7;14:7;59:16;
  71:13;75:25;83:17;
  97:21
mothership (1)
  78:4
motion (65)
  6:10;8:8,12,15,22;
  10:4,16;11:11;12:7,19;
  14:16;15:5,13,16,20;
  17:16;18:12;19:25;
  26:11;29:12,13;30:9;
  32:20;36:13,15;37:3,3;
  38:4;41:17;42:24;
  43:11;54:23;57:17;
  60:15;77:2;78:9;79:19;
  83:9;86:19;87:8;88:13;
  91:8,24,25;92:2;93:14;
  95:4,6;96:22;97:1,4;
  100:24:105:17,24;
  107:20:110:15:116:11:
  117:5;118:14,24;
  122:16,18;126:2,16,17
motions (3)
  16:13;27:21;28:7
motivation (1)
  104:11
move (11)
  13:17;18:20,23;
  33:19;60:22;69:9;
  76:23;79:4;86:8;
  117:25;118:15
moved (1)
  18:25
movement (1)
  62:9
moving (3)
  11:22;74:6;82:2
much (20)
  19:2,2,3,4,6;27:12;
  37:14;40:25;45:1;48:9;
  57:23;58:4,21;65:16;
  67:5;113:13;116:2;
  117:13;121:13;123:19
multiple (1)
  50:10
```

```
must (2)
  81:16:90:6
mutual (1)
  123:10
Myers (1)
  25:9
           N
name (4)
  112:1;120:5;121:1;
  122:23
names (3)
  40:21;85:6;97:20
nationwide (1)
  89:5
nature (4)
  27:14,21;81:23;
  120:20
necessarily (7)
  7:21;27:21;30:8;
  67:19;71:12;81:17;
  83:4
necessary (8)
  18:19;21:18;49:13,
  17;74:3;93:15;101:7;
  107:3
necessity (2)
  107:1,2
need (40)
  10:21;12:19;14:17;
  16:19:18:1:23:19;
  24:24;26:3;27:9,13;
  28:7;38:9;40:2;41:2;
  44:14;49:10,10,12;
  53:8;62:14;63:20;64:2,
  3;66:3;68:10;71:14;
  77:5;87:2;88:4,17,24,
  24,25;93:1;94:25;95:9;
  107:1:108:5:122:1:
  127:19
needed (1)
  98:23
needs (11)
  10:25;14:20;44:1;
  62:10;63:1;80:7;95:10;
  97:2;113:16;122:17;
  124:3
needy (3)
  83:10,17;124:3
negligence (2)
  14:20;94:10
negotiate (1)
  60:10
negotiating (1)
  65:18
negotiation (4)
  17:10;18:2,24;74:14
```

neighbor (2)

neighbors (2)

104:23,24

neither (1)

83:18:105:8

74:25 network (1) 61:8 New (3) 8:1;90:4;91:16 Newall (1) 52:15 Newsom's (1) 25:11 newspaper (3) 81:20;124:19,22 next (22) 11:17;12:6;14:15; 30:3;40:5,7;52:3,3; 54:11;55:2,2,3,3; 60:19;65:17;78:6; 83:17;86:8;111:24; 113:18,19;123:15 nice (1) 52:19 ninety (2) 27:15:29:4 ninety-day-extension (1) 29:2 Ninth (1) 96:9 nobody (3) 23:22,23;24:17 nodded (1) 9:19 nomenclature (1) 113:8 non-bankruptcy (1) 65:9 none (3) 110:4,25;112:22 Nonetheless (3) 33:9;34:13;44:3 nonlawyers (1) 10:18 nonplans (1) 51:8 noon (1) 77:15 **Nope (2)** 7:7,7 normal (2) 85:17;92:21 North (3) 45:16;46:7;84:22 Northern (3) 46:12,12;100:9 note (4) 9:21;18:25;29:18; 79:18 noted (7) 8:18;17:11,12,15; 21:8;40:18;71:5 noteholders (2) 35:24:59:17 notice (2) 21:9;125:5 notices (1)

more (42)

6:11;10:25;13:20;

19:3,5,23;24:16;26:17;

8:8
notwithstanding (2)
73:5;113:23
November (2)
96:10;102:7
number (13)
37:24;38:2;43:23;
45:10;53:13;56:6;
61:15;64:25;65:19;
70:4;75:14;76:4;81:3
numbers (4)
40:21;62:25;75:19;
80:2
numerator (1)
102:2
0
object (2)
03:0:107:23

```
93:9;107:23
objected (3)
  85:9;93:12;122:19
objection (17)
  7:17,22;8:9,10,16,17,
  20;9:4;10:2;40:19;
  85:5;106:1,2,7;107:16;
  108:17;120:25
objections (6)
  8:23;14:17;16:25;
  81:15;93:8;118:25
objective (7)
  13:25;38:5;39:23;
  40:13;42:19,23;111:19
objectively (1)
  42:4
objectors (2)
  16:17;83:1
obligation (2)
  96:5;100:4
obligations (1)
  40:16
observation (3)
  52:12,20,21
observations (3)
  11:9;52:10;69:13
obtain (4)
  85:9;86:17;88:12;
  89:10
obtaining (1)
  87:25
obvious (4)
  51:12;83:8;123:18;
  125:11
obviously (9)
  13:12;25:18;28:25;
  62:17;63:4;65:15;
  68:10;71:18;115:3
occasions (3)
  46:2;63:23;66:8
occur (2)
  46:5:62:13
```

occurred (7)

75:17;82:23;96:9,10;

ongoing (1)

```
97:17;124:18;127:5
occurring (1)
  47:8
o'clock (2)
  30:23;44:4
October (4)
  46:5,7,8;70:18
off (10)
  12:20;13:16;15:6;
  23:19;24:5;27:19;38:9;
  40:10;69:10;85:15
offend (1)
  82:11
offends (1)
  82:7
offer (1)
  80:1
office (7)
  25:2,12;46:10;48:23;
  64:21;91:15,16
officer (3)
  9:12;89:19;104:11
officers (1)
  125:4
official (15)
  25:2;29:11;32:1,10;
  37:2;43:17;60:6;75:5;
  76:6,16,23;80:7,19;
  109:15;111:20
officials (1)
  89:24
offsets (1)
  120:6
O'Melveny (1)
  25:9
once (9)
  36:24;82:14;87:2;
  91:23;92:10;93:2,21;
  94:7:101:6
one (70)
  8:5,9,16,25;12:9,17;
  14:25;17:4;20:5,22;
  21:7;22:24;26:8;28:17,
  18;32:21;37:2;38:14;
  39:24;40:1;45:15;
  49:25;50:4;51:7;53:2,
  14,16;56:11;57:7;
  58:22;61:23;62:22,23;
  63:24;65:19;66:9;67:8;
  69:23;71:13,14,18;
  72:17,17;79:14;83:7,
  10,11,11,11;86:4;
  93:22,24;97:14;103:9,
  21;107:22;108:19,24;
  109:8;110:13;111:23;
  114:18;115:10,11;
  117:16;119:4;121:9;
  122:8,9;124:24
one-on-one (1)
  74:19
ones (3)
  15:8;71:5;81:6
```

```
21:20
only (23)
  6:13;10:17;14:17;
  18:6;23:11;24:13,24;
  45:16,23;46:13,15;
  48:11;65:24;77:2;94:3;
  103:8,9;109:10;
  116:18;122:21;123:16;
  124:17,24
oOo- (1)
  6:2
open (4)
  55:5;76:9,24;78:24
opening (1)
  93:7
operate (1)
  24:23
operating (7)
  92:13,21;95:23;
  98:23;99:4,5;104:12
operations (5)
  21:18,21;24:24;
  45:20;47:19
operative (2)
  96:8,9
opined (1)
  52:18
opinion (1)
  52:19
opinions (1)
  53:13
opponents (3)
  20:23;43:4;82:7
opportunistic (1)
  109:11
opportunists (1)
  50:14
opportunity (10)
  22:3,4,5;29:23;
  46:18:55:7:63:22:
  74:15;82:8;105:16
oppose (5)
  35:25;36:17;105:24;
  114:5;116:11
opposed (5)
  27:20;38:8,10;71:11;
  79:20
opposition (3)
  57:8;79:19;117:15
oppositions (1)
  25:1
optically (1)
  30:9
optics (4)
  21:4,4;27:7;51:12
option (6)
  29:25;54:23;55:1;
  56:11;57:14;83:14
options (1)
  25:23
oranges (1)
  39:15
order (49)
```

```
24;16:9,10;68:10;
  71:16;77:4;85:16;87:6,
  7;88:4,17;89:9;94:25;
  95:14;98:13;104:14,
  16;105:24;113:11,19;
  116:5;117:6;118:9;
  119:5,13,19,23;120:3;
  126:1,9,11,14;127:21
ordered (1)
  89:23
orders (3)
  14:19,21;16:13
ordinary (2)
  30:10;92:14
Oregon (1)
  85:15
organization (1)
  9:6
organizational (1)
  113:15
organizations (1)
  9:14
original (1)
  107:20
others (9)
  9:11;10:18;20:20;
  34:25;52:17;76:18;
  82:21;117:16;122:9
otherwise (2)
  53:1:75:16
ought (1)
  73:7
out (41)
  7:11;11:2,4;23:15,
  15;29:3;40:12;41:12,
  14;42:4;46:19;48:25;
  54:8:57:13,23:68:17:
  71:5,11;77:21;81:24;
  82:15;86:13,21;87:23;
  88:17,22;92:5;93:5,22;
  101:19;105:7,24;
  106:3,4;109:1;111:11,
  16;113:21;114:1;
  118:20;123:2
outcome (1)
  56:9
outline (2)
  23:11;82:5
outlines (1)
  74:23
outreach (1)
  89:6
outset (2)
  107:19;119:21
outside (8)
  27:2;36:8,9;47:8;
  49:5;66:20;92:14;
```

107:3

26:9,17;38:2;48:4;

over (11)

```
6:3;7:19,24;8:3,13;
                          61:3,17;74:6;84:23;
                          85:6:96:18:104:24
9:7;11:5,20;12:8,11,13,
14;13:6,16;14:12,18,
                        overcharging (1)
                           122:2
                        overcompensating (1)
                          124:2
                        overflow (3)
                          6:9;40:3;78:4
                        overlooked (1)
                          32:3
                        overrule (6)
                          8:11,19;28:19;
                          108:16;118:25;119:22
                        overruling (3)
                          8:20,21;119:17
                        own (7)
                          37:1;39:9,9;45:14;
                          62:11;84:2;95:3
```

P
Pacific (1)
123:15
page (2)
81:19;92:2
pages (1)
120:12
paid (16)
19:4;47:2,4,5;70:17;
71:5;74:7;80:22;83:6;
87:14;97:9;99:16;
102:24;105:7;121:1;
126:25
pain (1)
109:3
palatable (1)
107:18
panic (1)
31:2
papers (12)
11:14;31:15;32:12;
37:22;52:21;53:7;54:8;
66:8,9;103:23;120:9,
15
par (2)
47:15,15
Paradise (6)
44:4;89:24;90:5;
98:15;105:5;128:3
paragraphs (1) 11:3
parent (1) 71:1
pariah (1)
88:14
part (11)
27:6,6;47:8;70:20;
75:25;97:21;106:10;
117:6;119:11;122:13;
126:8

parte (1)

13:5

participants (1)

AND ELECTRIC COMP	ANY			
109:11	6.7.7.12.17.15.	25:8	51.5.52.19 19 20.	
	6:7,7,13;17:15; 22:25;23:25;25:4;28:8;		51:5;53:18,18,20;	
participate (3)		petition (4)	54:20,23;55:4,20,22;	
80:17;81:14;115:16	30:8;31:11;38:14;41:7;	96:16;98:9;127:7,16	56:8,17;57:2,25;59:22;	ŀ
participation (1)	44:3,16;45:12;46:6,7,	PG&E (45)	66:11;71:23;72:4;73:5,	
128:3	11,12,13,15,17,19;	6:15;16:4;24:21;	6,7,21;74:12,16;76:12;	I
particular (5)	47:7,14;48:3,6,7,18;	25:17,23,25;37:24;	81:13,13,14;86:3;	
70:4;81:20;95:17,17;	56:6;61:15;62:7;67:23;	45:1;47:19;49:3;54:12;	90:16;92:12;93:8;	I
96:20	70:4;71:4;72:21;75:16;	59:2,14;61:5;62:24;	99:14;102:24;104:14;	
particularly (2)	78:3;81:4,13;83:17,21;	63:5,21;65:18;68:11;	107:3;108:25;114:21;	I
23:7;100:19	85:10;87:14;92:1;95:9;	70:10,12,20,24,24,25;	123:4,10	
parties (15)	98:15;99:7,10;101:14;	71:2,4,13,21,23;81:10;	planned (2)	I
9:10;26:2;32:3;	102:4,8,12;104:13,23,	85:7;89:15,21,22,23;	77:16;106:5	
42:17;77:8,14;80:10;	25;110:22;112:21;	90:5;98:18;106:16;	planning (1)	I
105:19;113:1;115:2,	113:12;122:25;127:2	117:6;120:5;121:2;	66:13	
24;116:13;119:1,5;	people's (1)	122:22;124:20;125:4	plans (6)	I
126:18	73:1	PG&E-2 (1)	22:15,21;23:19;	-
parties-in-interest (1)	perceived (2)	44:20	52:24,25;68:6	
27:17	31:14;55:12	PG&E's (6)	plan's (1)	
partners (1)	perception (1)	25:17;47:22;63:16;	99:15	I
21:19	22:9	85:9;91:15;126:17	play (2)	
parts (2)	perfect (2)	ph (4)	48:9,14	Į
91:25;92:4	112:19;124:7	25:3;43:19;101:5,5	played (1)	1
party (5)	perhaps (11)	philosophy (4)	76:8	I
26:16;49:20;60:8;	20:20;23:19;37:2;	51:5;56:15;57:24,24	player (1)	1
76:10;115:13	60:24;74:12;75:16;	phone (7)	28:16	r
passed (1)	80:8,15;95:13;113:18;	10:9,10;15:4;38:7;	players (6)	I
98:24	127:7	40:6;111:24;126:2	13:15;27:2;29:15;	
passion (1)	period (13)	phones (1)	111:7;115:7;127:12	
90:10	19:23;29:2;36:14;	38:9	plays (2)	
passionately (1)	41:7;42:17;51:3;66:21;	phonetic (5)		I
			48:15,16	
90:6	73:3,10;101:25;	67:24,25;84:21;92:8;	pleading (3)	I
past (2)	112:11;113:13,13	106:4	17:7;111:3;113:23	
23:15,20	perjury (1)	phrase (1)	pleadings (6)	I
path (6)	81:15	120:24	7:21;17:3;19:18;	
30:6;36:24;41:24;	permission (2)	pick (7)	78:14,17,22	I
42:3,8,9	96:2;98:3	28:18;29:15;112:21,	please (3)	
pay (13)	permit (6)	22;115:10,10;119:6	38:9;40:1;43:8	I
11:21;49:18;87:15;	91:18,23;92:6;95:14;	picked (2)	plus (1)	
89:9;91:8;98:21;99:7;	121:14;123:20	106:11;114:8	80:2	
100:1,5;102:7,12,16;	permits (2)	picking (4)	PM (1)	I
119:20	79:25;87:21	29:6;76:4;111:7;	128:9	
payers (1)	permitted (1)	124:23	podium (2)	
47:23	99:21	piece (2)	90:2;108:20	I
paying (8)	person (20)	39:24;124:24	point (46)	
46:8;77:25;83:1;	9:12;65:9;80:10,13,	pie-in-the-sky (1)	18:20;20:1;21:1;	I
95:23;98:15,16;100:5;	14;81:20;82:4;94:15;	23:12	31:16;34:2,4;37:4;	
107:2	105:7;107:25;111:10,	place (10)	38:12;42:2;45:17;48:7;	I
payment (8)	21;112:19,23;113:14,	31:10;40:3;45:15;	50:12,18;52:12;56:16;	
12:14;50:17;95:18;	14,20;115:8;123:14;	48:8,11,13;78:8;83:22;	60:6;62:22;68:19;73:3;	I
96:2,6;100:6;115:21;	127:18	118:2;127:13	75:18;76:17,19;80:23;	
127:2	personal (2)	plaintiff (2)	82:22;86:8;91:21;	
payments (8)	29:9;77:10	18:9,15	92:20,24,24;93:2,17,	I
81:17;83:5;95:15,16;	personally (1)	plaintiffs (5)	17,18;95:12;98:2;	
98:3;126:24;127:2,15	75:22	19:8;62:20;66:23;	99:18;101:5;106:3,4;	I
payment's (1)	persons (1)	68:5,10	108:19;110:13;111:19;	
96:21	83:6	plan (72)	115:18;123:19;126:21;	I
pays (2)	perspective (4)	17:6,9,9;18:2,21;	127:20	
49:13;50:13	62:4;63:3,20;73:25	22:3,22;23:12,15,23;	pointed (3)	I
penalty (1)	persuaded (2)	24:17;26:15;29:13;	41:12;48:25;54:8	1
81:15	23:10;86:2	30:19;31:5;32:21,24;	pointing (1)	I
pending (1)	persuasive (1)	33:18;36:8,12,25;37:1,	40:12	1
25:12	87:5	8;39:8,12;42:11;49:13,	points (8)	I
people (61)	Peter (1)	18,21,21,24;50:20,24;	44:15;76:3;85:3;	

86:22;90:20;93:19; 102:14;119:9 police (1) 101:11 policy (1) 25:16 policymakers (1) 89:6 political (2) 56:21:89:5 pool (1) 84:22 portion (1) 95:18 position (8) 16:19;29:5;39:12; 47:14;60:7;76:16; 95:21;96:4 positions (2) 32:3;60:14 positive (1) 45:21 possibility (1) 116:20 possible (10) 14:8;28:6;39:3;53:2; 57:17;74:7;109:4; 111:21;114:2;117:3 post- (1) 127:15 post-petition (3) 88:18;92:13;96:12 pot (1) 123:19 potatoes (1) 50:7 potential (6) 19:6;79:12,14;99:8, 8;116:20 potentially (5) 26:17;76:11;102:11; 116:16;117:11 power (2) 45:1;110:9 powers (1) 54:3 PR (2) 88:19;92:22 practical (5) 55:19;59:8,9,13; 69:21 practice (1) 19:25 pre- (3) 98:8;106:4;127:6 precedent (1) 102:17 preempted (1) 60:7 pre-existing (1) 96:7 prefer (1)

73:2

	·			• ,
preliminaries (1) 78:11	9:18;14:23,24;15:1;	progressed (1) 64:24	PUC (3)	rating (1) 88:8
	24:12;27:14;61:11,13; 62:15;117:9		29:15,24;89:1	88:8 reach (2)
preliminary (3)		project (1) 42:8	Puerto (1) 45:5	74:2,15
78:12,13;79:16	problems (5) 30:21;31:4;73:19;			*
prematurely (1)		prominent (1)	purchase (1)	reached (1)
124:2	78:5;95:3	52:22	110:9	70:9
prepare (3)	procedurally (1) 79:24	promise (1)	purpose (2)	read (12)
16:13;72:2;126:19		77:21	8:19;53:24	9:9;16:23;37:21;
prepared (3)	procedure (9)	promptly (3)	purview (1)	46:4;75:22;83:9;88:20;
18:9;38:3;39:7	80:18;81:5,25;83:15;	80:14,14;111:10	36:9	101:12;102:19;103:20,
pre-petition (9)	86:20,20;96:19;	proof (7)	push (1)	23;125:10
89:9;94:22,24;96:11;	118:14;127:12 procedures (7)	32:16;86:5;97:12;	34:12	ready (4)
97:17;98:22;107:2;	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	120:19,19,22;127:4	put (14)	78:3,15;79:5;117:25 real (8)
127:16,17	12:8,10,14;81:2;	proper (7) 54:22;79:24;80:25;	17:2;21:16;42:9,12;	23:12;29:13;44:16;
present (1) 116:13	93:11;118:3;126:20 proceed (2)	81:5;86:5;120:25;	53:7;70:21;73:4;80:1; 83:22;89:22;96:17;	45:24;47:8;48:17;
presentation (2)	15:10;126:18	126:17	118:10;120:22;126:1	62:15;71:17
90:22;128:1	proceeding (2)	property (1)	puts (2)	realistic (3)
presented (2)	10:18;15:5	105:4	67:6;73:24	17:17;19:19;49:21
56:11;82:25	proceedings (2)	proponents (1)	putting (2)	reality (1)
preserve (1)	8:9;128:9	83:20	76:1;102:8	17:14
107:3	process (23)	proposal (3)	70.1,102.8	really (22)
presiding (1)	12:3;13:21;17:6,10,	83:1,19;93:8	Q	8:11;10:25;16:16;
6:5	24;18:3,24;19:1;35:10,	proposals (1)	Q	17:2;18:1,2;19:24;
pressure (2)	11;41:9;54:6;56:22;	88:4	quantifiable (1)	22:9;23:10;25:24;
13:17;89:5	59:1;64:20;71:24;	propose (6)	97:24	34:20;39:25;45:17;
presumably (1)	74:14;82:2;113:15;	22:3;24:17;26:9;	quantify (2)	50:16;64:7;68:7;72:21;
119:1	115:12;120:7,12;	57:3;74:12;98:14	66:3;121:13	74:25;105:24;107:24,
presume (2)	125:15	proposed (14)	quantifying (3)	25;115:23
14:23;15:15	processes (1)	8:3;16:10,12;18:14,	27:11;38:22;121:14	reason (14)
pretend (1)	20:19	21;32:21;38:17;41:15;	questionnaires (1)	15:22;31:1;33:11;
121:12	produced (1)	43:2;52:24;53:18;68:6;	86:12	39:5;45:13,24;47:24;
pretending (1)	38:12	104:9;117:6	quickly (9)	75:14;76:25;77:1;
123:1	produces (2)	proposition (1)	16:14;19:24;39:10;	88:25;92:16;99:24;
pretty (1)	89:3,4	118:19	52:25;109:4;111:21;	124:18
42:4	product (1)	prosecute (1)	113:25;114:1;115:12	reasonable (6)
price (1)	46:1	73:8	quite (4)	22:3;34:18;38:6;
45:1	Prof (9)	protect (2)	17:7;31:13;38:20;	41:7;125:17,23
PricewaterhouseCooper's (1)	10:5,8;11:1,11,21;	49:15,15	73:17	reasonably (1)
14:16	12:2,25;13:20;14:6	protocol (5)	quote (3)	73:21
primary (2)	professional (1)	11:23;12:4,8;13:22;	59:20;89:3,14	reasoning (1)
59:23;83:12	113:16	68:18	QURESHI (7)	77:5
principal (9)	professionals (6)	prove (1)	35:22,23;36:3,5,23;	reasons (6)
13:15,22;21:5;83:13;	8:23;13:14;14:3,5,	107:2	37:10,15	51:12;59:7;119:20;
111:7;113:15;115:7;	19;90:2	proven (1)	_	126:16,23,24
126:15;127:12	Professor (1)	104:10	R	rebuilding (1)
principle (1)	52:17	provide (4)		70:18
28:19	profile (1)	53:18;95:8;123:11;	raged (1)	recall (2)
prior (9)	24:1	125:14	84:23	16:4;110:9
15:16;23:10;28:3;	program (14)	provided (2)	raise (3)	recapitalization (1)
50:4;51:6;56:2;75:11,	15:11;78:10;87:3;	62:24,24	89:19;116:18;117:5	49:22
12;84:9	92:3,11,12;93:21;95:8;	provides (1)	raised (2)	receive (1)
priority (2)	101:6;116:14,15,16;	16:10	9:11;87:20	123:12
87:17;107:5	123:12;127:17	provision (5)	raising (1)	received (2)
pro (1)	programs (2)	14:21;16:1;68:17;	48:20	121:11,24
25:12	85:14;116:19	79:24;81:4	rapid (1)	receives (1)
proactive (2)	progress (22)	public (8)	40:25	97:7
54:8,9	18:22;19:12;20:2;	19:1,6;20:20,24;	rate (1)	recent (1)
probably (8)	27:11;29:3;31:14;	27:7,10,16;56:22	47:23	18:5
6:11;11:1;32:22;	34:15;35:14;36:7,11,	public-entities (1)	rather (7)	recently (1)
41:16;100:5;109:25;	18,20,22,25;37:8,12;	20:10	26:18;28:12;29:2;	85:14
127:8,8	38:11,21;40:25;42:21;	public-policy (1)	81:5;112:25;125:25;	recess (2)
problem (10)	66:22;108:25	25:24	126:1	33:14;77:24

reliable (1)

relief (11)

relying (1)

remain (1)

15:8 remains (1)

remand (1)

remark (1)

remarkable (1)

remarked (1)

remarks (3)

remedy (2)

removal (2)

rent (2)

renewable (1)

reorganize (3)

repeating (1)

repetitive (1)

replace (2)

re-place (1)

replaced (3)

replacing (1)

replenishing (1)

replenishment (2)

report (2)

reported (2)

represent (7)

repeat (2)

reorganization (3)

Remember (3)

remaining (1)

AND ELECTRIC COMP
recipient (3)
81:9,10;116:24 recipients (1)
96:20
reckless (1) 101:8
recognizes (1) 17:14
recommendation (2)
76:6;90:22 recommendations (1)
88:3 record (16)
6:25;7:22;9:21;
35:23;38:5,13,15,16, 23;42:20;72:17;
105:21;114:11,19;
116:8;126:16 records (3)
81:22;85:16;98:20 recovery (1)
116:20
reduce (2) 16:18;81:18
reduced (1) 81:16
reexplain (1)
57:24 reference (3)
20:9,19;117:23 refinancing (1)
50:9 reflect (1)
121:19
reflection (1) 39:23
reflects (1) 81:22
reform (2)
23:24,25 regain (1)
104:13 regard (3)
19:13;55:25;79:1
regarded (1) 40:15
regarding (1) 12:14
regulated (1)
47:17 regulatory (2)
17:5;23:24 reject (1)
104:9
rejected (1) 119:24
related (2) 8:9;59:22
Relationships (1) 89:7
relatively (1)
75:18 relevant (3)

ND PACIFIC GAS NY	
8:22;43:11;53:21	40:23;48:2,3;57:1;
eliable (1)	60:1;69:23;109:16
25:20	representations (1)
elief (11)	72:23
17:5;36:1;49:10;	representative (2)
85:17;88:1,12;95:8; 106:12;109:6;110:19;	81:24;88:19 representatives (1)
118:13	80:15
elying (1)	represented (1)
93:1	128:5
emain (1)	representing (1)
36:10 emaining (1)	46:17
15:8	represents (1) 109:10
emains (1)	request (12)
36:14	6:14;9:8;10:23;
emand (1)	29:10,11;95:14;96:17;
15:5	97:9;119:8,19,22;
emark (1) 73:17	120:2 requested (2)
emarkable (1)	17:14;120:3
47:1	requesting (1)
emarked (1)	114:14
46:2	require (1)
emarks (3)	39:2
43:16;44:17;57:23 emedy (2)	requirements (1) 67:3
82:21;83:18	requires (1)
emember (3)	11:21
50:13;56:5;70:2	reserve (1)
emoval (2)	37:11
8:9;60:15	residence (2)
enewable (1) 25:20	83:12,13 resisting (3)
ent (2)	10:15;18:15;74:4
46:8;70:17	resolution (4)
eorganization (3)	9:3;62:12;74:2,11
36:12;101:7;104:14	resolutions (1)
eorganize (3) 57:12;88:4,17	67:24 resolve (11)
epeat (2)	45:18,22;47:25;
75:9;115:6	62:10,16,16,17,21;
epeating (1)	63:9;68:6;74:10
59:19	resolved (1)
epetitive (1) 35:13	73:19 resources (1)
eplace (2)	109:7
53:23;54:3	respect (22)
e-place (1)	18:4,6,7,9;35:16;
40:6	36:5;44:12;48:1;72:18,
eplaced (3) 53:20;54:9,11	23,24;73:25;79:11; 87:25;88:6;94:4;
eplacing (1)	109:14;114:20;120:2;
53:14	121:4;123:11;124:8
eplenishing (1)	respective (1)
97:1	9:14
eplenishment (2)	respond (4)
104:17,17 eport (2)	43:9,11,14;82:8 response (7)
28:16;120:5	9:11;16:23;17:1;
eported (2)	64:4;78:22;114:13,20
40:21;122:22	responses (1)
(7)	117.16

```
responsible (1)
          9:12
        responsive (1)
          54:22
        rest (3)
          57:19;66:1;111:3
        restore (3)
          78:7;98:18;100:22
        result (6)
          9:3;19:24;101:21;
          102:13;104:21;109:3
        resulted (1)
          45:11
        resume (2)
          78:3,9
        review (2)
          11:2;126:12
        reviewed (1)
          12:5
        revision (1)
          13:16
        revive (1)
          61:14
        Rico (1)
          45:5
        ridiculous (1)
          64:8
        right (90)
          6:20;7:5;12:16;
          13:11;14:5,11;15:21;
          16:6;17:23,23;19:15;
          20:11;27:23,25;28:3,
          10,17,24;30:6,10,24;
          31:2,10;32:12,16;33:1,
          7;34:5;35:3,5;36:2,4,
          15;37:11;38:1,19;40:7;
          41:10;42:1;43:3,21;
          45:8;47:15;48:12;53:2;
          55:18;56:11;57:12;
          58:13,13;60:9;64:4,23;
          68:2;71:2;72:8;77:15;
          83:5;84:2,2;85:13;
          88:10;89:2;91:5;93:6;
          99:11;102:5,6,9;
          103:10;106:14;108:5;
          112:9;113:8,21;114:7,
          15;115:14,16;116:4,15,
          20;117:1,15;121:18,
          20;122:7;123:22;
72:18,
          124:3;126:11
        rights (2)
          78:24;79:4
        ringing (1)
          38:7
        ripe (1)
          27:18
        ripping (1)
          85:15
        rise (2)
          6:4;116:18
        risk (5)
          20:24;24:1,25;46:2;
          55:20
```

road (1) 28:22 roadblock (1) 114:17 Robbins (1) 45:23 Robert (1) 84:3 Robins (8) 45:3;67:24;82:24; 102:19,20,21;103:5; 106:3 robust (2) 45:21;116:13 **role** (6) 74:17;76:7;100:23; 112:3,16;115:19 rolling (1) 111:10 room (3) 30:15;47:11;82:4 round (1) 80:2 rule (9) 85:3,4;86:24;90:20; 91:20,25;93:18; 102:25;103:1 **rules (12)** 39:1,2;56:23;60:11; 64:19;75:24;80:18; 81:25;82:5;83:22; 115:20:125:13 rulings (3) 101:2;119:9;120:4 run (3) 21:25;28:7;50:10 running (3) 50:8;77:14;123:17 Sacramento (4) 49:4;88:15,25;89:1 safe (1) 25:19

safeguards (2) 80:8;118:25 safety (1) 89:22 sale (1) 91:15 Samaritan (2) 91:22;94:10 same (13) 14:21;29:22;41:4; 49:9;50:10;60:1;90:11; 91:22;92:16;97:8; 104:6;107:15;121:23 **SAN (5)** 6:1;25:3;49:8;89:24; 91:16

117:16

sanction (1)

40:11

AND ELECTRIC COMI	PANY			May 22, 20
sanguine (1)	30:2;60:7;123:17	seventy-five- (1)	sight (1)	size (2)
113:10	sees (1)	29:1	90:3	17:13;69:18
satisfaction (1)	31:15	several (4)	sign (4)	skip (1)
11:10	Segal (2)	7:2;35:4;43:22;	11:5;13:16;113:19;	38:2
satisfied (2)	9:24,25	66:10	126:14	slate (1)
8:24;12:24	segregation (2)	severed (1)	signal (1)	112:21
save (1)	47:13;48:11	89:7	76:21	sleeves (1)
17:1	select (3)	share (5)	signaled (1)	111:11
saying (13)	80:9;112:13;126:18	7:22;42:6;62:9;73:1;	49:19	slippery (1)
13:4;32:23;48:15,18;	selected (1)	100:10	signatures (1)	106:17
55:21;102:7,13;	112:8	shareable (1)	120:15	slope (1)
103:24;106:19,25;	selecting (1)	97:12	significant (2)	106:17
122:20,21;126:8	119:2	shared (1)	29:14;44:15	slow (1)
SB-901 (1)	selection (2)	122:25	signing (1)	113:25
17:21	114:22;119:2	shareholder (3)	14:24	small (1)
SBA (1)	self-executing (1)	35:8;48:10;71:6	signs (1)	81:2
116:17	12:17	shareholders (6)	12:20	So- (1)
scenarios (2)	self-explanatory (1)	32:4;37:25;53:14,19;	similar (4)	124:19
	78:14			
50:8,10		54:9,10	8:16;97:2;108:18;	So-and-So (1)
scene (1)	Senate (2)	shares (1)	122:8	111:24
20:11	41:13;88:5	54:13	Similarly (1)	so-called (1)
scenes (3)	send (2)	sharing (2)	8:15	79:22
27:2;33:6,6	67:10;74:22	109:4;124:9	simple (6)	sole (1)
scheduled (1)	senior (5)	sheet (2)	9:13;30:19;76:4;	112:3
18:18	35:24;59:17;89:23;	33:20,21	77:4,4;108:9	solely (1)
schedules (1)	95:6;104:11	Shield (1)	simply (7)	120:5
97:20	sense (4)	102:23	8:21;34:8;67:3;	solicit (2)
scheme (2)	8:20;45:13;83:15;	short (4)	79:18;81:7;99:10;	13:23;120:18
87:17;107:5	106:16	40:4;111:13;112:11;	120:11	solution (6)
scholar (1)	sentencing (1)	113:11	single (1)	24:12;25:24;27:13;
11:1	89:15	shorten (12)	47:24	52:7;68:12,13
score (1)	separate (5)	15:20,21;16:3;17:16;	Singleton (72)	solutions (1)
35:1				26:9
	7:19;12:13;41:21,22;	29:13,23;33:10;36:14;	8:17;40:18;58:9,10,	
Scott (1)	66:8	37:4,12;42:17;43:4	12,14,14,15,16,18,20,	solve (1)
10:7	September (9)	shortened (1)	25;59:13;60:3,5,9,17,	95:3
second (9)	17:24;18:7,13;19:17;	73:5	21;61:1,5,6,11,16,19,	solved (2)
38:15;40:1;61:14;	33:11,15;34:3;41:14,	Shortening (4)	21,23;62:1,2,4,20;63:7,	30:21;31:4
63:1,24;68:3;109:8;	17	19:20,22,22;76:25	9,12,15,19;64:12,15,	solvent (1)
112:20;115:8	seriatum (1)	shorter (4)	23;65:4,12,15,22,25;	45:19
second-guess (1)	27:21	73:2,10;113:13,13	66:4,6,15,18;67:18,21;	somebody (8)
80:4	serious (3)	short-term (2)	68:1,3,16,21,23,25;	28:6;55:21;62:5;
Secondly (3)	66:7;94:6;100:15	83:18;121:16	69:7,9,12,15,17;70:1,3,	105:8;123:13,16;
40:18;119:8;121:3	seriously (3)	show (6)	6,9,15;71:2,8,10;72:6,	124:2,3
secret (1)	49:20;58:1;64:3	27:9;53:2;66:22;	7;74:8,20	somehow (1)
124:16	served (1)	85:19,25;98:24	Singleton's (1)	114:17
Section (10)	8:19	showed (1)	97:24	someone (6)
9:7;22:2;82:20;	service (2)	23:14	sit (3)	70:7;80:12;94:7;
87:14;91:17;93:1,16;	25:20;47:22	showing (4)	82:4,14,14	100:8,14;127:17
96:17;99:21;117:21	serving (1)	42:20;95:10;106:18;	sitting (6)	someone's (1)
security (1)	86:11	124:19	6:7,7;29:17;38:4;	42:12
49:16	session (3)	shown (1)	50:7;99:13	sometime (1)
seeing (5)	6:4;41:14;49:2	101:10	situation (6)	17:19
14:11;42:21;59:15;	set (3)	shows (1)	22:14;24:20,21;30:5;	sometimes (2)
62:6,9	68:4;75:19;78:23	104:15	82:23;83:19	16:13;47:15
seek (2)	settled (4)	shredding (1)	situations (2)	somewhat (2)
40:19;94:9	7:20;8:1;61:1,3	89:12	67:25;69:21	73:9;113:10
seeking (3)	settlement (1)	sic (4)	six (8)	soon (9)
36:3;40:11;114:22	70:9	17:11;28:16;29:19;	15:19;29:2,17;41:20;	11:4,10;36:11;37:13;
seem (3)	settling (1)	40:6	49:16;67:2,3;113:12	39:6;74:7;83:7;125:7
39:10;70:19;71:22	62:5	side (3)	six-month (2)	10
				sorry (9)
seemed (1)	seventy-five (6)	83:10,10;90:2	34:7;42:17	
35:12 Saarra (2)	15:19;17:25;27:15;	sides (1)	sixty (2)	6:23;12:23;18:7;
Seems (3)	28:1;29:12;30:11	68:5	30:11;49:17	19:22;37:20;58:15;
	1	1	1	1

May 22, 2019 e (2) 17:13;69:18 ip (1) 38:2 te (1) 112:21 eves (1) 111:11 ppery (1) 106:17 pe (1) 106:17 w (1) 113:25 all (1) 81:2 - (1) 124:19 -and-So (1) 111:24 called (1) 79:22 le (1) 112:3 lely (1) 120:5 licit (2) 13:23;120:18 lution (6) 24:12;25:24;27:13; 52:7:68:12,13 lutions (1) 26:9 ve (1) 95:3 **ved** (2) 30:21;31:4 vent (1) 45:19 mebody (8) 28:6;55:21;62:5; 105:8;123:13,16; 124:2,3 mehow (1) 114:17 meone (6) 70:7;80:12;94:7; 100:8,14;127:17 meone's (1) 42:12 metime (1) 17:19 metimes (2) 16:13;47:15 mewhat (2) 73:9;113:10 on (9) 11:4,10;36:11;37:13; 39:6;74:7;83:7;125:7, 10

AND ELECTRIC COM
61:10;68:21;70:18 sort (7)
25:4;30:5;73:24; 75:23;81:8;118:6,9
sorts (2) 67:16;102:8
sought (1) 36:17
sounds (1) 30:22
source (1) 121:20 Southern (1)
49:8 speak (3)
18:18;65:6;97:19 speaking (3)
43:5;59:14;125:22 special (3)
113:3,5;122:17 specialist (2)
58:20;65:9 specific (8)
27:16,17;53:21,22; 62:25;68:7;69:6;80:18 specifically (2)
26:11;59:19 specifics (2)
69:25;79:8 speculation (1)
125:1 spending (1)
97:18 spent (2)
11:22;81:12 spirited (1) 128:1
spoken (1) 79:10
stability-wise (1) 30:9
stabilize (1) 88:7
stabilizing (1) 21:17 stakeholders (4)
25:25;26:1;59:23; 89:7
stand (5) 6:8,12,12;84:10,19
standard (1) 48:22
standards (1) 94:10 standing (6)
6:7,8;23:11;45:25; 46:16;116:6
standpoint (1) 31:13
stands (1) 72:20
start (8) 15:12;42:10;43:7;

AND PACIFIC GAS ANY	
64:3,3,13;113:20;	T
118:18	
started (3)	
11:11;26:8;63:17 starting (2)	
23:15;42:16	
start-up (1) 11:21	
state (16)	
13:20;24:23;26:6; 28:15,17;40:13;42:20;	
47:18;49:7;66:10;67:8;	
74:9;75:17;85:15; 91:18,22	
stated (4)	
36:21;89:14;119:20; 126:16	
statement (13)	
9:13;10:22;14:12;	
35:25;38:23;40:12,20, 22;43:9;59:16;72:18;	
78:12;126:22	
statements (1) 9:10	
States (8)	
9:2;80:6,16,17; 104:20;114:11,13,21	
stating (1)	
59:8	
statistics (1) 53:2	
status (3) 27:20;28:16;79:14	
status-check (1)	
28:12	
statute (2) 15:24;36:6	
statutory (1)	
106:3 stay (1)	
110:19	
step (2) 63:1;93:2	
Stephen (3)	
6:23,25;73:15 stepped (1)	
92:11	
stick (3) 68:24;76:5;86:23	
still (9)	
36:20;39:8;45:25; 48:19;56:18;70:11;	
73:20;96:5;104:4	
stipulation (3)	
7:9,18,19 stock (1)	
52:1	
storm (1) 46:11	
Strauss (1)	
35:23 strawman (2)	
49.11.11	1

stretch (3)
55:8,11,17 strictly (1)
63:2 strides (1)
21:17
strike (1) 88:3
stroke (1)
69:5 strong (1)
33:18 strongly (1)
49:19
stuff (1) 74:22
stumbled (1) 38:13
stunned (1)
56:6 subcommittee (2)
80:15;82:15 subject (5)
20:14;81:15;86:5;
100:15;104:17 submit (4)
13:6;77:4;121:1,5 submitted (4)
8:3;16:10;85:11;
125:3 subrogation (4)
7:14;19:2,4;20:9 subrogations (1)
19:3
substance (1) 56:19
substantive (3) 19:5;59:22;66:11
substantively (1)
56:22 successful (1)
41:17 sudden (1)
21:5
suddenly (1) 66:2
suffered (1) 104:25
suffering (3) 50:16,19;102:6
sufficient (1)
18:23 suggest (1)
38:6 suggested (1)
82:16
suggesting (2) 17:25;74:9
suggestion (5) 43:14;52:19;66:19;
111:6;118:1
suggestions (1)

```
summer (3)
  17:19;26:9;33:13
Superior (2)
  67:11;74:22
support (6)
  38:3;42:22,23;88:13,
  24,25
suppose (4)
  29:14;51:22;59:2;
  121:15
supposed (2)
  55:18;112:21
Supreme (1)
  107:9
Sure (20)
  7:4;10:1;11:8;14:18;
  28:5;61:16;67:4;68:25;
  69:2;81:4,19;105:7;
  108:21;109:19,22;
  115:12;118:5;121:22;
  122:1;123:25
surprised (4)
  50:23;51:5;71:21;
  98:1
survived (1)
  84:22
sync (1)
  29:2
system (2)
  74.10 13
```

74:10,13
T
table (2)
48:17;111:11
tail (1)
46:6
talk (8)
14:4;47:10;57:18;
59:7;67:22;75:5;76:14
107:23
talked (2)
27:7;51:11
talking (6)
47:12;60:11;71:23;
81:12;97:18;110:21
task (2)
24:19;57:11
taxpayers (1) 71:15
TCC (2)
25:1;55:3
technical (2)
61:13;122:13
telephone (1)
14:2
telling (7)
23:9;73:17;75:1;
98:8;104:10;111:8;
120:14
tells (2)
95:3;112:25
temporary (2)

```
95:10;121:25
ten (1)
  77:22
ten-minute (2)
  77:20,21
tens (3)
  46:5,6;71:3
tent (1)
  48:19
tents (1)
  105:4
term (8)
  8:1,1;24:5;33:20,21;
  65:9;89:6;126:17
terminate (11)
  20:25;21:21;27:22;
  28:12;30:9;32:24;
  34:22;36:15;37:3,12;
  40:19
terminated (5)
  21:6;22:19;32:19;
  38:18;73:6
Terminating (2)
  22:4;26:12
termination (2)
  26:18;32:14
terminology (1)
  127:6
terms (4)
   10:19;68:9;69:17;
  127:10
terribly (1)
  60:13
testimony (1)
  89:1
Thanks (1)
  42:25
That'd (1)
  40:5
that'll (2)
  24:12:41:16
theory (3)
  80:4;96:12;120:13
therefore (6)
  16:16;79:20;83:3,17;
  92:23;95:16
there're (1)
  28:25
thinking (3)
  33:15;38:5;73:22
third (2)
  84:17;90:15
thirty (1)
  40:23
thorough (1)
  120:9
though (5)
  10:13;39:5,7;70:6;
  122:14
thought (5)
  23:14;83:8;111:4;
  112:22;125:12
```

49:11.11

52:8

thoughtful (2)

ELECTRIC COM	11111	1		1.1uj 22, 201>
9:9;35:9	48:12,14;49:18;50:7,	51:8	92:4;93:19;101:2;	80:12;82:6;88:13;98:2;
thousand (2)	15;53:16,17;54:19;	TROY (7)	108:23;110:19,21;	111:2;115:8;127:24
101:15,17	57:2;58:1;59:16;60:6;	116:8,9,16,22,24;	111:20;118:1;120:4	unlike (1)
thousands (7)	62:7,8;67:23;74:11,13;	117:2,14	two-and-a-half (1)	44:21
40:23;45:12;46:5,7;	75:14,19,21;76:8,10;	truck (1)	35:1	unliquidated (3)
61:1;70:16;71:4	78:25;79:11,13,23;	94:12	type (2)	97:21;102:11;127:8
threat (1)	81:7;84:4,5;102:22;	true (7)	34:9;98:17	unrelated (1)
52:24	106:17;109:9;110:18,	24:4;40:15;54:14,16;		60:14
three (7)	18;112:15;125:21	60:1;62:25;104:4	U	unsecured (10)
11:3;29:19;66:8;	torts (1)	trust (3)	HGG (1)	7:9;35:24;59:17;
86:17;88:3;89:13; 90:14	81:2	74:12;89:7;122:6	UCC (1) 78:25	79:10,15;80:19;98:3;
90:14 throw (1)	total (1) 18:22	Trustee (20) 9:2;11:20,25;12:20,	ultimately (2)	109:15,16;112:15 unspeakable (1)
51:23	totally (1)	21,24;13:2;80:6,16,17;	15:21;115:2	109:2
tied (1)	82:13	102:1;104:6;111:9;	Um-hum (11)	untenable (2)
106:13	touched (2)	112:3,18,24;114:5,12,	24:15;27:8;43:21;	24:20,21
tightly (1)	70:23;74:21	13,21	52:16;59:12;60:25;	up (35)
106:13	tours (1)	trusts (1)	63:18;65:25;70:8;	29:3,7;31:3;39:9;
time-efficient (1)	89:25	74:24	87:22;88:10	42:13;43:7;44:4;48:6;
14:7	toward (3)	truth (2)	unbelievably (1)	49:17;68:12;72:15;
times (1)	36:8;37:1;62:10	52:20,21	38:21	76:1,11;80:2,17;84:10,
66:10	towards (4)	try (14)	uncertainty (1)	19;85:2,5;86:17;90:1,
timetable (2)	27:11;36:12,24;37:8	19:10,12;48:13;69:4;	22:1	5;92:4;100:11;102:1;
27:16,17	tower (1)	74:1;96:17;100:8;	unconditional (1)	106:11;111:10,21;
tipping (1) 45:17	94:5 track (2)	101:21;104:14;109:7; 111:20;112:11;113:25;	34:7 uncontested (1)	113:8;115:2,23;116:6; 118:10;124:19;127:12
tire (1)	103:22;116:4	111.20,112.11,113.23,	6:19	upon (7)
51:8	trade (8)	trying (11)	under (30)	38:13;75:12,24;77:6;
today (49)	21:19;47:2,4,4,6;	6:13;19:18;23:8;	9:7;22:2;31:8;59:14;	85:4;86:24;90:20
10:9,10;18:18;19:18;	48:8,11;110:3	40:15;48:7;61:17;	72:4;80:3;81:5,14,15;	urge (1)
20:24;26:13;32:4;	traded (1)	86:16;91:6;95:25;	82:19,19;83:6,16,18;	43:1
34:13,21;36:15;37:7,	46:19	118:8;121:13	87:21;91:17;93:16,23;	urgency (1)
17;42:3,19;43:5,10;	traders (3)	Tubbs (7)	94:9;95:15;96:3;	70:20
50:19;51:10;54:24,25;	48:2,13;57:13	63:19,21;64:1,6,18;	102:18;106:20;107:4,	urgent (2)
56:17;57:3;58:9;64:14;	trading (2) 47:14,16	66:3,13	4;116:18;122:16;	44:1;95:10
65:16;75:11,24;76:2; 77:7;82:3,11;83:24;	trailer (2)	Tuesday (1) 31:2	124:20;127:3,17 underlying (1)	urges (1) 33:10
85:4;86:11;89:22;	105:9:123:17	Tuesdays (1)	120:6	use (8)
90:12,16;91:2,4,8;	trailers (1)	31:1	understands (1)	34:10;53:25;71:24;
92:1;99:14;100:23;	105:4	turf (1)	48:17	118:24;124:1;125:5;
104:16;107:16;108:10;	transcript (1)	112:4	Understood (9)	126:16,17
113:24;114:2;123:4	89:15	turn (5)	60:17;63:15;66:18;	used (3)
today's (3)	travelled (1)	26:11,11;74:6;85:6;	67:21,21;68:1,16,23;	34:10;95:13;107:22
31:2;43:11;86:19	44:3	86:22	69:7	using (1)
to-do (1)	treated (3)	Turner (1)	underway (1)	113:3
65:2 together (4)	65:1;80:23;95:18 treatment (1)	101:6 turns (2)	20:19 unfettered (1)	usual (1) 126:16
31:10;42:12;53:19;	25:19	29:3;77:21	34:6	usually (1)
54:19	Tredinnick (2)	tweak (1)	Unfortunately (1)	31:1
Togut (3)	58:7,8	11:20	97:19	utilities (4)
9:24,24,24	trial (2)	twenty (4)	uninsured (1)	24:21,22;49:7;88:9
told (3)	67:11,12	63:17;101:24;102:2;	70:12	utilities' (1)
18:9;102:23;125:20	trials (1)	110:22	unique (1)	24:14
tomorrow (9)	68:14	twenty-one (4)	21:15	utility (7)
30:20;52:2;55:1,2;	tried (2)	63:10,13,16;66:24	UNISON (1)	26:4;47:13,17,18,21;
65:13,15;73:19;86:3; 123:4	35:7;120:15	two (35)	128:8	70:24;71:12
123:4 took (1)	triggering (1) 116:20	9:12;13:12,24;15:8; 17:4,9;20:19;21:9;	United (7) 9:2;80:6,16,17;	utility's (3) 49:13;59:24;71:2
41:13	Trostle (1)	38:13;47:2;48:8;49:7;	114:11,13,21	uttered (1)
tort (49)	101:10	62:16;63:23;67:7;	unknowns (1)	109:20
7:9;9:2;18:15;38:16,	trouble (4)	71:14,24;80:15;83:9;	29:22	
17;43:8,18;44:12;45:2,	92:7;100:18;123:1,2	85:3;86:22;89:13;	unless (10)	V
15,22;46:14;47:25;	troublemakers (1)	90:12,15,19;91:25;	7:5;53:4;78:12;	
	1	1		

vacation (1) 78:20;8 33:13 volunteer valid (1) 119:20 53:25 vote (2) valuable (1) 54:10;7 83:12 vote-count		willing (9) 18:10;74:6;78:15	
33:13 volunteer valid (1) 119:20 53:25 vote (2) valuable (1) 54:10;7	red (1) 125:16;126 ways (2)	willing (9) 18:10;74:6;78:15	5,17, wrong (5)
valid (1) 119:20 53:25 vote (2) valuable (1) 54:10;7	ways (2)	18:10;74:6;78:15	5,17, wrong (5)
53:25 vote (2) valuable (1) 54:10;7			
valuable (1) 54:10;7	07.10.100.	1 18 70. (0.6.117.1	9; 82:10;98:2;100:12;
			102:9;124:1
85:12 Vote-cour			102.9,124.1
	eting (1) 6:1	Willis (1)	X 7
valuation (1) 71:19	week (4)	101:5	\mathbf{Y}
50:12 voted (1)	39:8;55:2,3		
value (2) 101:6	weekly (1)	7:13;72:12	year (2)
57:25;107:3 votes (2)	89:12	Wilson (2)	46:5;107:10
variables (1) 35:1;72	:3 weeks (2)	84:18,20	year-and-a-half (1)
29:1	40:22;89:13	3 wish (3)	16:7
various (3)	W weeks' (1)	12:25;25:6;73:14	years (3)
80:5;83:1;116:10	21:9	wishes (4)	33:25;74:10;82:24
vast (1) wait (3)	Weil (3)	9:6;55:16;57:2;	yell (1)
	1;48:24		91:12
vendor (1) waiting (1		withdraw (3)	
			yesterday (1)
47:3 50:16	6:8,8;58:22		
verboten (1) wakes (1)		withdrawn (1)	York (1)
108:3	85:16	108:12	8:1
versus (4) walk (5)	weren't (3)	within (4)	
	:22;94:11,20; 92:17,19;12		\mathbf{Z}
56:17 113:25	what's (21)	126:6	
viability (1) walked (1	21:23;27:2	,10,10; without (4)	zero (3)
24:13 94:17	29:19;34:1		35:2,4;41:20
viable (9) walking (
28:6;29:25;36:24; 30:8	71:20;85:20		1
54:23;55:1,4;71:16; Walnut (5			-
73:4,21 91:16,2		Women (1)	1 (1)
victim (13) 106:24;			6:1
80:22,24;91:21; wants (9)	72:3	word (6)	
			1- (1)
	;14:7;79:17; Whereas (1)	21:3;33:9,18;34:1	
	117:16,17; 67:5	113:3;124:1	1,000 (2)
101:19,20;121:24,24 118:13;		words (10)	121:16;124:20
victims (39) warrant (10:19;21:3;24:20	
	:12;31:9 Whereupon (
56:3,20;65:1;66:14,14; warrante		54:24;73:18;109:	
	1:16;34:19; who'd (1)	121:24	77:24
76:1;83:2,9;86:4;88:7, 35:15;3	7:11 105:9	work (11)	105 (7)
13;91:1,3;97:19,25; Washingt	ton (1) whole (2)	19:10;74:1;79:3;	78:17;79:6;82:20;
99:15;102:10,22; 78:4	98:9;107:5	82:15;83:24;108:	
109:1,17;110:16,18,25; waste (2)	wholly (1)	111:5;118:1;121:	
113:11;116:14;121:9; 32:22;5		126:19;127:22	105-million-dollar (1)
122:17;123:5,5;125:5; watch (1)		workable (1)	120:3
122:17,123:3,3,123:3, watch (1) 126:24;127:5 50:11	47:11;94:7	125:18	10Q (1)
victim's (2) watching	*	worked (2)	88:1
		` ,	
95:18,19 36:18	12:5;19:2;4		10X (1)
video (2) water (2)		working (4)	68:11
40:2,5		11:11;26:5;81:24	,
view (10) waterfall		83:21	20:4;30:19;51:6;
29:4;34:2,8;44:20; 50:11	15:10;17:8		110:8
76:3,17,19;88:12; way (44)		38:22;46:3; 98:18	11:03 (1)
		4;61:2;62:5, world (4)	77:24
vigilantly (1) 15:11;1	6:1;20:16; 16;63:2;77:	:11;78:9; 64:16;65:24;66:1	; 1121 (1)
36:18 21:16;2	4:6;25:22; 88:7;95:8;1	09:1,17; 110:21	22:2
	2:2;36:20; 124:20	worried (1)	1121d (1)
	6:4;57:12,24; wildfires (1)	25:14	57:19
	5:20;76:11,23; 45:10	worry (1)	11th (1)
	:11;92:1,25; willfully (1)	83:4	19:14
	:5;100:8;101:8; 89:16	worst (1)	12:01 (1)
,	05:7,17; Williams (2)	22:14	128:9
voice (3) 104:0:1			
		11 worth (1)	130(1)
48:20;89:18,19 107:15;	111:16;113:21; 88:21;103: 118:20;120:23, Williams' (2)		120 (1) 32:11

AND ELECTRIC COMI	PANY		May 22, 2019
14,000 (1)	363b3 (1)		
68:14	91:17		
	91:17		
15th (1)	4		
41:11 180 (1)	4		
16:5	4 (1)		
19th (1)	4- (1) 121:18		
18:13	4.5 (1)		
10.13	71:5		
2	4.9 (1)		
	99:22		
2 (1)	444 (1)		
88:1	52:20		
20,000 (1)	32.20	_	
103:15	5		
20.5 (1)		_	
59:25	5 (1)		
2001 (2)	44:4		
16:4;44:23	5- (1)		
2008 (1)	121:18		
59:18	5,000 (3)		
2015 (12)	101:21;121:10,17		
48:6,18;63:10;64:22;	5,000-dollar (1)		
70:11;81:10;89:2,2;	121:16		
97:24;117:1,4;125:5	500 (2)		
2017 (8)	101:20;105:14		
18:8,10;45:16;46:8;	507 (2)		
48:19;70:15,18;97:25	87:14,16		
2018 (4)	517 (1)		
45:17;48:19;96:10; 97:25	53:13		
2019 (14)	6		
6:1;38:23;39:2,3;	U		
40:12,20,22;43:9,16;	66 (1)		
49:2;70:18;72:18,20,	53:11		
22	33.11		
2020 (1)	7		
49:3		_	
22nd (1)	7 (2)		
64:14	101:23;104:6		
250 (2)	700 (1)		
98:15,21	61:3		
282 (1)	75 (1)		
52:20	32:11		
28th (1)	0		
64:19	9		
29th (4)	0.21 (1)		
63:25;64:13,19; 69:20	9:31 (1)		
2X (1)	6:1 901 (4)		
68:11	17:20;41:13;49:10;		
00.11	88:5		
3	88.5		
	_		
313 (1)			
104:21			
327 (1)			
9:7			
363 (4)			
82:19;93:16;106:20;			
107:5			
363b (1)			
87:21			
-	1		I